1	BEFORE THE PUBLIC EDUCATION COMMISSION
2	STATE OF NEW MEXICO
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	TRANSCRIPT OF PUBLIC MEETING PROCEEDINGS February 1, 2013
10	9:00 a.m. Mabry Hall - Jerry Apodaca Education Building
11	300 Don Gaspar Santa Fe, New Mexico
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	REPORTED BY: Cynthia C. Chapman, RMR-CRR, NM CCR #219  Bean & Associates, Inc.
20	Professional Court Reporting Service 201 Third Street, NW, Suite 1630
21	Albuquerque, New Mexico 87102
22	
23 24	
2 <del>4</del> 25	JOB NO.: 6394K(CC)
	002 110. 000 111 (00)





1	APPEARANCES
2	COMMISSIONERS:
3	MS. CAROLYN SHEARMAN, Chair MR. EUGENE GANT, Vice Chair
4	MR. VINCE BERGMAN, Secretary MR. JEFF CARR
5	MR. GILBERT PERALTA MS. MILLIE POGNA
6	MS. CARMIE TOULOUSE MR. JAMES CONYERS
7	STAFF:
8	MR. TONY GERLICZ, Director, Options for Parents
9	MS. KELLY CALLAHAN, Manager, Charter School Division MS. ABBY WEAR, Counsel for the CSD,
10	Assistant General Counsel for the PED MR. MARK REYNOLDS, Counsel for the PEC,
11	Assistant Attorney General, State of New Mexico
12	MS. BEVERLY FRIEDMAN, PED Liaison to the PEC
13	
14	ALSO PRESENT: JUSTICE BARBARA VIGIL, Supreme Court of the
15	State of New Mexico
16	MS. HILLARY NOSKIN, General Counsel, Public Education Department
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
2.5	





1		INDEX TO PROCEEDINGS	Page
2	1.	Call to Order	1
3	2.	Roll Call	1
4			
5	3.	Oath of Office for New and Newly Elected Commissioners	5
6 7	4.	Pledge of Allegiance & Salute to New Mexico Flag	7
	5.	Statement of Aspiration	8
8	6.	Approval of Agenda	10
9	7.	Approval of Minutes, 12/13/12 and 12/14/12 PEC Meeting	11
11	8.	Reading of Transcript, 12/13/12	14
12		Discussion of Horizon Academy West Charter School, Renewal and Revote	
13	9.	Reading of Transcript, 12/13/12 Discussion of North Valley Academy	32
14		Charter School, Renewal and Revote	
15	10.	Report from the Secretary-Designate	71
16	11.	CSD Director's Report	64
17	12.	Charter School Amendments	0.0
18		a. Aldo Leopold High School b. AIMS	80 98
19		c. Ralph J. Bunche Charter School d. La Resolana Charter School	111 122
20		e. Alma d'Arte Charter High	142
21	13.	The Draft of the MOU Between PED/CSD and the PEC Regarding PEC Duties	156
22	14.	PEC Business	173
23	15.	PEC Comments	220
24	16.	Open Forum	149
25	17.	Adjourn	224

SANTA FE OFFICE 119 East Marcy, Suite 110 Santa Fe, NM 87501 (505) 989-4949 FAX (505) 843-9492



MAIN OFFICE
201 Third NW, Suite 1630
Albuquerque, NM 87102
(505) 843-9494
FAX (505) 843-9492
1-800-669-9492
e-mail: info@litsupport.com

1	February 1, 2013
2	THE CHAIR: If everyone could take their
3	seats, please, let's get started. I call to order
4	this regularly scheduled meeting of the New Mexico
5	Public Education Commission. Secretary Bergman,
6	could we have roll call, please?
7	COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: Commissioner Carr.
8	COMMISSIONER CARR: Yes, here. Sorry.
9	COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: Commissioner
10	Conyers.
11	COMMISSIONER CONYERS: Here.
12	COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: Commissioner
13	Peralta.
14	COMMISSIONER PERALTA: Here.
15	COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: Commissioner Pogna.
16	COMMISSIONER POGNA: Here.
17	COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: Commissioner Gant.
18	COMMISSIONER GANT: Here.
19	COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: Commissioner
20	Shearman.
21	THE CHAIR: Here.
22	COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: And
23	Commissioner Bergman is obviously here. So,
24	Madame Chair, you do have a quorum.
25	THE CHAIR: Thank you, Secretary Bergman.



We do have a quorum.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Santa Fe, NM 87501

FAX (505) 843-9492

(505) 989-4949

I will announce that Commissioner Toulouse, one of your new Commissioners, called earlier and said that there was an auto accident. She was not involved in it, but her automobile is sitting on the road trying to get through. not sure how long she will be to get here. But she is on her way.

Our next order of business is the Oath of Office for newly elected Commissioners. I would love for Hillary Noskin, PEC General Counsel, to introduce our honored guest for this morning.

MS. NOSKIN: Good morning, Commissioners. Hillary Noskin, General Counsel for the Public Education Department. And it is an honor and a pleasure to introduce Justice Barbara Vigil to give the Oath of Office today. Thank you.

> JUSTICE VIGIL: Thank you.

THE CHAIR: How would you like to proceed? JUSTICE VIGIL: Well, I think it's -- this is a special time for the Commissioners-Elect. so perhaps they would want to step down in front of the -- the bench there, one at a time, and do it each one separately. So maybe we can start with James Conyers, if that's okay.





1	THE CHAIR: May I ask, before we start the
2	swearing in, that everyone please turn your cell
3	phones off or to stun, or silence, or whatever
4	the case may be. Thank you.
5	JUSTICE VIGIL: And just for everyone's
6	information, Chief Justice Petra Maes Petra
7	Jimenez-Maes was under the weather today, so she
8	delegated her official duty to me this morning.
9	So and I will ask you to please raise your right
10	hand and repeat after me.
11	(Commissioner Conyers sworn into office
12	by Justice Vigil.)
13	(Applause.)
14	JUSTICE VIGIL: Congratulations.
15	COMMISSIONER CONYERS: Thank you.
16	(Commissioner Carr sworn into office
17	by Justice Vigil.)
18	(Applause.)
19	COMMISSIONER CARR: Thank you.
20	(Commissioner/Chair Shearman sworn into
21	office by Justice Vigil.)
22	JUSTICE VIGIL: Congratulations.
23	THE CHAIR: Thank you.
24	(Applause.)
25	JUSTICE VIGIL: All right. Thank you,





```
Thank you, Commissioners.
 1
     Beverly.
 2
               THE CHAIR:
                           Thank you very much, Justice
 3
     Viqil.
             Congratulations to Commissioner Convers, our
 4
     one new, so far, sworn-in Commissioner.
                                               And welcome
 5
     back, Jeff, and welcome back, Carolyn.
               Justice Vigil has graciously offered to
 6
 7
     come back over when Commissioner Toulouse arrives
     and will swear her in at that time so that we can
 8
     have all Commissioners able to vote this morning.
10
     So thank you again.
11
               Our next item of business is Item No. 4,
     the Pledge of Allegiance and the Salute to the
12
13
     New Mexico Flag. I will ask Commissioner Conyers to
14
     do both, in the absence of Commissioner Toulouse.
                (Pledge of Allegiance and
15
16
                 Salute to the New Mexico Flag conducted.)
17
               (Commisssioner-Elect Toulouse enters
                Mabry Hall.)
18
               THE CHAIR: Commissioner Toulouse is here.
19
20
     And let's take a minute, catch our breath and get
21
     her sworn in.
22
               COMMISSIONER TOULOUSE: Well, I apologize.
23
     There was an accident on I-40, so --
24
               COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: Madame Chair, I
25
     will mark Commissioner Toulouse present now, for the
```



SANTA FE OFFICE

Santa Fe, NM 87501

FAX (505) 843-9492

(505) 989-4949

119 East Marcy, Suite 110



record. 1 2 COMMISSIONER TOULOUSE: Grácias. 3 THE CHAIR: Have you caught your breath? 4 Are you ready to be sworn in? 5 COMMISSIONER TOULOUSE: Yeah. All ready. 6 THE CHAIR: Justice Vigil, please 7 continue. (Commissioner Toulouse sworn into office 8 9 by Justice Vigil.) 10 (Applause.) 11 THE CHAIR: Again, Justice Vigil, thank 12 you very much. 13 And welcome. 14 COMMISSIONER TOULOUSE: Thank you. 15 THE CHAIR: Let's move on to item No. 5, 16 Statement of Aspiration. And there is a change. 17 Commissioner Bergman will be doing the statement 18 this morning. 19 COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: Thank you. The 20 Public Education Commission was first created by a Constitutional amendment in 2002. 21 They began 22 operations in 2003, and, of course, are finishing up 23 a decade of service. We operate under a series of 24 statutory duties granted to us by the State 25 And we also operate under a set of Legislature.



rules and procedures of the Public Education
Commission.

For the benefit of our new Commissioners, and as a refresher for those of us who have been here awhile, I am going to read an excerpt from our rules and procedures just for everybody's information today. And it has to do with our voting process.

If a Commissioner wishes to abstain from a vote, he or she shall state before the vote is taken. Failure to announce his or her intent to abstain before the vote is taken shall result in the Commissioner's forfeiture of the right to abstain.

After the final vote has been announced, an abstaining Commissioner shall state the reasons for his or her abstention. And I was going to say abstentions shall not be counted in the tally of the vote.

Thank you, Madame Chairman.

THE CHAIR: Thank you,

Commissioner Bergman. It is interesting. We've all read our policies and procedures. But some things, since we don't do them very often, kind of slip -- slip our minds. So thank you for that reminder.

Appreciate it.





Next item on the agenda is Approval of 1 the -- of the -- well, I can't talk today -- are 2 3 there any changes or corrections to the agenda, as 4 presented? 5 I would like to make one. I received a 6 phone call from Deputy Secretary Aguilar yesterday. 7 And he said that he is really tied up pretty hard 8 and fast today in the Legislature, but that he would try his very best to be here to give the report for 10 the Secretary. And he asked, whenever he shows up, could we accommodate him to allow him to make that 11 12 And I felt like we could certainly do that. report. 13 So Item No. 10, Report from the 14 Secretary-Designate, is going to have to be rather a 15 floating item, in my mind, so that we can 16 accommodate Deputy Secretary Aguilar when he is able 17 to -- to be here. 18 Are there any other comments on the 19 agenda? If not, the floor is open for a motion to 20 approve. 21 COMMISSIONER POGNA: (Indicates.) 22 THE CHAIR: I'm looking at Commissioner 23 Pogna moving that the agenda be approved. 24 COMMISSIONER CARR: Second. 25 THE CHAIR: Seconded by Commissioner Carr.



1	Any discussion?
2	All those in favor, please say "Aye."
3	(Commissioners so indicate.)
4	THE CHAIR: Any opposed, same sign?
5	Motion carries.
6	We are to Item No. 7, Approval of the
7	Minutes. You've had those for a couple of weeks.
8	Are there any corrections or additions noted to the
9	minutes?
10	COMMISSIONER GANT: I move for acceptance
11	of the minutes as written.
12	COMMISSIONER CARR: Second.
13	THE CHAIR: We have a motion and second by
14	Commissioners Gant and Carr to approve the minutes.
15	I do have a couple of corrections, if I
16	might bring those up. I should have spoken sooner.
17	If I could direct your attention to
18	Page 97, about midway through the page, there is a
19	reference to "E-P-S." As a matter of fact, there
20	are two references. I believe that should be EPSS,
21	E-P-S-S.
22	On Page 117 oh, I'm sorry, never mind.
23	Page 122 is where I meant to direct your attention.
24	It's where the Chair said, "You have 13 minutes to
25	speak." And it should be "15 minutes." And it's up



1	at the very, very top of Page 122. "You now have
2	13 minutes to make your response to the PEC." That
3	should be "15."
4	Page 134, the word "connective" is used,
5	and it should be "corrective."
6	Page 165, the name in the record is
7	"Donna," D-O-N-N-A. It should be "Dawn," D-A-W-N.
8	Moving to the December 14th minutes,
9	Page 31, there is a reference to it should be
L 0	"IDEA B," I-D-E-A, B.
L1	Page 47, the last paragraph on Page 47,
L 2	"Commissioner Sherman" should be "Shearman."
L 3	And the last correction I note is on
L 4	Page 62. Again, it should be "IDEA B." Those are
L 5	all the corrections I noted.
L 6	COMMISSIONER GANT: Do we need a motion to
L 7	accept?
L 8	THE CHAIR: You're amending your motion.
L 9	COMMISSIONER GANT: But someone has to
20	make a motion correct me if I'm wrong needs to
21	make a motion to accept the changes you've just
22	mentioned, because I've already put a motion in. So
23	someone needs to say, "Motion to accept the changes
24	as you've stated," with a second, and we vote on
2.5	that



1	THE CHAIR: Okay, thank you.
2	Commissioner Bergman?
3	COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: I move that we
4	accept the minutes with the changes that you just
5	announced.
6	COMMISSIONER GANT: We have to do a motion
7	to my motion and vote on it first.
8	COMMISSIONER TOULOUSE: Madame Chair,
9	point of order. He can withdraw his original motion
10	as the seconder also withdraws it. And then he can
11	amend it. You don't have to do that vote.
12	COMMISSIONER GANT: We can do it either
13	way.
14	THE CHAIR: What would you prefer?
15	COMMISSIONER GANT: I withdraw my motion.
16	COMMISSIONER CARR: I withdraw my second.
17	THE CHAIR: The original motion and second
18	are withdrawn. May we have a new motion?
19	COMMISSIONER GANT: I move that we accept
20	the minutes, with changes noted by
21	Commissioner Shearman, for the 13th and 14th of
22	December.
23	THE CHAIR: Thank you.
24	COMMISSIONER CARR: Second.
25	THE CHAIR: Motion by Commissioner Gant.



Seconded by Commissioner Carr to accept the minutes, 1 2 with the noted corrections by Commissioner Shearman, for both December 13th and 14th. All those in 3 4 favor, please say "Aye." 5 (Commissioners so indicate.) THE CHAIR: All opposed. Motion carries. 6 7 Thank you very much. 8 Next item on the agenda -- let me get back to the correct spot -- next item on the agenda is 10 No. 8, Reading of the Transcript of the December 13, 11 2012, Discussion of Horizon Academy West Charter 12 School Renewal and Revote. 13 I will turn the floor over to our 14 attorney, Mark Reynolds. MR. REYNOLDS: Thank you, Madame Chair, 15 and members of the Commission. 16 17 In December, we had a two-day meeting, December 13th, December 14th. The next two items on 18 19 the agenda for our meeting today, the renewal of the 20 charters for Horizon Academy West and for North Valley Academy, were planned to be discussed 21 22 on Friday, December 14. On Thursday, December 13, we had time; the schools were here; we had concerns 23 24 for bad weather the next day. The Commission 25 wondered if we could address those two items on the



13th instead of the 14th.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

The Commission turned to me, and I gave the okay to do that. I should not have done that. The reason I should not have done that is that the notice of the meeting, which I had not seen at the time, but should have thought to look at, specifically stated that those two items would be discussed on December 13th and not December 14th. [Verbatim.]

We, therefore, quite possibly, by discussing that on the 13th instead of the 14th, had an Open Meetings Act violation. So these next two items on the agenda are to cure that possible violation that we had.

What I will do is read the transcript of the meeting from December 13th, in which we discussed first, Item No. 8, the Horizon Academy West charter. And then we'll move on to Item No. 9.

After I -- reading of the transcripts will give anybody who came to the December 14 meeting expecting to hear that discussion, but did not, the opportunity to hear everything that was said at the December 13th meeting, which is what the Open Meetings Act gives people the right to do, to attend and listen.



After I read the transcript, I will invite the Chair to ask the Charter School Division and the school, if they are present, if they would like to add anything, say anything different or more from what was said on the 13th. The Chair can then invite questions, further deliberation from the Commissioners, if they wish, and, at the conclusion of that, take a revote on the -- on the issue.

I -- I will note that we do have three people in attendance -- three Commissioners in attendance today who were not in attendance at the December meeting. Those Commissioners will hear everything that was said at the December 13 meeting after I read the transcript. The -- those Commissioners have been provided all of the documents that were provided to the Commissioners at the December 13th and 14th meeting and will have the opportunity to ask questions and have further deliberation here today. So I believe everyone is capable of making a decision on this item.

So, Madame Chair, with your permission, I'll go ahead and read the transcript, and then we'll take the next steps from there.

THE CHAIR: Please proceed.

MR. REYNOLDS: This is a portion of the





transcript from December 13th, 2012, a Meeting of the Public Education Commission. I anticipate this taking me -- I don't know -- maybe about ten minutes to read.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

(505) 989-4949

"By Commissioner Garrison: I will call this meeting of the Public Education Commission back into order. I hope everyone had a wonderful lunch. We will continue with Item No. 11 on the agenda, vote on current charter schools, and we are at No. 4, the Horizon Academy West in Albuquerque.

"I would like to ask Horizon Academy West to come to the front table, and you will introduce yourselves in a little bit. But first, we will direct your attention to the Director of Operations for Parents, Tony Gerlicz, to give the Charter School Division evaluation and recommendation."

This is by Mr. Gerlicz: "Thank you, This is Horizon Academy Commissioner Garrison. West's tenth year in operation and their third renewal, their second one in front of the Public Education Commission. They were originally authorized with Albuquerque Public Schools and have been with the State for the last -- what would that be? -- four years.

"After a thorough analysis, the Charter



School Division recommends approval of another five-year term for the Horizon Academy West with no conditions. And that is our recommendation. Thank you."

By Commissioner Garrison: "Thank you,
Director Gerlicz. Please introduce yourselves -and this does not count against your 15 minutes -and then you will proceed to respond to the Charter
School Division recommendation. Good afternoon."

This is by Ms. Duran.

"Good afternoon. First, let me say thank you so much for getting us on the agenda. We appreciate being moved forward and the opportunity to speak with you all today. I am Amy Duran, the director of the Horizon Academy West. And to my left is our principal, Cynthia Carter; and to my right is our business manager, Deanna Cordova. I'd also like to say again, we appreciate the opportunity to be commissioned twice through the PEC.

"We were one of the first charter schools to be able to have the opportunity to be commissioned through the PEC, versus our local school district, which was Albuquerque Public Schools. We've had a wonderful relationship with





the Charter School Division, and we feel like we have a very good collaborative working relationship at this point.

We opened our doors in 2002, and if I'm still correct, we are the largest elementary charter school in the state. We recently added a pre-K a.m. and p.m. class to our roster, 40 kids total. Last year starts our second year as a pre-K for the State Department.

"We are also proud to be a B-rated school, only three points away from an A, which we're working very hard to attain at this point. And we're also committed to high academic standards and moving forward in the next five years working with the PEC and the Charter School Division and beyond. Thank you very much for having us today."

By Commissioner Garrison: "Thank you.

You now have 13 minutes [sic] to make your response
to the PEC. Please proceed."

By Ms. Duran: "If anyone has any specific questions for us, we'd love to entertain them.

Other than that, we don't really have anything else at this point."

Commissioner Garrison: "Thank you very much. The Chair is going to open the floor for





questions and/or comments from Commissioners to the Charter School Division or the applicant.

3 | Commissioner Bergman."

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

This is by Commissioner Bergman. "Thank you, Mr. Chair. Just one thing. The results speak for themselves, but I had a question about part of the analysis from CSD. It was on Page 14, under the mathematics, your short cycle assessment. The very last sentence says you experienced challenges in Grades 1 and 2, while you did real well in 3 through 6. I was just curious. Have you been able to identify why you had those challenges?"

By Ms. Duran. "We have. We have identified the challenges in Grades 1 and 2, essentially based upon the fact that the kids are coming in. We're very proud of our efforts to assess these children and their needs. This is when kids are going through SAT team, Student Assistance Team, to identify those needs, if needed, and also maybe place an IEP during those times as well. And we look towards third through sixth grade to really identify those needs and to meet their needs, and that's where you see the engagement with students and really meeting them on their level and the gains to progress throughout the upper years of school."



By Commissioner Bergman: "So have you 1 2 determined, is there anything you can do to increase the first and second grades, or have you not gotten 3 4 to that point yet?" Ms. Duran: "Absolutely. We're always 5 looking for other assessments, for other academic 6 7 measures to meet their needs and are working really 8 diligently with our teams to identify students' needs and to address them specifically. 10 different for each child." 11 "Commissioner Bergman: Thank you, I was 12 just curious. Thank you, Mr. Chair." 13 "Commissioner Garrison: Thank you, 14 Commissioner Bergman. Commissioner Carr." 15 By Commissioner Carr: "I have a question, Mr. Chair." 16 17 By Commissioner Garrison: "Commissioner Carr." 18 19 "Commissioner Carr: It looks like you 20 have a great deal of success. I think you're 21 probably above the average when you look at fourth, 22 fifth, and sixth grade. Is there anything specific, 23 or what do you think you're doing that some other 24 schools aren't, because we have so many -- after 25 fourth grade, I mean, kids start falling off; I



mean, after third grade in some cases. So what suggestions do you have for the rest of the schools in our state that might help us out?"

By Ms. Duran: "It's funny you should ask that, because we were here five years ago. The same question was asked, and my response at that time was, being a school for only five years at that point, we don't have an aeronautics program, we're not specialized in some really crazy kind of technology. But what we do specialize in is really centering on children's needs. And we're very proud of the fact that we assess constantly.

"And so we're meeting students at their level of need, whether it's at grade level, below grade level, or accelerated. So we're able to advance students within their grade level for academic subjects according to their needs. We're focused in on the smaller group to assess those needs as well.

"So wherever their needs are, we feel like we're really meeting them constantly."

"Commissioner Carr: Are you specifically used differentiated education models?"

"Ms. Duran: Essentially, yes."

"Commissioner Carr: Okay. Makes total





1 sense to me."

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

2 "Ms. Duran: Thank you."

"Commissioner Garrison: Any other questions or comments from the Commissioners?

Commissioner Bergman."

By Commissioner Bergman: "I just saw something else that I wanted to comment on. At one point, you had indicated that approximately 25 percent of your student population participate in the community service. But then later, you say 100 percent do. And it sounds like -- and it sounds to me like you might want to revisit that goal, because it sounds like you didn't include a lot of community service, which is why you arrived at -- but it doesn't sound right when you look at it, when you say you're going to have 100 percent. And we have only 25; but then later on, it turns out you had 100 percent. Any thoughts on that?"

By Ms. Duran: "I'm glad you brought that up, because there's been a point of contention within our goal writing, I suppose you should say. The goal was supposed to read that 100 percent of students would have the opportunity to participate in community service to groups such as our Wild

25 Friends group. We have an after-school group called



Crew. We have various things during the year where all students are able to participate.

"And so we wanted to allow 100 percent of our population to participate. But it's not mandated. So at this point, we have about 25 percent of our population that's supporting community service. But we also have things schoolwide constantly. So even though it is not an organized group, per se, it is an opportunity where we contribute to our community."

"Commissioner Bergman: So that's kind of like -- well, it was a little confusing to me, because every school in the state, 100 percent of the students have an opportunity to do a service project. That doesn't mean they're going to do it. So maybe that's what my confusion is coming in. Anyway, thank you. Appreciate it."

This is by Commissioner Garrison: "Thank you, Commissioner Bergman. Other questions or comments?"

This is by Mr. Gerlicz: "Commissioner Garrison, Commissioner Bergman, on that point, which I think is also an excellent observation, this is the kind of thing that the new SB 446 that we enter into will allow us the opportunity to sit down





and dialogue, negotiate, and have exactly that kind of question, and be much more specific and much more professional about that detail and the goals. "That's how we can see that new legislation really assisting us. Thank you." "Commissioner Garrison: Thank you for that comment, Director Gerlicz. " "Commissioner Carr: Mr. Chair, is it okay if I speak?" "Commission Garrison: Commissioner Carr, of course, the floor is yours." "Commissioner Carr: What just popped into my head, too, is one of the reasons why we want charter schools is because -- one of the reasons why we have charter schools is to do something

my head, too, is one of the reasons why we want charter schools is because -- one of the reasons why we have charter schools is to do something different, maybe something that hasn't been done before. And I don't know if we're doing a good job and documenting and getting information out of -- you know, as far as to make sure people know about practices that have been very successful in other schools, so that other schools can take a look at it and say, "Hmm, maybe we should have done some of the things that they're doing."

So I don't know if we're addressing that and actually getting that information out to other



1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24



schools or not, but it's something that we need to think about."

By Mr. Gerlicz: "Commissioner Garrison, Commissioner Carr, a great question. I'm currently looking at my computer screen at the responses that I have gotten back from a letter that I sent out to all the charters asking for their number one innovation that they believe they have contributed to the field of education. And so far, I'm looking at -- I'm not sure that Horizon is on here. South Valley, Masters, AIMS, Anansi, Bataan. The list is impressive, I might say.

"And I would invite you, Amy, and your crew to send me what you believe is your number one innovation, whether it's that that's gotten the fourth grade reading hump, or whatever it is, as we complete -- as we compile exactly this list of innovations that are working."

"Commissioner Carr: Good."

"Mr. Gerlicz: Thank you."

21 By Commissioner Garrison: "Great news.

22 | Thank you, Director Gerlicz. Thank you,

23 | Commissioner Carr. The floor remains open."

24 By Commissioner Bergman: "Can I take one

more stab?"

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20





"Commissioner Garrison: Commissioner Bergman, of course, you can."

By Commissioner Bergman: "I'm working my way through my notes, so I come to them as I come to them. This is not an attack or anything like that. But I'm more curious. Under the fiscal management section, actually, your findings are low. But the one note that was there was that you had a repeat finding, and it was for expenditures exceeding budget authority.

And I'm not an accountant, but that sounds pretty major to me. Is that current, or has that been taken care of in the past?"

By Ms. Duran: "It's been taken care of in the past. We've had previous business managers and are happy to say that we have been with our current business manager for two years, and, as an employee, four years. So we went through some trials and tribulations early on and have sorted through those, and that's why you see the decline in findings.

"We have really, really good hands around our finances."

"Commissioner Bergman: I just wanted to be reassured that I was not -- it was not current.

And you have reassured me. Thank you very much.





Thank you, Mr. Chair." 1 2 By Commissioner Garrison: "Thank you, 3 Commissioner Bergman. Any other questions or 4 comments from the Chair or from the Commissioners? 5 Seeing none, the Chair will entertain a motion. Commissioner Shearman?" 6 7 By Commissioner Shearman: "Mr. Chair, I 8 move for approval of the renewal application of 9 Horizon West Academy with no conditions." 10 By Commissioner Carr: "Second." By Commissioner Garrison: "The motion and 11 12 a second, seconded by Commissioner Carr. Is there 13 any discussion?" 14 By Commissioner Bergman: "Is that the 15 correct name? My form says 'Horizon West Charter 16 School.' I don't see any -- the word 'Academy' 17 there." 18 By Ms. Duran: "It's Horizon Academy West. 19 By Commissioner Garrison: "Thank you for 20 that correction. Horizon Academy West. 21 discussion on it? Seeing none, we will call for a 22 roll-call vote. Secretary Gant." 23 And in the transcript, Secretary Gant 24 called up the Commissioner's name, and then the 25 Commissioner will respond. And I will read through



1 it. 2 "Commissioner Peralta?" "Yes." 3 4 "Commissioner Bergman?" 5 Bergman is "Yes." "Commissioner Carr?" 6 7 Carr is "Yes." 8 "Commissioner Lopez?" 9 Lopez is "Yes." 10 "Commissioner Shearman?" Shearman is "Yes." 11 12 "Commissioner Gant." 13 Commissioner Gant is "Yes." Commissioner Garrison is "Yes." 14 15 By Commission Gant: "You have a 7-0 in favor." 16 17 "Commissioner Garrison: It's unanimous. 18 The vote of the PEC is to approve the renewal 19 application without conditions for Horizon Academy 20 West. Congratulations. Thank you for your hard 21 work." 22 Madame Chair, that concludes the portion 23 of the transcript that deals with Horizon Academy 24 And I would invite you to ask the Charter West. 25 School Division or the schools if they would like to



say anything more than what was said at the 1 2 December 13 meeting. Thank you, Mr. Reynolds. 3 THE CHAIR: 4 Continuing in the order that we established in the 5 December 13th and 14th meetings, I will first ask the Charter School Division if they have any further 6 7 comments. 8 MR. GERLICZ: Madame Chair, members of the 9 Commission, we have no additional comments. Nothing 10 has changed since that meeting. Thank you. 11 THE CHAIR: Thank you. I would ask the 12 representative for Horizon West Academy -- pardon 13 me -- pardon me -- Horizon Academy West -- if you 14 would stand, please, and let us know if you have 15 anything to add to the proceedings. 16 UNIDENTIFED SPEAKER: We have nothing 17 further at this time. 18 THE CHAIR: Thank you very much. 19 Appreciate it. You may be seated. Commissioners, 20 are there questions from the Commissioners? Seeing 21 no questions from Commissioners, the Chair will 22 entertain a motion. 23 COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: Madame Chair? 24 THE CHAIR: Commissioner Bergman.



Thank you.

COMMISSIONER BERGMAN:

1	Madame Chair, I move for approval of the renewal
2	application of Horizon Academy West with no
3	conditions.
4	COMMISSIONER PERALTA: Second.
5	THE CHAIR: I have a motion from
6	Commissioner Bergman, seconded by Commissioner
7	Peralta, to approve the application of Horizon
8	Academy West with no conditions. Mr. Secretary, may
9	we have a roll call vote, please?
10	COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: Commissioner Carr.
11	COMMISSIONER CARR: Yes.
12	COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: Commissioner
13	Conyers.
14	COMMISSIONER CONYERS: Yes.
15	COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: Commissioner
16	Peralta.
17	COMMISSIONER PERALTA: Yes.
18	COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: Commissioner Pogna.
19	COMMISSIONER POGNA: Yes.
20	COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: Commissioner
21	Toulouse.
22	COMMISSIONER TOULOUSE: Yes.
23	COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: Commissioner Gant.
24	COMMISSIONER GANT: Yes.
25	COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: Commissioner .





Shearman. 1 2 THE CHAIR: Yes. COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: 3 And 4 Commissioner Bergman says "yes." Madam Chair, by an 5 8-to-0 vote, the motion is approved. 6 THE CHAIR: Thank you, 7 Commissioner Bergman. It is unanimous. The vote of 8 the PEC is to approve the renewal application, without conditions, for Horizon Academy West. 10 Congratulations. 11 We will now move to Agenda Item 9, the reading of the transcript, December 13, 2012, 12 13 Discussion of North Valley Academy Charter School 14 Renewal -- Renewal and Revote. Mr. Reynolds, please. 15 16 MR. REYNOLDS: Thank you, Madame Chair. 17 I'll proceed with the same process that we just had 18 for the prior agenda item. At the risk of everybody 19 being tired of my voice, this one is a little bit 20 longer, but I'll try to get through it as quickly as 21 possible, with also giving the reporter an 22 opportunity to keep up. So, Madame Reporter, if you have a hard 23 24 time, please slow me down, if necessary. 25 THE REPORTER: Will do.



MR. REYNOLDS: This also is a portion of the transcript from the December 13, 2012 meeting of the Public Education Commission.

This is by Commissioner Garrison: "We will continue with Item No. 11 on the agenda, vote on seven current charter schools, and be hearing the North Valley Academy. If you would all come up, folks, to the front table, you'll introduce yourselves in a bit.

"We will begin with Director Tony Gerlicz of the Operations [sic] for Parents Division to hear the Charter School Division's evaluation and recommendation. Director Gerlicz."

By Mr. Gerlicz: "Thank you, Commissioner Garrison, members of the PEC. North Valley Academy. This is their third overall renewal process, their second with the Public Education Commission. They also began being authorized by Albuquerque Public Schools. So you can see it's a school that's been in existence for ten years.

"After a thorough analysis, this is a little more challenging. However, we do believe that approval with conditions for North Valley is in order. And we've put a three-year restriction on that approval so that -- so that they could address



1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

the following conditions:

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

"Our recommendation is that North Valley
Academy negotiate a renewal contract with the Public
Education Commission, pursuant to 22-8B-9.1, that
they address the connective [sic] -- corrective
action for the material violations from the previous
charter, as part of the material terms of the
performance contract going forward.

"No. 2: They negotiate new academic and performance goals that have rigorous metrics and measures as part of the performance contract.

"That is our recommendation to the PEC.
Thank you."

"Commissioner Garrison: Thank you,
Director Gerlicz. Please introduce yourselves, and
after that, I will let you know when your time
commences for the 15-minute -- not rebuttal, I
always say 'rebuttal' -- comments on the Charter
School Division recommendations. Good morning."

This is by Mr. Fitzgerald: "Good afternoon, Chairman, Commissioners. I'm Scott Fitzgerald. I'm president of the governing council of North Valley Academy. To my right is Stephanie Belmore. She's the new principal of North Valley Academy, and, along with serving with the PED, she's



a passionate leader, a lot of experience in administration and digital education.

"To my left is Ray Barton, retired CEO of St. Joseph Health Care. He's also a member of the governing council. He's the chairman of strategic planning and coordinator of our charter application process. And, also, if there's time, we have several teachers and parents who have come with us. In respect of everybody's time, I'll just introduce them."

"Commissioner Garrison: Introduce them."

"Mr. Fitzgerald: Okay. Sarah Pina is our business manager. And Ms. Beasley is a third-grade teacher. Mr. Wilkening is our seventh-grade and eighth-grade literature. And I apologize. Jennie Trinkaus is a parent."

"Commissioner Garrison: Thank you. And thank you for coming. You have 15 minutes to comment on the Charter School Division's recommendation. You may proceed."

"Mr. Fitzgerald: Okay. I thought I'd start out and just give you a brief background of our school. We're pleased to be here and represent the stakeholders of our school. We're a fairly large charter school. We have approximately

SANTA FE OFFICE 119 East Marcy, Suite 110 Santa Fe, NM 87501 (505) 989-4949 FAX (505) 843-9492

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24



480 students, about 50 teachers and staff, and hundreds of parents and grandparents. I will give you a brief background here, and Ms. Belmore will take over after that.

"The North Valley Academy is located in the North Rio Grande Valley in the Village of Los Ranchos, pretty much in the heart of Albuquerque. On our campus, about 80 percent of the students are Hispanic, Native American, non-Caucasian. About 60 percent qualify for free or reduced lunch.

"In the past, North Valley Academy has concentrated mainly on two areas of education. The education philosophy was developing the whole child, which really means focusing on individual development of each child; and, secondly, was the health and wellness, with teaching and practicing of the principles of our charter, health and wellness.

"Our governing council, about a year ago, asked all the North Valley Academy parents to complete a comprehensive survey and give a letter grade to many things, from the bathrooms, to homeworks, to grounds, to curriculum, the teachers, administration. We wanted to find out what the stakeholders thought of our school.



"The results were really striking. The most important feedback items were overwhelming reasons for parents to bring their kids to our school was for our core exceptional teachers. And the second thing they were in favor of was

North Valley Academy parents feel very strongly that developing the whole child and providing individual attention to their students is really important. We also do feel that the principles of health and wellness are having a positive impact on their kids.

"Just as striking to us, however, was the fact that a rigorous and challenging academic program was not among their leading positives for the school.

"Now, last year, when the PED distributed its first preliminary report card in January of 2012, the growing concerns for the governance council were pretty much confirmed. The State preliminary grade for North Valley Academy was an F. Okay? The North Valley Academy students and parents were shocked. This is certainly not the grade that our 500 students and families were expecting.

"Now, the irony of all this is that, when the PED did issue that report card grade of an F, they actually did North Valley Academy a great





favor. It woke us up.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

"The New Mexico State report card for the schools giving us an F is the best thing that happened to the school in many years. As a result, it became very clear to the governing council that a new action plan was necessary. In addition to offering exceptional teachers, developing the whole child, and promoting health and wellness, it was imperative that we raise our academic standards and achieve higher education test scores.

"To help our school achieve these goals, the governing council of North Valley Academy brought in Ms. Stephanie Belmore. And with that being said, I would like to introduce to you Ms. Belmore, the new principal, and let her take it over from here. Thank you."

By Ms. Belmore: "Thank you,

Mr. Fitzgerald. Good afternoon, Mr. Chair, members of the Commission, and PED. As a result of the foregoing months and my, actually, ten months there, we have undergone an impressive transformation. And 'transformation' is the word that I choose, in that that's what I use to measure in my ability to come in and look at what could be done at the school.

"I have experience, as Mr. Fitzgerald has



stated, as an administrator, and I thought that there was great value in what has already begun at the school. This effort has resulted in a completely unified leadership team at North Valley Academy.

"I'll share with you that, as a school, it is important to have the support of the board members, and, not only that, the administrative team. We have undergone lots of transformation with regard to replacement of other folks on my administrative team. We have hired a finance director -- she was introduced earlier -- which I believe is imperative to moving forward.

"The difference in our school over the past 18 months is palpable. Virtually anyone who visits our campus, from regulators, to our facility inspectors, to substitute teachers and parents, state that they have the idea that there is a positive feel about what's going on in North Valley Academy. They not only state that, but they also state that there is a culture change and a shift. And for this, I am very grateful.

"The focus of this effort of transformation of the school's very culture has already resulted in drastic improvement of the SBA



scores this past year. The current PED report card grade for NVA is now A-B. The teachers and staff have instituted a ploy to add over 100 hours of extra time to the calendar schedule. We now have blocks that are identified as health and wellness and enrichment blocks that help support underachieving students.

"Our teachers have taken extra effort and work very hard at assuring that our teachers are taught with interdisciplinary focus in our classrooms. We also follow the Common Core and have built upon that and introduced a higher level of looking at the middle school, as per expectations, to increase the population in that particular age level.

"North Valley Academy has also been able to retain a core of exceptional teachers, which, coming in new, I welcome. NVA wants to demonstrate to the Charter School Division and PEC that individualized education and development of the whole child, while concurrently raising the academic standards, would be our hope.

Change is visible at North Valley Academy.

We aspire to be partners with PED -- I'm sorry. We aspire to be partners with PED and PEC and those who





are dedicated to educational excellence, reform, and innovation. Within my short few months, I have contacted other administrators within the charter world and have already looked at sharing professional services to help budget concerns and constraints. This, I am exceptionally happy about.

"We've got ASK Academy, that's not so far away, that was the high-school level. So we're looking at partnering with them in order to look at our STEM initiative, which will be forthcoming.

"In short, we are allies. We are simply seeking your support and encouragement to continue what we have already started. North Valley believes that PED did a thorough and very professional job in gathering information and evaluating our school. We agree with the support in both the staff report and the staff recommendations that we have received.

"We believe that three years is enough time to clearly demonstrate continued advancement in academic performance as well as our commitment to real innovation in the charter setting. The entire North Valley Academy school community appreciates your time and service to the opportunity to serve the best interests of our students and family.

"At this time, I would like to share with



you also that we have Mr. Barton here. He was a preliminary author of our application, and I will ask him to address any questions that you might have as well. Thank you."

"Commissioner Garrison: Thank you for your presentation. The floor is open for Commissioner questions and/or comments from the Charter School Division or the applicant.

Commissioner Bergman."

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

By Commissioner Bergman: "I guess I'm the designated first goer here. I don't mind. I rarely talk. This is the only time I ever get to talk.

You talked about the turmoil and the transformation, and you discussed it clearly in your application. On Page 15, I saw a few things I just wanted to touch upon. You noted that the North Valley Academy has experienced a difficult and fundamental transformation, and then, later, you state -- and I'll find it here in a second -- this 18-month struggle that has been nothing short of the school's internal struggle for its future identity.

"And then you close that little section with, 'After more than a year of focused effort, a unified leadership team is finally complete and in place.'

SANTA FE OFFICE 119 East Marcy, Suite 110 Santa Fe, NM 87501 (505) 989-4949 FAX (505) 843-9492



"Now, my question is this: Is this new governing council, are you guys in it for the long haul? And you and the new administrators, are you in it for the long haul if I'm going to vote 'yes' on this application?"

By Ms. Belmore: "Thank you,

Commissioner Bergman. I will share with you that I

have spent 33 years in education, and I feel that

having children in my life not only gives me

purpose, but I will share with you that I do commit

to see this charter school through this turmoil into

a charter school that is reputable and gives

innovation to other schools.

"I always have believed, in my administrative leadership, that we should share great things, and I'm all about giving them away. It was mentioned earlier about how we go about sharing these things. I look to PED leadership and commend them for their efforts to make that happen."

This is by Mr. Barton: "Commissioner Bergman, Commissioner Garrison, I think that the question is, we have been working on this question because we've had so much governing council turnover. I was chairman of the board during the first year of this transition.





Τ	"The thing that we've identified more than
2	the issue of how long our governing council members
3	stayed is that we have not done a good job at all in
4	the transition and education of new members and the
5	planning for that. So what we've concentrated on
6	yes, you see the leadership team. But what we've
7	been concentrating on and discussing at length is
8	beginning to identify our future transition members
9	now and starting to educate them, prepare them, so
L 0	that when we make those transitions, it is seamless.
L1	Does that help?"
L 2	"Commissioner Bergman: Thank you. I just
L 3	wanted to hear you say it. I knew you would say it.
L 4	Thank you very much. Thank you. Mr. Chair."
L 5	"Commissioner Garrison: Thank you,
L 6	Commissioner Bergman. Commissioner Shearman."
L 7	This is by Commissioner Shearman: "I note
8 .	on Page 2 of the recommendation, the recommendation
L 9	that addressed corrective action for material
20	violations, I would like to ask Director Gerlicz
21	what are those violations."
22	By Mr. Gerlicz: "One of them,
23	Commissioner Shearman, is that North Valley Academy
24	exceeded their enrollment cap without going through
25	the proper I believe it's one of the material



violations. And that can be a serious matter if the school is designed for a certain size and they have gone over. There are revenue implications. There are educational implications, and that has implications for performance as well.

"So that was one of the material violations. And I believe that that was the only one that we feel can be easily corrected, but worth noting. Thank you."

"Commissioner Shearman: I'm looking at violations, plural, which made me wonder if there were others than the enrollment cap."

"Mr. Barton: Mr. Chairman,
Commissioner Shearman, there were two listed in the report. The one was the enrollment violation.
Absolutely, we did it. It was caught by the State in preparation for the annual visit, and the school

and the governing council passed an approval of a higher enrollment cap. That was brought to the PEC at that time and approved.

"But I have read the transcripts of that meeting very carefully several times, and you were all absolutely correct in expressing anger that this can't go on, and we worked very hard the last 12 or 18 months to make sure that all compliance is

SANTA FE OFFICE 119 East Marcy, Suite 110 Santa Fe, NM 87501 (505) 989-4949 FAX (505) 843-9492

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24



dramatically changed at the school, and we feel we're doing a good job of that now.

"There was a second item listed, which we self-reported, and that was that, in our charter, it calls for a teacher governance committee. And that has never been formed at the school until we brought it on to Ms. Belmore, and she has formed that, and it's active and working for us."

By Mr. Gerlicz: "Commissioner Garrison, of those two, Commissioner Shearman, and -- we probably should be more judicious in borrowing the language of the law that says 'material violations.' One of those, we consider material, the enrollment cap. The teacher governance, yes, but not really material to the function of the school. It's included in the plural."

By Commissioner Shearman: "Okay. I also note, on Page 24, compliance issues. I'm really shocked to see that many compliance issues. I assume they've all been addressed" --

By Mr. Fitzgerald: "Yes, ma'am."

"Commissioner Shearman: -- "completely to this point, but what procedures have you put in place to see that this does not happen again?"

By Mr. Barton: "Commissioner Shearman and





Chairman Garrison, when we looked at forming a new policy and procedure manual by which we operate, we had three different policy-and-procedure manuals that conflicted. And so we just started over. It was impossible to determine which ones were the old ones, and which ones were approved and new. So we started over and we used the application process to finish that and complete those in which we had never been in compliance, such as the safety plan, the security schools, the health-and-wellness plans.

"We have never completed those and had those reviewed by the Bureau. Those are at the Bureau. They received the initial review. We are correcting some minor things, and they'll be done. Everything else has been complied with now, through the policies and procedures of the school. That's our mechanism."

By Commissioner Shearman: "I just want to say, the more I read your application, I get the impression of a school in turmoil. And I think you all have told us that you agree with that today.

Frankly, I'm a little reluctant to vote to approve the renewal of a school in turmoil. I'm somewhat reassured by what you have said today, that you are addressing that, and you have a team in place that's



capable of addressing that. But I think three years 1 2 may be more than I'm willing to go. I'm almost thinking another 18 months. 3 4 "Neither here nor there. I'm pleased to 5 see you have a team in place, and you know what your 6 problems are and that you are addressing them. 7 Thank you." 8 By Mr. Barton: "Mr. Chairman, can I 9 respond?"

10 "Commissioner Garrison: Commissioner
11 Shearman."

school in turmoil at this point.

"Commissioner Shearman: Please do, yes."

"Mr. Barton: I think your concerns are

well-placed. We've stated this internally. If

we -- we'd been sitting here a year ago, in good

conscience, I could not recommend approval. If I

were to characterize this school now, it's not a

"What we tried to do in the application was to fully and completely disclose where the holes were in the past, what has happened in the transition, and where we are now. I think I can say that with some authority, in that, in my executive life, my role was a turn-around specialist of large companies. That's why I came to Albuquerque to



12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

St. Joseph Health Care. They were bankrupt, and we turned them around.

"So I have turned around now about eight different companies, going in where it was just hopeless. And I'm a pretty good judge of when it's stabilized. This organization is stabilized. And the key, again, was the unified leadership team.

"The governing council is absolutely of one mind, and I think that's probably the first time that's happened in the school's history, based on historical documents. So I would not characterize this in turmoil at this point. The reason we wanted to make sure -- we probably overdid that in the application -- was full disclosure, make sure we -- clean slate. This is where we are now. But we -- but had we not made the transition and the turn this last 12 to 18 months, I would absolutely agree with you.

"One other quick comment, if I can,
Commissioners. And that is on the issue of three
years. I have served on commissions like this
before around the country. Personalizing a little,
my initial statement, as we started to put this
together, and as the primary author of all the
information, was the Commission should not approve a



five-year charter for this school at this point. 1 2 "However, it is my opinion it should not 3 also approve a one- or two-year. And, ironically, I 4 felt three years was the perfect term without any 5 conversation of that with PED. And the reason is 6 that you have some ups and downs as you make the 7 turn, and you need enough time to be able say, 8 without those bumps evened out, 'Let us show you what we've done.' And if it's too short, it's 10 difficult to do. But we don't deserve longer. 11 "So when the recommendation came out of 12 three years, in my opinion, that was the perfect 13 time frame to demonstrate that." 14 "Commissioner Shearman: Thank you for 15 that reassurance." "Commissioner Garrison: Thank you, 16 17 Commissioner Shearman. Commissioner Gant." 18 By Commissioner Gant: "Mr. Chair, 19 members. Okay, you say you have a team in place; 20 everything is stable. I want to know why you had so 21 much trouble with your governance council. 22 of my background on charter schools, serving on one 23 for eight years in a big school district and knowing 24 what goes on in school districts across the state, 25 and the fact that the state has actually taken over



because of turmoils in school boards, I want to know
why and what you did to change the turmoil."

By Mr. Barton: "Commissioner Gant,
Chairman Garrison. That's a really good question,
Commissioner Gant. And this is really very
different than all the corporate turnaround that
I've been involved in, a very different flavor.

"If we look back on any one thing, and you say, 'What would you do differently,' clearly, that's the right question to ask. I would have been -- it would have been to move faster and move sooner and more aggressively. I think we've bent over backwards as a board to repeatedly try and make sure everything was in on it, that there were compromises, can we get everybody together and move forward.

"There were never any acrimonious relationships in the board or with the principal through the process; rather, a difference of philosophy and where we're going. The feeling of the more status-quo philosophy was we had a kind of down-home feeling here at the school. It's very independent, very small for a public school, and it feels good, and we think it's working.

"The parents, the feedback that they like



3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

what the parents and children are getting, it's true; they did. However, the three parent members of the governing council, as we saw the scores year after year, different parent members -- but consistently, the parent members -- felt that we were going the wrong direction in terms of our academic performance. That was the issue. It never went to bad feelings among governing council members. Even those that resigned, the community members -- still friends with two of those.

"So it was a difference of philosophy and finally sorting out, what could this school become. It's a good school for individual attention, a really great school for teachers. That's why I brought my two kids, 12 and eight, to that school. But we were not focused in and did not have the proper framework, my words, for being able to move the academic platform.

"And I agree with the term that

Ms. Belmore used. You said to me, 'What one thing

could you point to in the last year that has changed

and made such a dramatic difference in your academic

performance in one year?'

"It's the culture. We really concentrated on the kids, and I'm getting that culture change in





the kids." 1 2 "Commissioner Gant: Mr. Chair, thank you for that." 3 4 "Mr. Barton: You bet." 5 "Commissioner Gant: On Page 7 -- you 6 don't have to look at it -- you show your 7 demographics scattered all over." "Mr. Barton: 8 Right." 9 "Commissioner Gant: You know, they all 10 come from South Valley through the North Valley to 11 the mountain. How are you able to make that a 12 cohesive school, with kids, parents, families, 13 scattered out so far? 14 "The second part of the question is, on 15 your governance council, are there representatives 16 from across the -- I'm looking at your charter --17 across the miles of your district?" 18 By Mr. Barton: "Yes. In the governance 19 council, yes, it is. We're spread out all over the 20 place. It's actually created some problems in 21 getting together sometimes for committees and so 22 forth. Yes, we are. You're right. That's why we 23 did the scattered diagram is to show the dramatic 24 reach from Belen halfway to Santa Fe. I'm amazed at



the distance that some of our parents come.

"Probably the biggest challenge it creates is making sure we get everybody to school on time every day, because of all the driving and the distances. And we really worked on that last year, and I would say giving you my gut instinct, since Ms. Belmore's arrival, we have improved our on-time attendance probably 50 percent in the last year. If they're not there, we can't do anything.

"As far as pulling it together and finding some cohesiveness, I think it's the curriculum and the focus of the school. In my opinion -- we can ask Ms. Belmore to comment -- you had two schools here before us that we know very well, and are close to and are friends with. And we've shared some best practices both ways. But we want to be sitting here in three years where they are today.

"And that's our goal and our objective, and whatever it takes for us to get there, that's our primary goal."

"Commissioner Gant: Thank you."

By Commissioner Garrison: "Mr. Carr?"

By Commissioner Carr: "Mr. Chair, I have a question about teacher turnover. How many teachers do you have that have been with the school

SANTA FE OFFICE 119 East Marcy, Suite 110 Santa Fe, NM 87501 (505) 989-4949 FAX (505) 843-9492

since the beginning?"

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24



By Mr. Fitzgerald: "Three?"

"Mr. Barton: An amazing number of our teachers have only taught at North Valley Academy. They came in as student teachers. They finished their training, became certified and licensed, and stayed. Surprising number. That does two things, as you can imagine. On the negative side is that it's inbreeding in one way. On the positive side, you train them. You train them the way you want them to do it.

"However, in the last 24 months, with normal turnover and a couple of evaluations, we've seen more replacement of teachers than they previously had experienced in a similar period. In my mind, that's been a very positive thing. And what we were not doing very well is we were not evaluating and motivating, setting up development plans for teachers in the past.

"Again, another advantage of Ms. Belmore is that she's a stickler on that; get in the classroom, work with the teachers."

By Commissioner Carr: "And another comment I have, I guess, what were you calling the committee where you were including teachers?"

By Ms. Belmore: "The PAC."





"Commissioner Carr: Teacher governance. 1 2 You know, to me, that's a key. That's a continuing -- that's a continuing, to me, key issue. 3 4 Based on studies, my experience if you don't get 5 teachers to buy in to what leadership wants, if you're doing top-down and not including everyone in 6 7 those things, then it's not going to work. I don't 8 care how much you give orders from the top down. 9 "So I think that maybe that's one of 10 the -- it may not have looked -- maybe not considering it a material violation, but it was 11 12 something that was promised to do -- and I think 13 it's a very important thing to do -- is to include 14 your staff as part of what's going on. Because when they buy in -- and I think you know that. 15 So I hope 16 you continue to do that. 17 "Another comment. I'm more inclined to an 18 months to -- I mean, I really want to, based on 18 19 what had been going on -- I would like us to take a 20 strong look at this a little sooner than three years, personally, before I would really be 21 22 comfortable in voting 'yes' on this." "Commissioner Garrison: 23 Thank you



24

25

SANTA FE OFFICE

Santa Fe, NM 87501

FAX (505) 843-9492

(505) 989-4949



Commissioner Carr. Commissioner Shearman."

By Commissioner Shearman:

"Can I just ask

for clarification? I've said so much in the last 1 2 month, and it's starting to run together. aren't there reporting dates set in the new 3 4 performance contract, or even the initial contract? A thought that occurs to me, if we approve a 5 three-year contract, we're going to be hearing from 6 7 this school during that period of time rather than 8 just waiting until the next renewal cycle. So there are deadlines in there for reports and so forth from 10 the school." By Mr. Gerlicz: "Mr. Chair, 11 12 Commissioner Shearman, that's absolutely correct. 13 And that would be true not only for North Valley 14 Academy, but for every school that comes in under this new legislation and new amended contract. 15 16 advantage of that, additionally, is that, really, 17 even though we say three years, it's two years' 18 worth of data, because we'll begin year two when 19 looking at year three. As opposed to the other 20 school that we felt needed far more rigorous attention immediately, at least we'll have two years 21 22 of data and annual reviews at that time." 23 "Commissioner Shearman: Thank you."



"Commissioner Garrison:

Bergman."

24

25

Commissioner

1	By Commissioner Bergman: "If everybody
2	else is done, I don't want to cut into anybody
3	else's time. This is more for Director Gerlicz and
4	General Manager Callahan. The little map you
5	mentioned, I thought that was really cool. Now, in
6	this next cycle of applications, could you guys at
7	least think about working on maybe we should
8	require a little placement map where the students
9	are coming from in those charter schools. Just
L O	think about that. That's all I wanted to say.
1	Thank you. Thank you, Mr. Chair."
L 2	"Commissioner Garrison: Thank you,
L 3	Commissioner Bergman. Other questions
L <b>4</b>	"Director Gerlicz, thank you for that
L 5	clarification on the two-year data, three years,
L 6	36 months. It sounds scary until you clarify what
L 7	we're really looking at. Seeing no other questions
8 .	or comments, the Chair will entertain a motion."
L 9	By Commissioner Lopez: "Commissioner
20	Chair." [sic]
21	By Commissioner Garrison: "Commissioner
22	Lopez."
23	By Commissioner Lopez: "I move that we
24	approve the renewal of the contract of the
25	North Valley Academy Charter School for three years



1	with the following conditions:
2	"1, address corrective actions for
3	material violations from its previous charter as
4	part of the material terms of the performance
5	contract;
6	"And, 2, negotiate new academic and
7	performance goals that have rigorous metrics and
8	measures as part of the performance contract."
9	"Commissioner Shearman: Second."
10	"Commissioner Garrison: The motion has
11	been seconded by Commissioner Shearman. Is there
12	any discussion? Seeing none, we'll proceed with a
13	roll-call vote with Secretary Gant."
14	And then Secretary Gant calls out the
15	names and the Commissioners respond.
16	"Commissioner Peralta."
17	"Yes."
18	"Commissioner Bergman."
19	"Yes."
20	"Commissioner Carr."
21	"No."
22	"Commissioner Lopez.
23	"Yes."
24	"Commissioner Shearman."
25	"Yes."





"Commissioner Garrison." 1 2 "Yes." "Commissioner Gant votes 'yes.' Six-one 3 4 in favor." 5 By Commissioner Garrison: "With the 6-1 vote, the vote of the Commission is to approve the 6 7 renewal application with conditions. The Commission 8 has approved the renewal application with the following conditions that shall be included with the 10 material terms of the performance contract, to be 11 negotiated between the charter school and the 12 Commission, and shall be incorporated fully into the 13 renewal charter. Congratulations. Thank you for 14 your time today." Chair Shearman, that concludes the reading 15 16 of the transcript for the portion that deals with 17 North Valley Academy. I now would invite the Chair to ask the Charter School Division and the school if 18 19 they have anything to add, and then any further 20 deliberation by the Commissioners. 21 Thank you, Mr. Reynolds. THE CHAIR: 22 would ask that the representatives of North Valley



representatives of the previous school to introduce

Academy would stand, please, if they are here.

I was remiss earlier. I didn't ask the

23

24

themselves and to tell us your position with the 1 2 school. If you would take a moment to do that, 3 please. 4 Thank you, Madame Chair, MS. BELMORE: 5 Commissioners. Stephanie Belmore, principal of North Valley Academy. And I have Mr. Barton, 6 7 governing council member, with me here today. 8 THE CHAIR: There are some folks behind 9 you? 10 I've got some teachers as MS. BELMORE: 11 well. We've got Monica Beasley; she is one of our 12 administrative interns, grade three. Mr. Wilkening, 13 our seventh- and eighth-grade teacher. My assistant 14 principal, Susan McConnell. 15 THE CHAIR: Thank you very much. 16 MS. BELMORE: Thank you. 17 THE CHAIR: Thank you. Again, I will 18 proceed in the same order as the original meeting, 19 and I will go first to the CSD and ask if they have 20 additional comments. Madame Chair, members of the 21 MR. GERLICZ: 22 Commission, we have no additional comments at this 23 time. Thank you. 24 Thank you. Representatives of THE CHAIR:



North Valley, do you have additional comments?

1	MS. BELMORE: Madame Chair, Commissioners,
2	we have no further comments.
3	THE CHAIR: Thank you very much. You may
4	be seated. Commissioners. Are there any questions
5	from Commissioners? Hearing none, the Chair will
6	entertain a motion. Commissioner Gant?
7	COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: Do you want I'll
8	do it.
9	THE CHAIR: All right. Mr. Bergman
L 0	Commissioner Bergman, please.
L1	COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: Thank you. Madame
L 2	Chairman, I move that we approve the renewal of the
L 3	contract of the North Valley Academy Charter School
L 4	for three years, with the following conditions:
L 5	1, address corrective action for material
L 6	violations from the previous charter as part of the
L 7	material terms of the performance contract.
L 8	And, 2, negotiate new academic and
L 9	performance goals that have rigorous metrics and
20	measures as part of the performance contract.
21	THE CHAIR: Do we have a second?
22	COMMISSIONER POGNA: Second.
23	THE CHAIR: We have a motion by
24	Commissioner Bergman, second by Commissioner Pogna,
25	to approve the renewal contract of North Valley



1	Academy with conditions as have been listed in the
2	official record.
3	Any discussion? Seeing none, we will
4	proceed with a roll-call vote. Secretary Bergman.
5	COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: Commissioner Carr.
6	COMMISSIONER CARR: No.
7	COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: Commissioner
8	Conyers.
9	COMMISSIONER CONYERS: Yes.
10	COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: Commissioner
11	Peralta.
12	COMMISSIONER PERALTA: Yes.
13	COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: Commissioner Pogna.
14	COMMISSIONER POGNA: Yes.
15	COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: Commissioner
16	Toulouse.
17	COMMISSIONER TOULOUSE: No.
18	COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: Commissioner Gant.
19	COMMISSIONER GANT: Yes.
20	COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: Commissioner
21	Shearman.
22	THE CHAIR: Yes.
23	COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: Commissioner
24	Bergman votes "yes." By a 6-to-2 vote,
25	Madame Chair, the motion is approved.





Thank you, Secretary Bergman. 1 THE CHAIR: 2 With a 6-2 vote, the vote of the Commission is to approve the renewal application with conditions. 3 4 The Commission has approved the renewal 5 application with the following conditions that shall be included with the material terms of the 6 7 performance contract to be negotiated between the charter school and the Commission, and shall be 8 9 incorporated fully into the renewal contract. 10 Congratulations, North Valley. 11 (Applause.) THE CHAIR: I recommend that we take about 12 13 a ten-minute break and give Cindy's hands time to 14 revive. Let's come back at 25 after the hour. 15 Thank you. (Recess taken, 10:15 a.m. to 10:28 a.m.) 16 17 THE CHAIR: If everyone's ready, we need 18 to bring this meeting back into session. 19 Item No. 10 on the agenda is Report from 20 the Secretary. And, as stated earlier, we're going 21 to delay that one until Deputy Secretary Aguilar's 22 arrival. So we're to Item No. 11, which is CSD 23 24 Director's Report. Mr. Gerlicz, please? 25 MR. GERLICZ: Madame Chair, members of the



Commission, thank you. It's been a very full and busy month in paradise in the Charter School Division once again. And there continues to be no rest for the weary.

Some of the highlights of our work in the recent month have been that our staff has diligently worked, edited, and agreed on a vision statement that is in your packet, in our report, that will guide our work for our duration that we are in existence, or until that vision statement changes.

And that reads that "The New Mexico
Charter School Division supports and advances
vibrant and innovative public schools of choice
which are models of educational excellence and which
cultivate a passion for learning and respect for the
teaching profession. We envision our work
cultivating communities of passionate learners and
teachers who inspire educational excellence for
all."

And I congratulate my staff once again, because in all of our work, we refer to this document as it helps inform our task.

We are also working hard to have all of that work reflected on our Web site. It's currently not. It's a very information-based Web site that is





in the works.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

We have reorganized ourselves into teams as well. Rachel Stofocik, Shelly Cherrin form a team of liaisons. And one of them have a primary set of schools that they are responsible for; the other one have secondary liaisons, so that they work as a team for a total of about 24 schools.

Karen Ehlert, Brad Richardson form another team. They have 24 schools. One is responsible for the primary focus on 12 of them, the other for secondary focus.

Kelly Callahan and I are a third team. We have a total of eight schools, plus we're taking on the districts, as, now, we need to turn our attention to working with local school districts now that SB 446 requires everyone to ratchet up their oversight involvement.

So our work will be to work with school districts in helping them realize what their true work is. We find that many of them really do not know. They tend to let their local charters alone, which is fine for autonomy; we encourage that. But they do have oversight responsibilities, and we'll be working with that.

It's also the legislative session for us.



We have not been hit as hard as some of the other divisions in the PED, for which we are very thankful.

Last Friday, on January 25th, our staff was together to conduct trainings with the five schools that are under the new renewal under SB 446. These are the schools that we approved -- that the Public Education Commission approved in December. We are now in the process of working with them to understand the requirements of SB 446. The -- we have a time line established for that. We'll be happy to address that with any questions that the Commission has.

But that workshop went very, very well.

The feedback that we got from the schools was that it was very helpful. A lot of questions were answered; a lot of questions were raised, which is also very good. So we are thick into that process as well.

And those are the highlights of the work in our division. We continue to work very hard and diligently, and I'm happy to entertain any questions that you may have. Thank you.

THE CHAIR: Commissioner Gant.

COMMISSIONER GANT: Madame Chair, members.





Is there -- Director, have there been any 1 2 discussions outside of the leadership of the PED with the legislators or what to help try to provide 3 4 you with more staff? I mean, we have discussed that 5 before here. But I don't know how far down the road this has gone. Because you talk about all these 6 7 schools; now you're talking about the district 8 schools you have to visit and all this other stuff. And you're just running out of people.

MR. GERLICZ: Commissioner Gant, members of the Commission, thank you for that support. We do have one application for an EAO, an educational administrative operational assistant, in the pipeline. And we are currently receiving applications for that position. So that is in the pipeline for one additional position.

We can certainly use additional educational administrators -- excuse me -- not assistants, but administrators. And those, I think the best thing that I could say on that is, no, I have not had any conversation with legislators about that. But I have had quite a bit of conversation internally in the Public Education Department.

COMMISSIONER GANT: Madame Chair, members.

Thank you. I would suggest that the Commissioners



10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24



who do have opportunity to come up here during the session and they talk to legislators, particularly ones in the education -- in the LESC, the Senate Ed and the House Ed, that they put some words in there to encourage them to step forward and to provide more positions. I realize that there's a push to kind of remain a flat budget or just a 5-percent increase. But 5 percent does nothing for you at all in personnel. That doesn't even buy you one.

So I would encourage my fellow

Commissioners, and others sitting in the audience,

because it impacts the charter schools. And it does

impact the traditional school districts themselves,

because, if you can't get your work done when it

comes time for renewals, the renewal application

will be incomplete. And that's just my view.

And the new applications, you know, you're going to talk about the letters of intent here in a minute. But even if half of those come, that's a lot of work. And you need people to do it with. So I just encourage people to step up and talk to their fellow legislators.

MR. GERLICZ: Thank you, Commissioner

Gant.

THE CHAIR: Other comments or questions?





```
I just wanted to ask you. I -- I didn't hear
1
 2
     everything you said. Sometimes I lose it. But you
     did mention that these -- the renewal -- pardon
 3
 4
     me -- the 15 Notices of Intent are also online,
 5
     available to the general public to be read?
               MR. GERLICZ: Madame Chair, members of the
 6
 7
     Commission, that is correct. We have received
 8
     15 Notices of Intent to apply for new charter school
     applications. And those are online. And where
10
     online? Are they on the PEC or PED Web site? I'm
11
    not exactly sure. But they are there somewhere.
12
               MS. CALLAHAN: They're in the Charter
13
     School.
14
               MR. GERLICZ:
                             They're in the Charter
15
     School page of the PED Web site.
16
               THE CHAIR: Thank you. I personally would
17
     appreciate it if we could have a link from the PEC
18
     Web page to those Charter School Letters of Intent.
19
     i would appreciate that.
               MR. GERLICZ: Madame Chair, I will be
20
21
    happy to do that.
22
                           Thank you. Any other comments
               THE CHAIR:
     from Commissioners? We see that Deputy Secretary
23
24
     Aguilar has been able to make it to the meeting.
25
     And his entrance is timely, because we're ready for
```



him to speak. We'll let you catch your breath and
ask you to go ahead when you're ready.

DEP. SECRETARY AGUILAR: Well,

Madame Chair, thank you very much. Welcome

everyone. Welcome new members. It's good to see

everyone here today. I'm changing my last name,

Madame Chair, to "Harvey," because I just came out

of a legislative hearing where I had to provide the rest of the story. And it drives me a little crazy.

10 So...

3

8

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

THE CHAIR: No increase in pay for that.

12 So...

DEP. SECRETARY AGUILAR: No, ma'am, not at all. Thank you so much. It's good to see everybody here. I'm going to do the Secretary's Report.

And I really only have two items to talk about today, Madame Chair. One is, again, an update on Questa. Questa will be holding their school board election next Tuesday, as everyone else will be. The Secretary and the Hearing Officer determined that it would be appropriate that once the community was able to have a voice in thinking about the issues and concerns that they had up there and then having an election, that they would -- the people's voice needed to be heard.



And so we anticipate returning the authority to the board the first week in March. So they have time to get here for the school boards' training, that they have time -- we have a bucket list of probably 30 items that they probably need to start considering, starting with finding a new superintendent; but also, you know, security issues, staffing issues.

My area of expertise is finance. And it's clear that they are -- their structure of staffing is a little weak. The secretary and the Governor have always pushed about putting more money in the Questa is amazingly -- has most of their classroom. money in the classroom, which is great. But they have no administrators at the central-office level, other than the superintendent. And so we're running into problems with the federal programs, with transportation and things of that nature, with head teachers and whatnot taking over that. So it's part of the bucket list of things that we want to consider -- have them consider.

But we've resist- -- we have had requests from the public to consolidate down to five members and any number of things that they thought it would be easy to talk PED into. And being prudent and,



1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

again, wishing for the community to have their 1 2 voice, we have opted not to do those sorts of things and wait till the new board is seated so that they 3 4 can make the decisions that are in the best interest 5 of their community. So that's the first piece. The second piece, Mr. Gant, I -- I know 6 7 you're going to yell at me, so we'll just get it out 8 of the way. With regard to 1099s --9 COMMISSIONER GANT: I'm not going to yell 10 at you. DEP. SECRETARY AGUILAR: 11 I have spoken to 12 And what they have told us is that 1099s are DFA. 13 issued to people, person- -- committee members, 14 board members -- that receive a per diem of \$95 or The folks at DFA have indicated that there is 15 no -- and it's considered income. 16 17 The per diem for income is, for those 18 folks, again, the \$95 or more, because you don't 19 have to account for how it's spent. 20 non-employment compensation. But travel reimbursements, flights, and all that sort of thing 21 22 are not covered under -- under the 1099. 23 So that's the reason you received one. 24 And I know it's probably not the answer you wanted



But I'm not the -- this is what comes out

to hear.

of DFA. And they tell me that they're in alignment with the IRS. I tend to believe them. So -
because they're pretty tough on me keeping things straight. So I imagine they're pretty good at

keeping it straight as well.

So, Madame Chair, those are the two issues. Today is Native American Day at the Capitol. And so we're excited about -- our Indian Ed program is working hard over there to participate today.

And I know you've heard about it. But I want to champion the news of New Mexico's graduation rates. The graduation rates, as were posted this week, as I'm sure most of you have heard, our graduation rate is 70 percent -- has risen to 70 percent. It is a -- an increase of seven percentage points over last year.

Most important part of that is that, in the subgroups, it appears that our Native American, Hispanic, and special needs population increased upwards of 8 percent or more, while our Caucasian population in graduation -- which is normally the highest population in terms of achievement -- their increase was 4 percent. And so we believe that that's evidence of -- that we're actually getting to



5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

close the achievement gap.

1

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

SANTA FE OFFICE

Santa Fe, NM 87501

FAX (505) 843-9492

(505) 989-4949

2 And so we think that the discussion of education is on everybody's lips. 3 I use what I call 4 my "mom test." My mom and I talk, and she says, for 5 the first time in memory, that education is the conversation at the dinner table; it's the 6 7 conversation at the grocery store; it's the conversation at church. And so we believe that 8 increasing that conversation, plus the 10 implementation of some of our initiatives, have been 11 very instrumental in doing that. And we look 12 forward to working with all of our stakeholders to 13 continue to improve the efforts and the 14 opportunities for our children. 15

So with that, Madame Chair, I stand for I was -- I'll be in and out this questions. I know you're -- I don't know if you're here all afternoon.

THE CHAIR: Don't think so.

DEP. SECRETARY AGUILAR: Don't think so? I've got to get back -- I just received a call from a legislator right now. I'm going to have to head back over. I'll be available by phone or e-mail. If you have any questions, I can pop back down.

> COMMISSIONER GANT: I've got a question.





THE CHAIR: One quick question from Commissioner Gant.

Aguilar. One thing I would request is, in all the jobs I've had, whether it's been military or corporate or school board, et cetera, when I received travel reimbursement, I receive a statement as to exactly what that reimbursement is for. Now all we get is a piece of paper in the mail that says so much was put in the bank.

Ms. Friedman fills out the form. But her form is just a worksheet to go to the DFA to do the work to get us the money. Their sheets will really be the official, exactly what is for mileage, is for lodging, is for whatever. And I was always used to that. And I knew exactly what I spent in a year for what.

I think we should be able to receive something like that so we know exactly what we're being reimbursed for, not just a -- it looks like a check -- kind of looks like a check, and it says how much, and it was put in the bank.

Thank you. I appreciate that part, but I would like to know for what.

DEP. SECRETARY AGUILAR: And, Madame Chair





and Commissioner Gant. We do have all of the packets. We could compile that information. DFA does not. But we submit a voucher that goes over that they then pay. And a voucher number is on your -- on your payment.

So we can -- we can get you a detailed voucher that you can align with each of your payments. That wouldn't be difficult to do.

COMMISSIONER GANT: Madame Chair and

Deputy, I was just kind of thinking that should be

what comes to me in the mail from DFA. I know you

do the vouchers. I know Ms. Friedman does all the

work to put them together, and it's tedious, because

she ends up going back and forth asking questions

that shouldn't even have to be asked, because she

does it right, I'm quite sure.

But, just, what's the difference whether they send one sheet of paper that says how much we got, or a sheet of paper that says how much we got, plus why we got it? I mean, it's a computer-generated -- it's no work; it's a keystroke.

DEP. SECRETARY AGUILAR: Madame Chair and Commissioner, I'll queue that to financial controls, and maybe they can figure out a way how to do that.





COMMISSIONER TOULOUSE: Madame Chair?

THE CHAIR: If you have time for one last

3 question?

COMMISSIONER TOULOUSE: It's not a question; it's a clarification to this issue. The federal government made a change at the Internal Revenue Service about five years ago that required all of these to be done. So what they're doing is following exactly what the federal government said.

We went through this when I was on the CNM governing board, with the same kinds of discussions. And we clarified it all the way to D.C., that they don't care on this other. However, you can take it as work-related deductions. All you need is to have verification, you paid a hotel room, or you bought meals and that kind of thing. So you can do that.

But we made it go all the way to D.C. from CNM, because there were seven board members there, and seven of us who didn't like that change. So I do know that it was a change back -- than it was -- so, again, it would be nice to have those so you can do it. But you can use them as work-related deductions. Thank you.

DEP. SECRETARY AGUILAR: Right.

THE CHAIR: All right. And we thank you





so much for taking time out of, I know, a very busy day. And go ahead and get us some legislation passed that we will just love.

DEP. SECRETARY AGUILAR: Madame Chair, I will work on it. Thank you so much. Again, I will be available by phone or e-mail if you need me.

THE CHAIR: Appreciate your availability.

COMMISSIONER TOULOUSE: Madame Chair?

THE CHAIR: Commissioner?

COMMISSIONER TOULOUSE: Madame Chair, I wanted to let Mr. Aguilar get back over across the street. But I do have an item I would like people to look at on this graduation rate. Because it also looks like it bumped because of that one year dropping out the test.

I would like Public Ed to look at the students who go on to college and see how they did from this cohort of students, because you can get that from the state schools, and see how many of them still needed remediation and how many of them finished a year at a community college or higher education, to see if that's a real increase in qualified graduates, or it's only because of the one year where they transitioned from one form of testing to another. Thank you.



1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

THE CHAIR: All right. Director, is that 1 2 some information that you gather at this time? Madame Chair, Commissioner 3 MR. GERLICZ: 4 Toulouse, the answer is that we would have to do 5 some investigation and digging in order to get that. And perhaps I could suggest Commissioner Toulouse 6 7 and I have a conversation after the meeting so that 8 I specifically understand the request. 9 THE CHAIR: Please --10 COMMISSIONER TOULOUSE: Certainly. 11 Certainly. THE CHAIR: -- do that. Thank you. 12 All 13 We are at Item No. 12, Charter School 14 Amendments. Ms. Callahan. MS. CALLAHAN: Madame Chair, at this time, 15 16 I'm going to go ahead and defer to Mr. Gerlicz, the 17 Director of Options for Parents. MR. GERLICZ: Madame Chair, members of the 18 19 Commission, we have five charter school amendments 20 in front of us. The first is from the Aldo Leopold 21 I would ask the representatives from that 22 school to come forward. And as they're coming 23 forward, I'll give some background. 24 Aldo Leopold is in Silver City, 25 It's in their eighth year of existence, New Mexico.



and they're in their third year of a five-year renewal cycle. Eric Ahner, who is the independent -- has independent school leadership as the head of the school, in our view, is doing a very vibrant and excellent job running that school.

And he has requested -- and the school has requested -- a lifting of the enrollment cap to 90 students, over time. And he will explain to you how they intend, and why they intend, to reach that enrollment cap in Silver City.

The Charter School Division has reviewed all of the documents submitted by Aldo Leopold, and it is our recommendation that that amendment be approved. And I'll defer to the representatives to explain a little further. Thank you, Madame Chair. Madame Chair, would you like to --

THE CHAIR: I'm sorry. I'm reading the document, and I'm forgetting that I'm supposed to be running this. Please continue.

MR. AHNER: Thank you, Madame Chair, and members of the Public Education Commission. Today I'm here with Mary Lynne Newell, who is one of our governing council members. She's currently in her fifth year. I'm currently in my fourth year with Aldo Leopold High School, and we're very excited to



bring three amendments to you that would help us realize the addition of a middle-school program.

In short, the three amendments, one, our name change from "Aldo Leopold High School" to "Aldo Leopold Charter School." Second is adding services to include six, seventh, and eighth grade, and then, obviously, nine through twelve, as we currently offer. And then a cap increase to realize 210 total, which is an additional 90.

But I'm going to talk more about that later, because it's very nuanced and very critical to what we're looking at.

To do a quick snapshot of history. In my first year at Aldo Leopold High School, we had many individuals come forward desiring addition of middle-school services, many of which were parents, staff members at our current school. And, in exploring that a little bit, we launched an electronic survey within Silver City to explore the interest of us expanding to include middle-school services. And about 40 individuals participated on that, all of which were very supportive of the idea.

That happened to be our planning year for the school. So I put that on the back burner. I didn't feel like that was the right time to go





forward with the middle-school program, as we were facing our first renewal.

About a year after that, we began plugging forward with the idea of a middle school, and we started the strategic planning session for our school. And that practice took about a year, a year and a half, to get through. And, then, in the spring of '12, 2012, the governing council finally approved our strategic plan. And one of the major initiatives of the strategic plan was, in fact, to realize middle-school services. And the middle school was put into many different facets of our strategic plan that addressed needs for development, communication, facilities, and curriculum, where the middle school had a section within each one of those four.

Since that time, we developed a community-based planning committee for the middle-school program. It includes governing council members. It includes school staff and members in our community as well, to come up with the executive summary that's before you that, hopefully, you've had a chance to review.

The name change in adding sixth, seventh, and eighth grade are pretty straightforward. When





we first explored what grades would we intend to offer, our desire was actually to offer seventh and eighth grade. Right now, there's only one middle school program in Silver City, with the exception of Cliff Elementary School, that goes through eighth grade, and is about 30 miles outside of town, but part of the consolidated district.

We didn't feel that we couldn't include sixth grade, because one of our major facets of having a middle-school program is offering school choice. So to only do seventh to eighth grade would only leave one choice within Silver City. We felt it critical to keep sixth grade with our middle-school program model. So we are now wanting to include sixth, seventh, and eighth grade. That certainly is speaking to the interests within our community of us adding.

Internally, as a school, our greatest desire to have a middle school is really based on performance of our students. We consistently have seen many of our students come in with a one- or two-year gap between the performance in their grade level. Our desire, in fact, is to have them come into ninth grade with less of a gap, and our desire is to start middle-school program services such that



we can close that gap before it starts to grow.

And we're seeing a significant growth in that gap in sixth, seventh, and eighth grade. And our hope is to not have that exist so that we can reach a higher potential with our students' performance by the time they graduate and move on to the next level in their education.

More specifically, to the cap increase of our school, we're currently 120 students, which is a model of 30 students per grade level. And our desire in the long-term is to add 30 for each sixth, seventh, and eighth grade, which would take the total to 210.

Some very critical pieces to realizing the 210 -- or the 90 for the middle school, specifically -- is, number one, we don't want to grow too quickly. We want to take our time and grow our program so that it's being integrated into our current curriculum and philosophy of the school. We feel the middle-school program fits our mission and vision very well. We don't feel like there's any need to change the mission and vision of the school.

And the other very significant factor for us is realizing the facility for the middle school. We need to insure that we do have adequate

ANTA DE OPEICE

SANTA FE OFFICE 119 East Marcy, Suite 110 Santa Fe, NM 87501 (505) 989-4949 FAX (505) 843-9492

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24



facilities for the middle school. We have an offer from the President of Western New Mexico University to use their land, a northern plot of land that they have, to put portables on and have the middle school there.

Our concern is whether or not we would have those facilities up in time, so we have a backup plan -- actually, two backup plans. We have one space that we feel would be adequate for 50 students. It has not been approved by PSFA, so we're working with them to potentially look at that space as a possibility.

And the second alternative that is already approved by PSFA is a portable owned by Silver Consolidated. They have tentatively offered us that space for the course of one year. It's a very small space; it has two classrooms. It would realize about 44 students, approximately. So if, in fact, that's the space we're in, that would become our cap for the first year. We would be limited by the legal space that we're in.

If we have the adequate space, our strategy is to be up to 50 students for the first year, and then up to 90 thereafter. But, again, we understand that the facility and the approval from



PSFA is going to drive our needs and our ability to provide appropriate education for the first year, and looking at what that might look like is a little bit tricky.

But we're working with Western New Mexico, not only for a middle school, but potentially having middle-school programs on that plot, which would help us realize the 2015 expectation of being on publicly-owned property, because we're currently leasing from a private entity.

Any details that I missed?

MS. NEWELL: I'd just like to say I'm a parent of two children, both of whom have gone through, or are going through, Aldo right now. They also came up in kind of an alternative school system, as did many of the students at Aldo. They started in the Guadalupe Montessori School and continued up there till sixth grade at the time they went to sixth grade. Then they could transition to an Erdkinder school, with similar philosophies, that was seventh and eighth.

That school shut down in 2009. My son had been able to go through it and go through Aldo. And the transition was nice, and they all had similar mission statements. It was very nice, good





learning, experiential learning.

My daughter, when she got up to seventh, eighth grade, went through seventh grade there.

Eighth grade, it was not available. She ended up skipping a grade to come into Aldo. And, fortunately, she has thrived and does real well.

But for other students who aren't ready, you know, they couldn't do that.

And so, right now, because that school has ceased to exist, students come up through some of these other programs, and they have to make the choice to go into public -- the other public schools. And we're, of course, a public school, too, but a very different mission and philosophy than what they're used to. And, then, when they come to Aldo further on, there's kind of this gap that I think really affects how they do.

And the parents -- I know the community is very much supportive of the idea of Aldo doing a middle-school program. I want to say they're almost desperate for it. They really want to have a choice. And, as a parent, I appreciate having those choices.

THE CHAIR: Thank you very much for those comments.

SANTA FE OFFICE 119 East Marcy, Suite 110 Santa Fe, NM 87501 (505) 989-4949 FAX (505) 843-9492



MR. AHNER: Thank you, Madame Chair.

THE CHAIR: Director Gerlicz, there are three amendments here I see. Would you give us your recommendation for each of those amendments, please?

MR. GERLICZ: Madame Chair, members of the Commission, that is correct. There are three distinct amendments. They are all wrapped into the same movement that Director Ahner has just described to the Commission. Because the name of the school is the Aldo Leopold Charter High School, the move to a middle school would necessitate a change, and we feel that that is appropriate.

We feel that the leadership and the results of the school are meritorious. They are doing well. There appears to be demand for the middle school. And what we have found in the past is that, when we up the academic requirements at a high-school level, it behooves the school to have students in there earlier so that they can get adjusted to those methods and those standards and then be even more successful at the high-school level.

So it is the Charter School Division's recommendation that all three of those amendments be approved. Thank you, Madame Chair.

REPORTING SERVICE



1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

THE CHAIR: Thank you for that. 1 2 Commissioners, do you have questions of either the applicant or the CSD staff? Commissioner Gant. 3 4 COMMISSIONER GANT: Madame Chair, members, 5 Director, I guess I'm not opposed to the change going to a middle school. I understand the logic, 6 7 rationale. I understand that. But my concern is 8 that yes, Western New Mexico wants to offer up a 9 portable. I don't know if PSFA has blessed it or 10 Maybe they have. Have they looked at it? 11 MR. AHNER: We're working with PSFA. 12 There are currently not portables on that space. So 13 it would be starting the initiative of putting 14 portables on that space. But we have communicated with them our desire to go that direction. 15 working with Western New Mexico as well as PSFA 16 17 toward that end. Again, it's somewhat of a Catch-22. 18 19 can't sign a lease officially until we have approval 20 to move forward with the middle-school program. 21 the desire to move forward is contingent, obviously, 22 on an appropriate space. 23 COMMISSIONER GANT: Madame Chair? But one 24 of my issues is that, even backing up a little bit,



where are you getting the funding to buy the

portable if you're buying it, to put the 1 2 infrastructure in to support it. I mean, these are big dollars. 3 4 MR. AHNER: Sure. 5 COMMISSIONER GANT: Two portables, you're 6 talking about two classrooms per portable, plus the 7 restrooms that are in these and anything else. 8 Right? 9 MR. AHNER: Correct. 10 COMMISSIONER GANT: So where is the 11 funding coming for that, because unless you have 12 that funding, I'm really reluctant with this plan. 13 Go ahead. 14 MR. AHNER: Sure. Currently Dr. Shepard, the President of Western New Mexico University, has 15 16 offered the space and offered to forward the costs 17 of putting the portables in space, and then we would 18 lease that space and those portables from him to 19 help him realize costs. 20 COMMISSIONER GANT: Madame Chair? You're 21 saying the president of the University is saying, 22 "We will buy the portables, we will do the 23 infrastructure, and you will pay us lease?" Is that 24 what you're saying?



And then we would be leasing

MR. AHNER:

from Western New Mexico university. That's correct.

2 COMMISSIONER GANT: Interesting. I guess

3 that's legal. I guess that lease payments can go to

4 universities, I assume?

1

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. GERLICZ: Madame Chair, Commissioner

Gant, as far as we know -- and we certainly have a

lawyer here who could help us answer the legality of

the questions -- but leasing facilities from private

entities or public entities is certainly not

uncommon in the charter world, and in the other

not-for-profit world, either. So I would assume

that that would be legal. But I am not a lawyer.

The attractiveness of having a six-through-twelve educational outfit, a school, on a university campus is very, very appealing for all parties, and we see that in our charter world. We have a number of schools that offer dual credit and dual enrollment, even, and it's been very beneficial to us.

COMMISSIONER GANT: Madame Chair, I understand that, sitting on the PSCOC as I do, this would be the first lease assistance program to go to a university. But that -- like you say, that could be handled. What it amounts to, if they, in fact, do this, would be the third -- the third early



college high school, if you will, in the state of New Mexico. Because we have an early college high school at New Mexico State. We're adding another copy to that. Gadsden has one. So this would be the third, which is very popular, because we have no dropouts in three years with 350 kids. Nobody else can say that.

So -- okay. I can buy that. But I also want you to know that all the early college high schools are south of 40. Thank you.

MR. GERLICZ: Madame Chair, Commissioner Gant, at the risk of differing, we have a number of charters north of I-40 that are early college as well. We have the Masters program at the Santa Fe Community College that offers dual-enroll. The AIMS school, which we will hear from shortly, offers dual enrollment. They're on the UNM campus. And the blurring of the lines is very clear.

THE CHAIR: A little rivalry there.

Commissioner Toulouse, and then Commissioner Carr.

COMMISSIONER TOULOUSE: Madame Chair, my question is, how close is this land from the university to the rest of the university facilities, because I think there can be a certain amount of problem with putting college students -- I think





it's probably -- from -- a little easier than putting high school students close to a campus. But I think there is still the idea that kids from the age of 12 on are going to be looking toward that community.

I'm older, too, now. And I just wondered how integrated it would be or how separate, or how would you handle that piece? I think it's a good idea. I know that that can be a problem.

MR. AHNER: Yes, ma'am. It's actually a very critical question that we've looked into very closely. To describe the property boundaries would be very sophisticated. From the campus that we're potentially looking to develop to the current campus where students reside, is about a half a mile through connected properties over the top of Highway 180. So that kind of divides some property.

Their northern plot land -- or, actually, multiple pieces of land that are connected -- are on a piece of property that also have an athletic field and track, and another facility that's used for police trainings. So it's actually quite autonomous from the rest of the property, which we like, for the exact reasons that you've expressed, but close enough so that the number of students that we have



that are participating in the dual enrollment 1 2 program have much easier access to the university and the downtown area as well. 3 4 Commissioner Carr? THE CHAIR: 5 COMMISSIONER CARR: Madame Chair. Maggie, you stole my question, one of them. 6 7 COMMISSIONER TOULOUSE: Sorry. 8 COMMISSIONER CARR: I also have concerns 9 about the middle school -- the middle school and 10 high school. Middle-schoolers today are very 11 different than they were 10, 15, 20 years ago. So 12 it sounds like you've addressed that. 13 And the other concern I have is -- I don't 14 know -- does anybody -- are there any -- is there any opposition in the local community? 15 16 anybody who -- from the local community who is 17 against this? 18 MR. AHNER: I'm sure that there is, sir. 19 Much to my surprise, none has come directly to my 20 attention. About three years ago, I expressed our interest in expanding to middle-school services to 21 22 Mr. Dick Poole, the superintendent of Silver 23 Consolidated, to let him know our intent at that 24 time, and he was quite supportive. He has now



retired from Silver Consolidated Schools.

1	I met with the new superintendent,
2	Mr. Streib, to let him know our intent of placing
3	amendments in front of the Commission. He also is
4	supportive, to the extent that he also is willing to
5	lease us this space that's already E-occupancy
6	rated, to help us realize a permanent campus.
7	COMMISSIONER CARR: Okay. Thank you.
8	MR. AHNER: You're welcome. Thank you.
9	THE CHAIR: Any other questions from
10	Commissioners? Hearing none, the Chair would
11	entertain a motion.
12	COMMISSIONER PERALTA: Commissioner?
13	THE CHAIR: Commissioner Peralta.
14	COMMISSIONER PERALTA: Madame Chair,
15	members of the Commission, I move that the proposed
16	amendments, as put forth by the Aldo Leopold High
17	School, be approved.
18	THE CHAIR: Second?
19	COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: Second.
20	THE CHAIR: Moved by Commissioner Peralta,
21	second by Commissioner Bergman, to approve the
22	amendments by Aldo Leopold Charter School. All
23	those in favor, please say "Aye."
24	I'm sorry. Let me clarify. Do we need a
25	roll-call vote?





1	COMMISSIONER CARR: I think we do.
2	THE CHAIR: I'm sorry. Let me back up
3	there. We'll proceed to a roll-call vote with
4	Secretary Bergman. Thank you.
5	COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: Thank you.
6	Commissioner Carr.
7	COMMISSIONER CARR: Yes.
8	COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: Commissioner
9	Conyers.
10	COMMISSIONER CONYERS: Yes.
11	COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: Commissioner
12	Peralta.
13	COMMISSIONER PERALTA: Yes.
14	COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: Commissioner Pogna.
15	COMMISSIONER POGNA: Yes.
16	COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: Commissioner
17	Toulouse.
18	COMMISSIONER TOULOUSE: Yes.
19	COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: Commissioner Gant.
20	COMMISSIONER GANT: Yes.
21	COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: Commissioner
22	Shearman.
23	THE CHAIR: Yes.
24	COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: Commissioner
25	Bergman votes "yes." Madame Chair, by an 8-to-0



vote, the amendment has been approved -- or the 1 2 motion has been approved. Thank you. By a unanimous 3 THE CHAIR: 4 vote, the amendments presented by Aldo Leopold 5 School, are approved. 6 MS. NEWELL: Thank you very much. 7 (Applause.) 8 THE CHAIR: Next on the agenda, 9 Albuquerque Institute of Math and Science. Will 10 those representatives please come forward? If you 11 would introduce yourselves for the record, and if 12 your name is uniquely spelled, please spell it for 13 the reporter and tell us your position with the 14 school. Madame Chair, members of the 15 MS. SNIDER: 16 Commission, my name is Kathy Sandoval-Snider, 17 S-N-I-D-E-R. I am the director of Albuquerque Institute for Math and Science, shortly known as 18 19 To my left is general counsel for AIMS, and AIMS. 20 the board makes him go with me to keep me in line. 21 Thank you very much. MR. IVEY-SOTO: 22 name is Daniel Ivey-Soto. Last name is spelled 23 I-V-E-Y, dash, capital S-O-T-O. THE CHAIR: 24 Thank you very much for that. 25 Director Gerlicz?



MR. GERLICZ: Madame Chair, members of the 1 2 Commission, The Albuquerque Institute of Math and 3 Science, or AIMS at UNM, is/was, originally 4 chartered, you remember, as High Tech High back in 5 2004, and came in front of this Commission in 2006 6 for an amendment to change its name to AIMS at UNM. 7 They are located in a building on the UNM 8 They currently serve six through twelve Ms. Sandoval, the principal, has been at grades. 10 that school since the year 2016. This is a 11 school -- excuse me -- 2006. That's wishful 12 thinking, perhaps. 13 This is a school that has consistently 14 achieved very fine results academically in all There's a tremendous demand for the 15 respects. And the amendment in front of us is in two 16 school. 17 parts -- and, again, it's related: to increase the 18 enrollment cap from the current 360 students in 19 grades six through twelve, to 720 students in grades 20 six through twelve. And then the second amendment 21 is to get approval to inhabit a second building on 22 the University of New Mexico campus to house that 23 requested increase in enrollment. 24 So it is not to increase grades. It will 25 be a six-through-twelve grade school still, but to



be housed in two separate buildings. That's the amendment in front of us. Thank you.

THE CHAIR: Thank you. Would you care to make a presentation at this point?

MS. SNIDER: Certainly, Madame Chair, members of the Commission.

THE CHAIR: Please use the microphone.

And you can lock that down with that clip there, if you would prefer.

MS. SNIDER: Thank you. The Albuquerque Institute for Math and Science has been -- we have renewed once, and we are now in the seventh year of that renewal. We'll be renewing again next year.

What we have noticed is that the rule that allows for siblings is taking up most of our sixth-grade class room right now. There's only 22 slots available for the community at large.

We are located at the University of New Mexico, and our families are spread out across Albuquerque, with a large concentration on the West Side and in the South Valley; although, our families stretch all the way from the East Mountains to Santa Fe and all the way down to Los Lunas and Peralta.

What we are noticing and getting some



3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

feedback on from parents is a demand for accommodation for new sixth-graders that would like to come into AIMS. And so what we are asking is that we have an additional site. The Daniels Foundation has given us a grant of \$175,000 dollars to help us replicate this program.

The program is extremely stable. My board members -- I've got three of the seven board members have been with me since 2006.

The average age -- or experience -- of the board members on my board is between two and three years. So there's not a lot of turnover. There's not a lot of turnover, obviously, in the administration. And there is not -- there is little or no turnover in my instructors. Many of them have been with me since 2006.

As a result, we have a stable community and a stable philosophy, if you will. And we would like to replicate that on another site in order to accommodate the demand. We are asking that -- we

are working right now with the University of

New Mexico to grant us a site, just as they have on

the South Campus that we are located on now.

We cannot expand the South Campus -- the

South Campus building that we have, because we're





landlocked. There is no room to expand. We are surrounded by other organizations. And so we would like to explore going to the North Campus.

Obviously, I cannot -- just as with Aldo Leopold, I cannot commit public funds until I have permission from this Commission.

That's all I have. If you have any questions, I would be happy to answer them.

MR. IVEY-SOTO: And, Madame Chair, members of the Commission, you know, part of the success of AIMS at UNM has been that we are, overall, a small school, given that we service grades six through twelve, that -- and that we have a common mission.

And -- and one of the things -- one of the decisions that we have made, even though it costs us in terms of SEG funding, is that, at this point, we only admit children, for the most part, in the sixth grade. Even when we have attrition of children who leave in other grades, we don't replace those -- we don't go to the public and have a lottery to replace two or three positions in the tenth grade or whatnot; because, what we found is that our program is sufficiently intense -- as, in fact, the director of the Charter School Division was talking about in the last presentation, our curriculum is



4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

sufficiently intense that if we -- if we start

admitting in the ninth, tenth or eleventh grades to

fill attrition vacancy, that those students can't

catch up.

And so we, for the most part, at sixth grade, that's where you come in, and you're with us the whole way.

We are -- I will note, by the way, we are about a mile and a half south of I-40. But I will also note that we have -- I think the last three years -- 100 percent of our graduating class going to four-year colleges and universities, which I don't know if that's the -- the only time that that's happened in New Mexico, but it's certainly unique. And -- and it shows the strength of the program that we have.

We also have most of our students graduating with between 20 and 40 college credit hours under their belt before they start university.

THE CHAIR: Thank you for that information. Director Gerlicz, what is your recommendation?

MR. GERLICZ: Madame Chair, members of the

Commission, after going through the documents

submitted by the AIMS at UNM School, it is our



5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23



1	recommendation that both of these amendments be
2	approved. Thank you.
3	THE CHAIR: Thank you. Are there
4	questions from Commissioners? Commissioner Bergman.
5	COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: <mark>I see you don't</mark>
6	have the documents in front of you. But, on Page 15
7	of the documents that were in our packet, the very
8	last sentence reads you will, in essence, double the
9	enrollment cap to 720 students by the year 2012.
10	That's obviously a misprint. What year do you
11	anticipate having 720 students?
12	MS. SNIDER: Commissioner Bergman, members
13	of the Commission, that is absolutely true. I did
14	catch that, and I sent it to Director Gerlicz
15	yesterday with a "Holy cow; please, that's not what
16	I want." By 2019, we would be full up. Thank you
17	for that.
18	COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: Thank you.
19	THE CHAIR: Commissioner Gant and then
20	Toulouse.
21	COMMISSIONER TOULOUSE: Madame Chair,
22	since Commissioner Gant is deferring to me
23	THE CHAIR: Oh.
24	COMMISSIONER TOULOUSE: I realize I'm new,
25	so I'm asking more questions. But I do have a



background in the education community, and I know I worked with the director here when she was working with APS.

My only question is, will you be able, as time goes on, to find the qualified teachers for the level of classes you teach? Because I know that's a very hard thing, whether it's for the lower level college classes, or for the public schools in general. And that would be my only concern with taking on that many more students is you can give them the same quality of education. Thank you.

MS. SNIDER: Madame Chair, Commissioner

Toulouse, that is also a concern of mine. However,
we are extremely fortunate at AIMS in that we have a
large number of applicants that have a desire to
work at my school. And, so, if I can, when I can do
this correctly, staff and myself will be spread out
over these two -- these two campuses.

It's absolutely vital that the mission of the school and the culture of the school be replicated. And to do that, you need to have similar staff at both places until that culture can be raised up.

THE CHAIR: Commissioner Gant.

COMMISSIONER GANT: Madame Chair, members,





for clarification -- and maybe I missed it; my old 1 2 eyes here -- but you talked about -- you mentioned 3 that you want to move to the North Campus. 4 MS. SNIDER: Uh-huh. 5 COMMISSIONER GANT: Is that correct? 6 MS. SNIDER: That is correct. 7 COMMISSIONER GANT: Have you had any 8 discussions at all with the leadership of UNM? Is 9 there any facilities at all up there? 10 MS. SNIDER: Madame Chair, Commissioner 11 Gant, thank you for that question. And yes, we 12 We have a formal MOU with the University of have. 13 New Mexico. And with that comes an understanding 14 that we want to maintain a relationship with the University of New Mexico. We have had discussions 15 16 with the leadership of the University of New Mexico, 17 and we are in the process of identifying potential 18 places at the North Campus. That, obviously, would 19 be ideal. COMMISSIONER GANT: Madame Chair, I know 20 21 this is going to sound picky and all that, but I 22 have to ask it. 23 Again, I serve on the PSCOC. And a lot of the problems we're starting to see now -- because 24 25 you have reached the magic number, you've been



1 renewed, so you can go and get a capital outlay. 2 But my real question is back to the lease assistance that -- and I've read many leases between charter 3 4 In your charter school lease with UNM, is 5 it a wrap-around, where they pay the maintenance and they pay everything like that? Or are you paying 6 7 utilities and maintenance and repair, et cetera, 8 et cetera? Because in many, the charter schools are 9 paying the whole ball of wax and dipping into their 10 operational dollars to keep the school open 11 physically. 12 Explain your relationship and your lease 13 with me. 14 MS. SNIDER: Thank you, Madame Chair, Commissioner Gant. We -- it is a wrap-around; in 15 16 other words, if I understand your terminology, the 17 University of New Mexico provides all the 18 electricity, the heat, the maintenance, the upkeep, 19 the landscape, the garbage; they provide all of 20 And so, as a result, in our lease 21 reimbursement, our dollar-per-square-foot is -- is 22 within a -- it's not in the astronomical region. 23 It's one of the lower dollar-per-square-foot. 24 are very fortunate with that. 25 COMMISSIONER GANT: I was just wondering,



because there's a lot of discussion about capping 1 2 leases. And I don't want you to get trapped into something like that. 3 4 And the last question, Madame Chair, is 5 the University going to be responsible for any renovation or expansion to the facilities they may 6 7 loan you -- they may lease to you? 8 MS. SNIDER: Madame Chair, Commissioner 9 Gant, my understanding is, yes, they will do the 10 There's not -- there's not a huge renovation. 11 amount of renovation that needs to be done 12 immediately, because I'm only adding a sixth grade

That allows a measured growth. It allows conference of stability, and it also minimizes risk. And so I always want to say that, with the grant that we have received from the Daniels Foundation, that will also assist. And so we're looking at matching funds at this time.

And then we will grow up over the years.

COMMISSIONER GANT: Madame Chair. You're correct in what a wrap-around is. It's all those things. Thank you, Madame Chair.

THE CHAIR: Thank you. Are there other questions? Commissioner Bergman?

COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: Thank you. Is that



13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

first.



1	Daniels is that a one-time deal, or do you think
2	they're going to come up with some are you hoping
3	or do you not know?
4	MS. SNIDER: I always hope, Commissioner.
5	I never plan or plot. I will tell you that if I do
6	this well, not openly. But I will tell you, if I
7	do this correctly, and I so far, I think I've got
8	a good batting average I believe that I will be
9	able to entice the Daniels to continue to fund us.
10	COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: Thank you. They
11	got the bucks. They ought to pony up some of them.
12	Thank you.
13	THE CHAIR: Are there other questions from
14	Commissioners? Hearing none, the Chair will
15	entertain a motion.
16	COMMISSIONER CARR: Madame Chair?
17	THE CHAIR: Commissioner Carr.
18	COMMISSIONER CARR: I move to approve the
19	amendment presented by the Albuquerque Institute of
20	the Math and Science.
21	COMMISSIONER PERALTA: Second.
22	THE CHAIR: Who seconded? I'm sorry.
23	COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: Commissioner
24	Peralta.
25	THE CHAIR: Moved by Commissioner Carr,



1	second by Commissioner Peralta, to approve the
2	amendment presented by Albuquerque institute of Math
3	and Science. May we have a roll-call vote?
4	Commissioner Bergman?
5	COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: Commissioner Carr.
6	COMMISSIONER CARR: Yes.
7	COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: Commissioner
8	Conyers.
9	COMMISSIONER CONYERS: Yes.
10	COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: Commissioner
11	Peralta.
12	COMMISSIONER PERALTA: Yes.
13	COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: Commissioner Pogna.
14	COMMISSIONER POGNA: Yes.
15	COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: Commissioner
16	Toulouse.
17	COMMISSIONER TOULOUSE: Yes.
18	COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: Commissioner Gant.
19	COMMISSIONER GANT: Yes.
20	COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: Commissioner
21	Shearman.
22	THE CHAIR: Yes.
23	COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: Commissioner
24	Bergman votes "yes." Madame Chair, by an
25	eight-to-nothing vote, the motion is approved.



```
THE CHAIR: Say that again,
1
 2
     Commissioner Bergman.
 3
               COMMISSIONER BERGMAN:
                                      By an
 4
     eight-to-zero -- forgive my English -- the amendment
 5
     is approved.
 6
               THE CHAIR:
                           Thank you.
 7
               COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: I'm thinking
 8
    baseball.
 9
               THE CHAIR:
                           The motion to approve the
10
     amendments presented by Albuquerque Institute of
11
     Math and Science is unanimous.
                                     Congratulations.
               MS. SNIDER: Madame Chair, Commissioners,
12
13
     thank you.
14
               (Applause.)
                           Next, I would invite the
15
               THE CHAIR:
16
     representatives from the Ralph J. Bunche Charter
17
     School to come to the table, please. Good morning.
18
               MS. WILSON: Good morning.
19
               THE CHAIR:
                           If you would introduce
20
     yourselves, please spell any unique names, and give
21
     us the position you hold with the school, please.
22
               MS. WILSON: Good morning. I'm Penne
23
     Wilson.
              That's P-E-N-N-E.
                                 I am -- good morning.
24
     am Penne Wilson, P-E-N-N-E. I am principal of Ralph
25
     J. Bunche Academy. And with me is Terry Locke,
```



T-E-R-R-Y, L-O-C-K-E, who is secretary of the governing council.

MR. LOCKE: Good morning.

THE CHAIR: Thank you very much.

Director Gerlicz.

MR. GERLICZ: Madame Chair, members of the Commission, it was I who asked that this agenda item be placed on the agenda. And I have to give thanks to one of my staff members, Karen Ehlert, for bringing it to my attention.

And what she brought to my attention was the following: that Ralph Bunche was chartered by the Albuquerque District in the year 2006. They were renewed by the Secretary as a State-chartered school in March of -- excuse me -- renewed by the PEC as a State-chartered school in March of 2011 for three years, and they're in their second year of that three-year cycle. Their charter expires in June of 2014.

And when the PEC renewed Ralph J. Bunche's charter, the school was to submit new goals to be approved by the PEC. They have done that. They did that at that time.

But, at the time, the Charter School

Division was undergoing fairly significant personnel





change. My position was empty and in transition, as were a number of other positions. And that agenda item never got in front of the Public Education Commission.

So when that was brought to my attention, we immediately moved to bring the approvement [verbatim] of the said goals that are in your packet in front of the PEC so that the Ralph J. Bunche school can be evaluated on those goals by not only the Charter School Division, but by the Public Education Commission.

We have looked at those goals. We have worked with the school on those goals, and it is the Charter School Division's recommendation that those goals be approved. Thank you, Madame Chair.

THE CHAIR: Thank you, Director Gerlicz. Your presentation, please.

MS. WILSON: Good morning, Madame Chair, members of the Commission. Ralph J. Bunche was tasked with revising its goals with two things in its sight. Number one, we had to revise them downward, and we wrote them so that they would reflect the term of the charter. And the goal for student achievement was written so that it would reflect two years of growth, because, in reality,



1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

there are only two years in which the students'
performance is judged before the next renewal is due
in October. So we revised them with that in mind
and changed the dates.

We also -- when we were asked to write the goals the first time, we were told to reach for the stars. The second time we wrote them, we were asked to make them realistic in terms of our student population and where they come to us.

Ralph J. Bunche is a charter that is primarily African-American and Hispanic. They comprise over 90 percent of the population.

Ninety-five percent of our student population receives free, reduced lunches.

So we revised the goals. Basically, the only major revision was the dates. And we revised the student goal to 45 percent to give us that reachable goal that we can be evaluated against. We are doing very well against those goals at this time and did make a 20-point jump in reading last year. So we think we're well on target and well in range.

THE CHAIR: Thank you. And, Director Gerlicz, have you said you -- CSD does recommend approval?

MR. GERLICZ: That is correct, Madame





1 Chairman.

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

THE CHAIR: Thank you. Questions from Commissioners?

Well, I have a couple of questions, since we're dealing with goals. I know that surprises everyone.

I have to say I -- I have real problems with these goals. Your Goal No. 1, "45 percent of students will meet grade-level standards by May 2013," you've explained the percentage, which I question in my notes is -- doesn't sound very ambitious to me. My other concern with this is what's the measure?

MS. WILSON: The measure for the goals is the SBA. The target goals for the State this year are 46 percent for math and 52 percent for -- 56 percent for reading. And so my question, in writing the goals, was should we just make them match the State performance goals.

But our students come in very low. Many of our children have never experienced any preschool education at all. So they come virtually as clean slates to us. It does take us a while to raise those students up. And, then, many of our students once -- we are a commuter school, basically, because



we are in downtown Albuquerque. We are at Central and San Mateo, basically. So many of our students come to us from 14 of the 18 zip codes in Albuquerque.

We get many students who are unhappy and non-performing in their current situations. We raise up their scores and make them become successful, and then their parents no longer wish to make the drive so they can perform back in their home schools. So we become the fix-it school. And we're doing very well at fixing them. But, then, that drive into town becomes very -- very long.

THE CHAIR: Thank you for that. Your Goal No. 2 is, "Average academic growth for all students will be one year by May 2013." Again, what are you using to measure?

MS. WILSON: We're using the SBA. But we also use DIBELS from K through 3 to give us targets and to define what we need to work on. We also use MAP testing, and we've used that for last year and this year. That was the major reason we didn't make a change to any other testing, so that we could give two years of growth, so we could have a consistent measure.

So even though the State recommended a



different short-term cycle, we felt that it would 1 2 not be in our best interest to make that change at this time. You need to be able to see two years of 3 4 So we are using the SBAs as growth in our children. 5 our -- I'm sorry -- the SBAs, which are required. We are using MAP testing from K through 8, and we 6 7 are using DIBELS from K through 3. 8 THE CHAIR: Your next goal is, "75 percent 9 of students who exit the eighth grade will be 10 high-school-ready by May 2013." 11 Again, what are you -- how do you know 12 that? How do you measure that? 13 We're going to use the SBAs MS. WILSON: 14 again, and we're using the MAP testing. This is our second year with eighth-graders. Last year was our 15 16 very first year. So the eighth-graders that we have 17 are now at -- most of them are charter schools or at 18 private schools when they made that transition from 19 us. 20 So we are going to follow them and see how

well they've done so we know what to do. But we only have one set into high school at this time.

THE CHAIR: And my last question has to do with your "average daily attendance rate will be 95 percent." Again, I understand you take roll

SANTA FE OFFICE 119 East Marcy, Suite 110 Santa Fe, NM 87501 (505) 989-4949 FAX (505) 843-9492

21

22

23

24



every day in class. But what measures are you going to use to verify this 95 percent, because that is pretty ambitious, and to -- to verify that and report it?

MS. WILSON: Other than daily attendance? We follow our kids very closely, and if they are having a problem attending, then we want to know why, and we want to help parents get them there. Again, because we're a commuter school, we have to really help parents find ways to make sure that they're there every day. But we do focus on that.

And we also do a breakfast from 8:30 to 9:00 so that we can make sure that, by the time we're ready to start with strong instruction, they're all there.

THE CHAIR: Okay. Let me just make you aware of my concerns. When you come back to us for renewal, when your school comes back to us for renewal, these goals are primarily what we will be looking at; other things now, because of 446. But, primarily, we're going to look at these goals.

And if I'm on the Commission when these goals come to me, it's going to be, "45 percent of students will meet grade-level standards by May 2013." It doesn't tell me here how that's going to

SANTA FE OFFICE 119 East Marcy, Suite 110 Santa Fe, NM 87501 (505) 989-4949 FAX (505) 843-9492

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24



be measured, how it's going to be verified, anything.

So, at that time, perhaps you would not be at the school any longer. Who knows? Things change. And the new person might say, "45 percent of the students meet grade-level standards, period; take my word for it." That's absolutely out of the box, worst case scenario.

But my point is, I don't think you have given us everything here that this Commission would need to adequately renew, or to even look at a renewal application to know whether or not your school has met, truly, what the goals are. I need more information, personally.

MS. WILSON: Along with the strategic plan was included a score card that spells out everybody's responsibilities, the time lines, and how everything will be measured. We weren't asked to submit that. It is in the charter application, however, the whole strategic plan, with all of the time lines and whose responsibilities. There is a score card that accompanies this strategic plan.

THE CHAIR: Would you care to respond to that, please?

MR. GERLICZ: Madame Chair, that was not





something that we looked at, and that was not
something that I was aware of. My specific
intention in this matter was to make sure that the
PEC was aware that these goals that were required to
be approved were approved, without going deeply into
the strategic plan of the school or any of the other
functions of the school. It was strictly focused on
these goals.

Certainly, that will be part of the anal- -- the entire analysis come their renewal application cycle, which begins in the fall. Thank you, Madame Chair.

THE CHAIR: Well, personally, I want the goals to say what the goals need to say. And I would not think looking in another place would really fit my personal need of, "Here are the goals; here's what the school said it was going to do, how it was going to do it, and to what level."

I want it in the goals. I, personally, would prefer that this school come back to us at our next meeting with truly complete goals. Are there other questions or concerns from Commissioners?

Hearing none, the Chair will entertain a motion.

COMMISSIONER POGNA: Madame Chair?





1	THE CHAIR: Commissioner Pogna.
2	COMMISSIONER POGNA: I move to approve the
3	goals amendment presented by Ralph J. Bunche Charter
4	School.
5	THE CHAIR: Do we have a second?
6	COMMISSIONER TOULOUSE: Madame Chair, I'll
7	second.
8	THE CHAIR: Second by Commissioner
9	Toulouse. Motion by Commissioner Pogna, second by
10	Commissioner Toulouse, to approve the amendments
11	presented by the Ralph J. Bunche Charter School. We
12	will have roll call, Commissioner Bergman.
13	COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: Commissioner Carr.
14	COMMISSIONER CARR: Yes.
15	COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: Commissioner
16	Conyers.
17	COMMISSIONER CONYERS: Yes.
18	COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: Commissioner
19	Peralta.
20	COMMISSIONER PERALTA: Yes.
21	COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: Commissioner Pogna.
22	COMMISSIONER POGNA: Yes.
23	COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: Commissioner
24	Toulouse.
25	COMMISSIONER TOULOUSE: Yes.



1	COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: Commissioner Gant.
2	COMMISSIONER GANT: No.
3	COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: Commissioner
4	Shearman.
5	THE CHAIR: No.
6	COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: While I agree with
7	Commissioner Shearman's thoughts, I think I will
8	vote "yes" in this instance.
9	Madame Chair, the vote is six to two in
10	favor of approval of the motion.
11	THE CHAIR: Thank you, Secretary Bergman.
12	By a vote of six to two, the amendments presented,
13	the goals presented by the Ralph J. Bunche Charter
14	School, are approved. Thank you.
15	MS. WILSON: Thank you very much
16	Madame Chairman, and Commissioners. We'll do our
17	best to make you proud.
18	THE CHAIR: Thank you.
19	Please excuse me if I mispronounce the
20	name. I don't roll R's very well. If the
21	representatives from the La Resolana Charter School
22	would please come forward, introduce yourselves, and
23	give us your position, please.
24	MS. MONTOYA: Good morning, Madame Chair
25	and Commissioners fellow Commissioners My name is



Justina Montoya. I am the principal at La Resolana Leadership Academy. With me today are Rick Rios, who is our performance management specialist, and Eileen Montoya, who has worked with us on professional development for the last two years.

THE CHAIR: Thank you, and good morning.

Director Gerlicz.

MR. GERLICZ: Madame Chair and members of the Commission, La Resolana Leadership Academy is here in a very similar position to the Ralph J.

Bunche School. They were chartered in 2006 as well.

They were renewed by the PEC in 2010 for three years and are in their second year of that three-year cycle. Their charter also expires in June of 2014.

And the similar situation has occurred that, when the PEC renewed La Resolana, they were to submit goals for approval by the Public Education Commission. And those goals were never brought in front of the Public Education Commission until they were brought to my attention just recently, similar to the last situation.

It was at that moment that we contacted the school, talked to Ms. Montoya, the principal, who was very responsive in submitting those goals to us. Those are the goals that are in the packet.





The school leadership will give a presentation on how they arrived at the goals. We have worked with the school, and it is the Charter School Division's recommendation that those goals be approved for the remainder of the charter school's charter. Thank you, Madame Chair.

THE CHAIR: Thank you. Your presentation, please?

MS. MONTOYA: Madame Commissioner,

Commissioners, thank you for the opportunity to be
here today. When we were renewed, we took the
decision and order from the Secretary, and that -the remainder of that spring and summer, developed
the three goals that you have with -- in front of
you today.

One of the steps that we took to insure that we have the data that we needed to fulfill those goals, to begin working on those goals, and to work toward fulfillment of those goals, was that we switched our short-cycle assessment from the DORA and DOMA, which was an online reading and math assessment that we had used in previous years; and we switched to the NWEA MAPs so that we could have better data and data that the Public Education Department was more familiar in working with. So



1

2

3

4

5

6

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

last year, we switched to the NWEA MAPs, and we have tracked the data for our students.

Part of the work in developing the goals that are in front of you today is that, you know, we -- the kids that come to La Resolana Leadership Academy are significantly behind in their reading and math, anywhere from three to five years. So our work is grounded in the fact that we have so much catch-up to do with them when they come to us and enroll in our school.

In the past two years, we have had an increase in sixth-grade students. So, you know, in the three years before we came to the Commission, our sixth grade was our smallest class. Now, that class is full. Our seventh- and eighth-graders, although we do have a stable cohort with them, a small cohort, we have kind of taken on the role as a drop-in school for those students. If they get into trouble or they're not in school or there are family issues involved that put them at a higher risk, we end up with those kids.

So part of our work has also been grounded in engaging students and reengaging them in the educational process.

The first goal that you have, "50 percent

PROFESSIONAL COURT REPORTING SERVICE



1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

of all -- of the students will increase the minimum of 1.5 grade levels per year in math and reading, as measured by the NWEA short-cycle assessments," we have the data to confirm that we are meeting this goal, that we are working toward meeting this goal.

Mr. Rios will address this if you want specific information on it. But the NWEA MAPs has really given us good information on the skill levels of our students.

The second goal is, "To improve principal and teacher effectiveness through professional development by 2014. Last year as part of our work to address this goal, we spent the majority of our professional development time last year helping our teachers and the staff in our school and/or governing council understand what it means to work with at-risk students, who this population is, the barriers they face in being successful in education, and what issues they come to our school with; because many times, what's happened with our kids in the past -- in their past school experiences -- is that, because of their difficult behavior and their lack of engagement in school, they've been tossed off, and they have not made the progress in school that they need to. So understanding how to address



1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

those issues was an important part of our professional development last year, is ongoing this year.

This year, our professional development has been to increase the effectiveness of our teachers in the classroom. And so we have designated collaboration time for our teachers to work specifically with Eileen Montoya -- and she will talk more about what those programs have been -- and then increase -- the third goal is, "Increase family and parent involvement by 25 percent by 2014."

Getting parents involved in the school has always been an issue for us. We do have -- and I can say this, because we follow up with parents for the main parental involvement that we need -- and that is attendance, 100-percent participation in the attendance at the individual learning plan conferences at the beginning of the school year, which informs parents about the skill levels and concerns that we have with behavior and other issues so that we are all on the same page as we move forward.

And we also have 100-percent participation in our fall and our spring parent conferences,



because if we have parents that don't show up, we 1 2 follow up with them with phone calls. And if, for some reason, we still can't get them in, we will 3 4 work with them beyond the designated time to come in 5 and meet with us or to participate by conference call with the teachers and with myself to make sure 6 7 that they are fully aware of their students' 8 progress, because we need their support. 9 I hope that the Commission will approve

We've worked hard to improve our our goals today. instructional program. Our professional development and the measurement for the work that we've done since the last approval is that when our initial grade came out -- our initial school grade was given last January -- it was a D. When they were recalculated, we came up to a C. It is a low C, but we are still within that range. And our goal is to move forward with our school grade. Thank you.

THE CHAIR: Thank you for that information. Director Gerlicz, your recommendation is for approval; is that correct?

MR. GERLICZ: It is, Madame Chair. La Resolana, like Ralph J. Bunche, serves a population that has never been successful in the

Albuquerque Public Schools. Their demographics bear



10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

SANTA FE OFFICE

Santa Fe, NM 87501

FAX (505) 843-9492

(505) 989-4949



that out, and their history bears that out. And the 1 2 reason that the Charter School Division recommends approval is that we have La Resolana and Ralph J. 3 4 Bunche coming up for renewal in their cycle very, 5 very soon, in which we will do an in-depth analysis of their schools. And we see these schools -- or 6 7 these goals -- as a step in the right direction. On 8 that basis, we recommend approval.

THE CHAIR: Thank you very much. Are there questions from Commissioners? Commissioner Gant.

COMMISSIONER GANT: Madame Chair, members, just a couple of questions here. In Goal No. 2 -- and I'm looking at your chart, and I'm looking at the -- and you're talk- -- it's over the output measures, okay? And it's PED school grade, target is an A. Very laudable. Everybody wants to be an A. But it says the staff is responsible for that. Is not the principal responsible for the grade?

leadership -- I'm sorry, Commissioner -Madame Chair, and Commissioner Gant. It is the
leadership role in that development. However, the
staff, in my mind, is in the classroom working
directly in the trenches with the kids. And so

MS. MONTOYA: Well, it is the



9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24



there has to be 100 percent buy-in of everyone on the staff, from the top to the bottom.

And so -- but it all does start with the leadership, and it all ends with the leadership, so that we can fix the wording in that to reflect that. But it is inclusive of every staff member in the school. In our school, with the students that we serve, we have to be able to provide a family-like environment, where they feel safe and secure in order to be able to move forward in their -- in their education. And so that's -- that's what that means.

COMMISSIONER GANT: Well, one of my concern -- one of my concerns -- Madame Chair, one of my concerns with this was we now have coming out, I believe, the school grading system, A through F. And we have the teacher evaluation system coming out. Now, teacher evaluations are being held to the standardized tests that all teachers don't give. So this is where my concern -- it -- it -- it's putting a lot of onus -- if you say, yes, it's leadership's problem, but it's done in the classroom, it's putting the onus on the teacher.

I mean, I'm just a little concerned that when it doesn't make an A and it doesn't make a C,



or a B, that the teachers' evaluations are going to reflect what, in essence, to me, is a whole school problem, not a teacher problem. See what I'm saying?

MS. MONTOYA: Yes, sir, I do understand your concern. However, we're in this together. And it's a collaborative effort. And I am 110-percent responsible for what happens in my school in working with my teachers, and in no way would I ever put the full burden of failure on my staff. I work -- I try my very best to work in the most ethical manner and to keep up with the compliance issues and make the best efforts that we can collaboratively to move our school forward.

This is not a one-person operation, and it certainly doesn't begin and end with the teachers in the classroom. However, they spend the majority of the time with their teachers in the classroom. And so we have put measures in place for the teachers, and we have supported them through collaboration and professional development so that we can move forward as a school. I would never ever put my staff on the -- on the hot seat for failure.

Other -- one thing, Commissioner, that I would like to tell you as well is that we have --



we've had really good stability in our school in terms of the classroom teachers that we have. Where our teachers started out as Level 1, they have now progressed to Level 2. And so our kids are getting the benefit of that experience in the classroom with -- with their experience and movement through the licensure system.

COMMISSIONER GANT: Thank you.

Madame Chair, the one piece -- maybe I'm missing it, going blind -- the one element that I'm not seeing in any of this -- maybe I'm wrong, please correct me if I am -- I don't see the board of governance.

Where is their responsibility for insuring goals are met? I mean, they are your board of governance.

Maybe I've missed it here. But, I mean, they need to be standing -- excuse me. As like a board -- a school board, they are responsible, along with the superintendent, for all that happens or fails to happen.

MS. MONTOYA: Madam Commissioner,

Commissioner Gant, yes, our governing council is

supportive of our work. I do keep them informed

when we meet with them about the progress of the

school and everything involving the daily operations

of the school.



Rick Rios, here to the right of me, will also address that question.

MR. RIOS: Madame Chair, Commissioner

Grant [verbatim]. I'm not exactly sure what you're seeing on your screen. But we submitted our strategic plan. It's a one-page strategic plan or strategy map, and if you have that in front of you -- and it looks similar to this document right here. (Indicates.)

You'll notice that this strategic plan or map starts at the very bottom with the first strategy: Leadership in government. That is the board's on -- this is the board's plan. And they're involved in helping us achieve the three goals.

The leadership and governance strategy, if you will, goes across all three goals in this particular plan. In their support of each of the goals, the objectives, or, how the EPSS would call it, action steps is described in this map.

So if you look at leadership and governance and look across, those are the things that they're doing in support of the goals, along with approving the plan. So they have skin in the plan along with the school.

COMMISSIONER GANT: Madame Chair, I





1 appreciate that. I should -- I stand corrected.
2 But it's just one of my things.

The last question I have deals with this -- and it's just something that rubs me wrong, and maybe you can make it happen -- is down in Goal 3, the first item, 100 percent. I mean, really. I mean --

MS. MONTOYA: Really.

COMMISSIONER GANT: I mean, what happens if -- you know -- I've been at this a long time. School board member for eight years; this, I've been for six years, et cetera. And that has been one of my biggest problems: Parent participation, never making it happen. And you say you're going to get 100-percent participation. And what happens if you don't?

MS. MONTOYA: Commissioner Gant, I've also been in education for 25 years, and I've also been a leader in every school that I've worked at and participated in hundreds of parent-teacher conferences. And, for me, this is the most important part of parent involvement is for parents to be in attendance at those three conferences every year, at a very minimum, because it informs them of their students' skills and of their standing in the



3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

school.

We do have 100-percent involvement with that. We have parent sign-in sheets. And where we have had to make exceptions for those parents who have not been able to come in, for example, for an individual learning plan conference, we will conduct those conferences by telephone with a legal guardian or parent.

So that has -- that is a very important piece of -- of parent involvement for me.

Where we -- where we have a hard time with parent involvement, we do have activities during the school year where we get minimal to medium parent involvement. Our graduation ceremonies at the end of the year are very well attended, standing room only. And our -- so our goal is always to bring parents into the school as often as we can.

But I can promise you today that, with those three conferences, it is 100-percent involvement by either a parent or a legal guardian. It may not be that for other activities. But this is an important one for student success.

COMMISSIONER GANT: Thank you.

THE CHAIR: Commissioner Toulouse.

COMMISSIONER TOULOUSE: Madame Chair, on





your parent conferences, do you have alternative schedules? Because I know the problem is when you have parents who work, who are on hourly wages with no time, they can't get in. I mean, it's either you feed the kids tonight, or you go to school and talk about them.

And so I'm hoping that you have an alternative schedule for some of those parents.

MS. MONTOYA: Madame Commissioner, Commissioner Toulouse, yes, we do. And that is why we have 100-percent participation, because many of our parents are either, like you said, hourly wage, working on shifts, you know, work through the night and come in the day, and their days and nights are So we do go the extra mile to make turned around. sure that we do get them involved in any way that we can.

And so we have alternative schedules that go beyond the scheduled calendar time that we have to work with our parents, because it's not about having them meet that schedule during a calendar day; it's about having their participation. that is the most important piece for us. Thank you, ma'am.

> THE CHAIR: Excuse me just for one minute.



1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

(505) 989-4949



If you'd like to go ahead, please do. I have to confer over here.

MR. RIOS: Madame Chair, Commissioners,

I'd like to address Commissioner Grant's [verbatim]

concerns about parent involvement. We also

submitted a score card. In that score card for

parental involvement, we have four different

targets. One of the targets is family and parent

participation in conferences, and we are achieving

the 100 percent right now through the -- well, she

described.

But that's not enough for the school because they're a drop-in school, and we have to get parental involvement, and we know the research that says the more involved the parent or guardian is with the student, the more successful the student will be. So we've set some other targets for us in terms of participation to include family/parent volunteerism in the school, family/parent participation in school governance, family/parent participation in school activities.

We feel if we get those parents involved in the school on a regular basis, it will be better for the child and for the family itself, and the grades will improve. So we have four different





targets for that particular goal.

1

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

2 COMMISSIONER GANT: Madame Chair?

THE CHAIR: Commissioner Gant.

COMMISSIONER GANT: Madame Chair, yes, I
saw those other three additional goals. And it's
very laudable. It's just that I was going to
address the 50 percent, because I personally find
that that 50 percent is going to be rather hard to
do.

MR. RIOS: We're in agreement that's a stretch. But we have to do it.

MS. E. MONTOYA: I figured I might as well say something since I'm sitting here, right? I'm Eileen Montoya. I've been in education for 35 years and have been working with some charter schools a lot more the last five or six years. This is the -- I worked at La Resolana two years ago as a teacher.

And I must say that -- that I am living proof to say that the school has done better every year. I now work there as a consultant. I come in once a week and more when needed. But I just have to say that this principal has been proactive, and, in a positive manner, has worked with the professional community, engaged them to learn about the population, learn about at-risk kids and what



they bring to the school, what they bring to the teachers, and what we, as a community, can do to help them learn. And with that type of professionalism, she has seen an amazing improvement in the culture and the learning environment at the school.

And I'm a witness to that. And I'm now able to say that this is a good school. I recommend this school for any family that has a middle-school child, because they have gone through hit and miss, "Let's try this, let's try that." But now we have found what really works for middle-school-program kids.

That's hands-on engagement. This school does project-based learning, where kids learn reading and math through a project that they love learning. They do service learning, which is teaching them to make a connection from school to success in the community and in a career.

So I must say that, with that, we're also using systems. And the systems approach means we identify kids at lower levels. We give them targeted instruction, align them to teacher observation, and doing a lot of what the State is doing now to improve teacher effectiveness through



1	principal effectiveness.
2	That's my piece. And if you want more
3	information on other types of professional
4	development that I've done, I'd be glad to share
5	that. Thank you.
6	THE CHAIR: Thank you. Are there
7	questions from other Commissioners? Hearing none,
8	the Chair will entertain a motion.
9	COMMISSIONER CARR: Madame Chair?
10	THE CHAIR: Commissioner Carr.
11	COMMISSIONER CARR: I move to approve the
12	goals amendment presented by La Resolana Leadership
13	Academy. Sorry, if I mispronounced it.
14	THE CHAIR: Do we have a second?
15	COMMISSIONER PERALTA: Second.
16	THE CHAIR: We have a motion by
17	Commissioner Carr, seconded by Commissioner Peralta,
18	to approve the amendment goals presented by
19	La Resolana Leadership Academy. We will now have a
20	roll-call vote. Commissioner Bergman.
21	COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: Commissioner Carr.
22	COMMISSIONER CARR: Yes.
23	COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: Commissioner
24	Conyers.
25	COMMISSIONER CONYERS: Yes.



1	COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: Commissioner
2	Peralta.
3	COMMISSIONER PERALTA: Yes.
4	COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: Commissioner Pogna.
5	COMMISSIONER POGNA: Yes.
6	COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: Commissioner
7	Toulouse.
8	COMMISSIONER TOULOUSE: Yes.
9	COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: Commissioner Gant.
10	COMMISSIONER GANT: Yes.
11	COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: Commissioner
12	Shearman.
13	THE CHAIR: Yes.
14	COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: Commissioner
15	Bergman votes "yes."
16	Madame Chair, by an eight-to-zero margin,
17	this motion has been approved.
18	THE CHAIR: Thank you. The vote to
19	approve the goals amendment presented by La
20	MS. MONTOYA: Resolana.
21	THE CHAIR: Resolana
22	MS. MONTOYA: Resolana Leadership Academy.
23	THE CHAIR: La Resolana Leadership
24	Academy is unanimously approved. Congratulations.
25	MS. MONTOYA: Thank you, Commissioners.



THE CHAIR: Thank you. 1 2 Will the representatives from Alma d'Arte Charter High School please come forward? 3 4 MR. HARTSHORNE: I think I can still say 5 good morning. 6 THE CHAIR: Just barely. 7 MR. HARTSHORNE: For another 30 seconds. 8 THE CHAIR: Please introduce yourself and 9 your position at the school. 10 MR. HARTSHORNE: Yes, ma'am. 11 Madame Chair, members of the Commission, I am Mark 12 Hartshorne. That's H-A-R-T-S-H-O-R-N-E. And I am 13 chief administrative officer and principal at Alma 14 d'Arte Charter High School in Las Cruces. 15 THE CHAIR: Thank you very much. Director Gerlicz. 16 Madame Chair, members of the 17 MR. GERLICZ: Commission, Director Hartshorne is here to request 18 19 an amendment to change the mission statement of Alma 20 d'Arte Charter School. As you can see in the 21 documents, their original mission statement is a 22 long, rambling mission statement. And they are 23 proposing that it be reduced to a one-sentence 24 succinct. It is our view that that will not 25 compromise their establishment of goals relative to



their mission. Those will still be intact. 1 And so 2 we recommend approval of this amendment. 3 Thank you, Madame Chair. 4 THE CHAIR: Thank you. Are there 5 questions from Commissioners? Hearing none, the 6 Chair will entertain a motion. 7 COMMISSIONER CARR: Madame Chair? 8 THE CHAIR: Commissioner Carr. 9 COMMISSIONER CARR: Move to approve the 10 amendment presented by Alma d'Arte Charter School. 11 COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: Second. THE CHAIR: Motion by Commissioner Carr, 12 13 second by Commissioner Bergman, to approve the 14 amendment presented by the Alma d'Arte Charter 15 Roll-call vote. Commissioner Bergman. COMMISSIONER GANT: Madame Chair. 16 17 THE CHAIR: Commissioner Gant. 18 COMMISSIONER GANT: Madame Chair, just a 19 little word of kindness to Mark Hartshorne. 20 a principal at one of our major high schools down 21 there. For an unknown reason, he retired. I wasn't 22 happy with that, but he retired, and moved off to 23 Colorado. And he got smart and came back to 24 New Mexico. 25 But then he took over Alma d'Arte. And



I've got to tell you, in my view -- just my view, 1 2 Mark -- that things have gone for the better. lot of improvements have happened since you've gone 3 4 over there, because of your attitude for children, 5 which is, "Children first, always first." And that's -- and I just have to tell 6 7 people here at the -- at the Commission that a lot 8 of good things have happened to this school. And I 9 had my doubts many years ago that this school would 10 survive. But, with Mark at the helm -- and this 11 little one-word thing captures it all. And that's 12 why I do support it. Thank you. 13 COMMISSIONER TOULOUSE: Madame Chair, just 14 as a correction. I look at the date of submission 15 here on my copy. And I don't think we're two years 16 ahead yet. So I'm assuming that was "13," just as

MR. HARTSHORNE: Yes, ma'am. I appreciate that.

COMMISSIONER TOULOUSE: -- for the official record. Thank you.

MR. HARTSHORNE: And I do want to thank
Commissioner Gant for his remarks. Certainly, he
and I have a 20-year association of friendship and
colleague. And so, again, I appreciate your words,



17

20

21

22

23

24

25

a --



Gene. And, you know, we have a lot of evolution going on at Alma in the last few years, I think in a real positive direction.

To piggy-back on Mr. Gerlicz's remark about what the revised mission statement does for us, we wanted to insure that we had a mission statement that described what kids were going to look like -- students were going to be when they finished with our program. And the previous mission statement didn't do that.

With this revised statement, absolutely all of our goals, our objectives, our actions, our entire focus, if anybody ever questioned -- I mean, typically, they don't. But if there's a question in the school, why are we doing this programmatically, why are we making this change, why is this item under consideration, we simply point at this mission statement and say, "This helps us graduate artist scholars prepared to succeed."

So it's the basis of everything else we're doing and the entire evolution that's taking place at the school, which you will see in a year's time because we're up for renewal, be bringing that document to you.

But it absolutely enables us to tie



1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

1	everything together that we're doing and along the
2	graduation line. Since the comment has been made
3	or the topic has been commented upon previously
4	today our latest four-year cohort graduation rate
5	is 92.4 percent. And for several consecutive years,
6	our cohort graduation rates have been above
7	90 percent. And that's not because we are getting
8	students that are walking into us very accomplished;
9	although, we do definitely have a trend towards kids
10	that are more accomplished in an academic sense.
11	But our our people, our relationships
12	that we have with our kids and their families, and
13	our programming in the arts is exactly what's doing
14	that. So we're very proud of that. And since I was
15	here this morning, I just had to bring that up. But
16	I thank you for your consideration.
17	THE CHAIR: Thank you. Thank you. Let us
18	proceed with our roll-call vote.
19	Commissioner Bergman.
20	COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: Commissioner Carr.
21	COMMISSIONER CARR: Yes.
22	COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: Commissioner
23	Conyers.
24	COMMISSIONER CONYERS: Yes.



Commissioner

COMMISSIONER BERGMAN:

1	Peralta.
2	COMMISSIONER PERALTA: Yes.
3	COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: Commissioner Pogna.
4	COMMISSIONER POGNA: Yes.
5	COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: Commissioner
6	Toulouse.
7	COMMISSIONER TOULOUSE: Yes.
8	COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: Commissioner Gant.
9	COMMISSIONER GANT: Yes.
10	COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: Commissioner
11	Shearman.
12	THE CHAIR: Yes.
13	COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: Commissioner
14	Bergman votes "yes."
15	Madame Chair, by an eight-to-zero vote,
16	this motion has been approved.
17	THE CHAIR: Thank you. Unanimously
18	approved are the amendments presented by Alma d'Arte
19	Charter School to change their mission statement.
20	Congratulations.
21	MR. HARTSHORNE: Thank you very much,
22	Commissioners.
23	THE CHAIR: Thank you.
24	MR. GERLICZ: Madame Chair?
25	THE CHAIR: Let me is it on the agenda,



or can I bring up something first? 1 MR. GERLICZ: You may bring up something 2 It has to do with the visitors that are with 3 first. 4 us. 5 THE CHAIR: That's exactly where I'm Commissioners, we've got a group of young 6 going. 7 people, outstanding young people, that have been in 8 our audience for quite a long time. And they have certainly behaved themselves in an exemplary manner. 10 Since it is getting past the noon hour, I 11 suspect they're very hungry, and they probably need to get back to school besides all of that. 12 13 We have several attorneys in the room 14 Could we -- they are originally scheduled for our Open Forum segment of the agenda. 15 16 be permissible, and would you prefer that we move 17 this group up to speak to us right now? MR. REYNOLDS: Madame Chair, I certainly 18 19 believe that is permissible, yes. 20 THE CHAIR: Consensus-wise, is that 21 agreeable to the Commissioners? Wonderful. 22 Would the students and the sponsor from 23 the Albuquerque School of Excellence ROBOTIC Team 24 please come forward, introduce yourselves and the



students, and tell us all about their wonderful

1 accomplishments.

2 MR. CETINKAYA: Madame Chair,

3 | Commissioners, good afternoon.

THE CHAIR: Please spell your name for the

5 reporter.

6 MR. CETINKAYA: My name is Ahmet,

7 A-H-M-E-T; and last name, Cetinkaya,

8 | C-E-T-I-N-K-A-Y-A. I'm the principal of Albuquerque

9 | School of Excellence. And I'd like to take this

10 | opportunity and meet with all of you and share our

11 | accomplishment with the Robotics Team last -- this

12 past Saturday, in the statewide competition. First

13 Lego League, they got first place. We brought their

work right here, in Programming, with a perfect

15 scoring.

14

And then we have -- Albuquerque School of

17 | Excellence is serving 316 kids, located at northeast

18 part of Albuquerque, and then grades first through

19 ten; and we will be adding a grade every year. We

20 | are in year three.

21 And then they got another first place last

22 | year, too, our Robotics Team, in a Teamwork award.

23 | So I'd like to start with the first -- Jacob Boskin

24 [ph].

25

JACOB: I've always loved Legos, and I've



1 especially liked robots.

4

5

6

7

8

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

THE CHAIR: I'm sorry. Please use the microphone, so we can all hear you.

JACOB: I've always liked Legos, and I've always liked robots. So I decided to join the team at Albuquerque School of Excellence. And it has been very fun. I've done this for two years now; this is my second year. And both of the years, we've gotten an award.

MR. CENTINKAYA: And then we have

Kimberly.

KIMBERLY: I joined Robotics because I thought it would be fun and I wanted a challenge. And I'm really glad that we won the programming award, because our robot, "STEVE" -- he is really good. He might be bipolar.

MR. CETINKAYA: Yeah. We have Loren Hernandez.

LOREN: Okay. So, first of all, STEVE stands for "Senior Tech Evolutionary Vehicular Explorer." That's STEVE, our robot. I joined Robotics this year because I thought it would be really fun. And it was actually very, very cool for me to be in Robotics this year.

MR. CETINKAYA: And then we have Crystal.





CRYSTAL: I like Robotics because I'm up 1 2 to the challenge, as well as Kimberly is. just sounded interesting since we were able to make 3 4 robots out of Legos. So I was really glad that we won an award this year. 5 MR. CETINKAYA: And then we have Mikhail 6 7 Sosi [ph] 8 MIKHAIL: Hello. My name is Mikhail Sosi 9 I'm in seventh grade. And I enjoy Robotics 10 because it was something to do in my free time, and 11 because I like Robotics, and, just, that would sound 12 fun. Legos are cool, so -- yeah. 13 MR. CETINKAYA: And then we have Skyler. 14 SKYLER: I actually learned about Robotics in my old school after -- in my old school, when I 15 16 was -- because I was going to come to this school. 17 And I was really excited because I was, like, "Wow, Who else -- who gets to build robots?" 18 a robot. 19 So -- but, so, I've been here for two And we built STEVE. And we've been doing 20 21 competitions. I don't know what to say. 22 MR. CETINKAYA: And then Nathaniel. 23 NATHANIEL: Hi, everybody. My name is 24 Nathaniel. And I joined Robotics because I 25 figured -- I was told that the -- you had to program



the robot. And I was really into programming 1 2 Python -- do any of you guys know Python? Well -and I also joined it because I had this kit before. 3 4 And it was fun playing with it. 5 MR. CETINKAYA: And then we have Robotics coach, Mr. Dadebayev. 6 7 MR. DADEBAYEV: Hi, and thank you for 8 having us today. And I'm teaching them their 9 computer class, too, and then teaching them as a 10 coach for the Robotics for two years. And then this 11 is great to work with them, and then they did a 12 really hard job this year, too. And then they won 13 this award. And thank you again for supporting us 14 and having us today. 15 MR. GERLICZ: Can we see STEVE in 16 operation? 17 THE CHAIR: The name is "STEVE," the 18 Robot? 19 SKYLER: Yes. He runs -- he runs different missions on a set course. And every year, 20 21 it changes. So we have --22 NATHANIEL: She said he can go over there. 23 SKYLER: We have to plug him in the 24 computer, and then we have to program sets of 25 different movements. And this is an example.



(Robot executes set of movements.) 1 2 That was one of the missions he SKYLER: ran at competition. And if we had been on the 3 4 actual set table, you would have seen him push 5 quilts and pick up things, and so --COMMISSIONER TOULOUSE: Madame Chair? 6 7 THE CHAIR: Outstanding. Commissioner 8 Toulouse? 9 MR. GERLICZ: What was the competition? 10 It's First Lego League. MR. CETINKAYA: 11 COMMISSIONER TOULOUSE: I'd like to see 12 what the other robot does, too. 13 MR. GERLICZ: That's the award. 14 THE CHAIR: It's rather robotic-looking. 15 COMMISSIONER TOULOUSE: But, no, I 16 congratulate these kids a lot. I have one grandson 17 who's only seven, and he is already doing this kind 18 of -- trying to do things. And he will be beyond me 19 in about two years. I give him that much yet. 20 So I applaud all of you for taking the 21 time to do this and enjoy it. Whether you win or 22 not, I bet you like it anyway. And the winning is 23 the icing on the cake. Thank you. 24 And there's several different SKYLER: 25 The young kids go to Junior FLL, competitions.



1	which is less complex. And they do and there's
2	competitions for them. And then there's FLL. Then
3	for high school, there's FTC, First Tech Challenge,
4	which is like a huge field, like the size of this
5	room. And they have much bigger robots that do
6	other things. So there's several different
7	competitions for different age groups.
8	MR. GERLICZ: And in this competition, the
9	name of this competition?
10	MR. CETINKAYA: First Lego League.
11	MR. GERLICZ: First Lego League. And
12	there's actually a league of this sort of thing, and
13	then it results in a competition?
14	MR. CETINKKAYA: We won this in the
15	statewide one.
16	MR. GERLICZ: For middle school?
17	MR. CETINKAYA: For middle school. This
18	is for middle school.
19	SKYLER: There's a bunch of competitions,
20	and then it goes up to International, and then teams
21	from all different countries will compete.
22	MR. GERLICZ: That was my next question.
23	Since you won this competition, then that continues
24	on to the national level?



Actually, we won the

MR. DADEBAYEV:

1	statewide. And then in the judging judges of the
2	New Mexico, they decide who will go to the World
3	Championship. Because there is four awards. And
4	then we won one of them, and then each, like,
5	different teams can won [verbatim] like, won from
6	the one category. And we won the programming award.
7	There is a project award, and there is
8	design awards. And then, at the end, like, they're
9	going to if they send us an e-mail that to
10	represent our state, you can go to the World
11	Championship, and we can go.
12	MR. GERLICZ: Good luck.
13	MR. DADEBAYEV: Yes. Thank you.
14	MR. CETINKAYA: Thank you.
15	THE CHAIR: Comments from other
16	Commissioners? Well, you are an outstanding group.
17	I am so impressed with what you have done. Please
18	keep it up. Continue to make New Mexico proud. And
19	we look to see your face in what is it
20	Scientific American, perhaps? And we thank you for
21	waiting so patiently to show us your stuff.
22	MS. CALLAHAN: Thank you for coming.
23	(Applause.)
24	THE CHAIR: Now, Commissioners, my next
25	question is, do we want to break for lunch and come



1	back for these last few items, or do we want to work
2	through them and do lunch after we finish?
3	COMMISSIONER POGNA: Lunch after we
4	finish.
5	COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: Go forward and do
6	lunch.
7	THE CHAIR: Go forward? Go forward?
8	COMMISSIONER TOULOUSE: Madame Chair,
9	could we have about a ten-minute break again?
10	THE CHAIR: That's a good idea. Let's
11	take about a ten-minute break. We'll come back and
12	finish up our agenda. Thank you.
13	(Recess taken, 12:15 p.m. to 12:30 p.m.)
14	THE CHAIR: All right. We're back in
15	session. Next topic on the agenda is the Draft of
16	the Memo of Understanding between PED, CSD, and
17	Public Education Commission Regarding PEC Duties.
18	And we will ask Abby Wear, CSD Counsel, to
19	begin the presentation.
20	MS. WEAR: Hi. I'm happy to use the
21	microphone if you can't hear me. But without an
22	audience, I imagine that you can.
23	The impetus behind this MOU is the new
24	charter schools law that charges PEC with duties
25	never before specifically charged in statute to you.



And I took a look at that and realized that you were not in a position, nor did you have the resources, without the help of CSD.

In this case, we're talking about specifically closure protocols. But 446 also talks about suspension and non-renewal and renewal-with-conditions protocols. That's something we'll address a little bit more down the road, maybe at the March 8 meeting.

In order -- we've got two schools that the PEC ordered to be closed at their last meeting. And in order to move forward with those closure protocols, we need CSD to have the delegated authority in order to do that. So this MOU before you is one that I handed -- that I updated you with this morning is just that, delegating to CSD that they have -- for the schools that you've already ordered closed -- those two, specifically, is what we're talking about -- that they can go ahead and develop these procedures and protocols to insure that closure in a smooth and orderly manner as the statute requires. And I'm happy to answer any questions.

THE CHAIR: Okay. Kelly, do you have anything that you would like to add to this



discussion at this point?

MS. CALLAHAN: Madam Chair, Commissioners, just that one of the things that we're facing is an incredibly tight time line for closure procedures to happen. Because these schools were not renewed as of December, they must be closed by the end of this fiscal year, which is June 30th, 2013. And it's now already February. It's a considerable task to close schools.

With Academia de Lengua y Cultura, this school was proposing to come to the Commission as a renewal school. However, they -- they were previously chartered by APS. So APS is actually doing the bulk of the work in the closure procedures for Academia. We are working with APS to make sure that our I's are dotted and our T's are crossed in terms of following all the regulations and guidelines. APS has actually gone through a closure that they did last year with another school. So they have done these procedures.

With Village Academy, we needed to wait until the time line of the appeal process expired before we could really do anything, because they had that in -- by statute, the right to appeal. And so we are facing an incredibly tight time line in terms



of notification to parents and community, as well as establishing what's going to happen with any of the assets of the school in terms of the employees and their benefits. We -- there's a lot of information that has to be defined for the school and the school community.

So if there's any way that we can expedite this forward, it would help us in trying to make sure that the school community is adequately informed and has all the information it needs in order for those students to be allowed to attend school somewhere else, and then gives them plenty of time.

But the other issue is the transfer of students usually happens sometime in February or March is when transfers to other schools happen, traditionally, in traditional public schools. So we want to make sure that we get the -- as much opportunity to have the choice that they can have to make good decisions for their families.

So, anyway, that is just information -- thank you, Madame Chairman.

THE CHAIR: Thank you, Kelly. So let

me -- we visited about this a little bit yesterday.

I just want to be clear. This MOU truly is just for

SANTA FE OFFICE 119 East Marcy, Suite 110 Santa Fe, NM 87501 (505) 989-4949 FAX (505) 843-9492

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24



this particular point in time, to get the closure of this particular school -- because of the tight time line, this particular school completed, with CSD having the authority to go ahead with the procedures and the -- and so forth to get this done.

Then we're going to have, at our next regular meeting, a new MOU from you that is going to take us through that point into the future. And it will be somewhat different from this; correct?

MS. WEAR: Correct. It will probably also likely include -- the statute also now charges you with developing and overseeing and insuring not only closures, but renewals of conditions, suspensions. So that MOU will also likely include those elements as well.

THE CHAIR: Okay. Then one thing that catches my eye that I don't know if it's really a concern -- but if -- would we not be terminating this MOU at the March meeting when we're looking at a new one?

MS. WEAR: Do you mean in the termination clause, does it specifically articulate?

THE CHAIR: I'm looking at the 120 days in the termination clause, because, to me, this MOU is really only good for this particular activity that

SANTA FE OFFICE 119 East Marcy, Suite 110 Santa Fe, NM 87501 (505) 989-4949 FAX (505) 843-9492

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24



```
needs to happen right now with the closure of
 1
 2
     Village Academy. Then we need a whole new MOU, as
     you just said, for all of the activities and
 3
 4
     responsibilities going forward.
                                      So this MOU truly
 5
     is self-terminating, in my view, when the new MOU is
     approved, I'm thinking, at the March meeting.
 6
 7
               MS. WEAR:
                          It can be. We can write a
 8
     sunset provision in there, or we can leave it that
 9
     you give us -- after -- after we show you the
10
     closure procedures for Village Academy, that you
11
     then say, "Okay, that's good; you know, this is the
12
     notice to terminate the MOU." We can do it either
13
     way.
14
               THE CHAIR: I'm just concerned that it
     says, "must have 120 calendar days' notice."
15
16
               MS. WEAR:
                          Uh-huh.
17
               THE CHAIR:
                           I'd rather that were not
18
     there.
             I don't want to get caught up in any kind of
19
     legal quagmire. I think if this were a
20
     self-terminating MOU, upon the acceptance of the new
21
     one, I would feel better about it.
22
               MS. WEAR:
                          Uh-huh.
23
               THE CHAIR:
                           Now, other Commissioners?
24
     Your concerns or thoughts?
25
               COMMISSIONER CARR:
                                   Madame Chair, I have a
```



question.

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

2 THE CHAIR: Commissioner Carr.

MS. CALLAHAN:

COMMISSIONER CARR: If it comes up, who -if Albuquerque's public schools are bearing the
brunt of some of this work, who absorb- -- are they
absorbing the extra cost of that? How does that
work?

Commissioner Carr, the -- in terms of the closure costs itself, yes, the Albuquerque Public Schools is responsible, because the charter actually doesn't expire until June 30. So they are still under the auspices of the Albuquerque Public Schools. So they will absorb that.

Madame Chair,

The main thing that we're looking at is just insuring that the process is taking place -because they did apply to the Public Education
Commission, we -- you know, there isn't direct
oversight, but we're acting in the role of Charter
Schools Division assistance to districts that are
local authorizers. And because there is this -- you
know, a bit of a bridge that -- that they came to
the Public Education Commission, and the fact that
they have also appealed is -- but they did present
an appeal.



And so there is still a possibility that the PEC may become involved. And so we're trying to communicate with the Albuquerque Public Schools staff and -- and make sure that we -- if, for some reason, we end up having to take on some responsibility, to be able to have a smooth transition. So -- but right now, the charter is in APS's purview until June 30, 2013.

members, I have another -- so this is something that I haven't considered much. I don't know -- and we have not talked about. Because, in Albuquerque, they can absorb some cost. If -- I don't expect that to happen. If Moreno Valley High School up in the Cimarron school district were to close -- I don't see that happening; but if it were -- that would be a tremendous burden upon the local district to -- I know they would recoup it, maybe, with, you know, additional students.

But it's something -- like, we need to maybe think about, especially with the great number of charter schools that we have now, about those -- those costs that could come about with the closures and the effect that it's going to have on, especially, smaller districts.





MS. WEAR: Well, unfortunately, if they are locally chartered, the law doesn't give the chartering authority a choice. They have to be sure that everything is done in a smooth and orderly way, as far as student records and distribution of property.

COMMISSIONER CARR: Yeah, I understand they don't have a choice. But it's something that -- you know, I mean, a lot of the rural school

that -- you know, I mean, a lot of the rural school districts operate on emergency funds every year. So they're going to have to say, "Hey, we need emergency" -- because they're going to be asking for more emergency money -- "Hey, we've got this school closing, and, my gosh, we're going to end up closing just to close a school."

You know, I -- I'm just throwing it out there as a consideration. I don't think it's something that I have thought about before, and I don't think it's anything we've talked about before, and something maybe we need to talk to the legislature about.

MS. CALLAHAN: Madame Chair,

Commissioner Carr, just a comment. You know, part

of the 2-percent money that the -- that the schools

pay to the authorizers is to offset costs. But I

SANTA FE OFFICE 119 East Marcy, Suite 110 Santa Fe, NM 87501 (505) 989-4949 FAX (505) 843-9492



don't think there's ever been any contemplation 1 2 about the costs of closing; i.e., if the distribution of assets will require budget folks to 3 4 be involved, will require, you know, the purchasing 5 folks to be involved, the auditing department. mean, there's a lot of unintended consequences of 6 7 what may happen in -- as a result of a closure 8 that -- that maybe haven't been, you know, thought about.

And, so, Commissioner Carr, I absolutely agree. As part of, I think, what we'll do in our closing procedures is, as we identify some of those things -- because now because of 446, there has to be identified what is used -- the 2 percent used for by the authorizer. And, in some states, according to NACSA, they actually make a school do a set-aside -- Commissioner Shearman, I don't know if you remember, in that -- one of the -- one of the states, that they ask the school to set aside an additional 1 or 2 percent of their money as an emergency fund in case of closure.

So those may be -- you know, those may be other things that we have to look at as we get into this closing procedure, because it is -- it's really difficult to anticipate what all the costs --



10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24



either -- the ones that are very obvious; but there 1 2 also may be some hidden costs that we don't know 3 about, soft costs. So it's definitely something 4 that we will take into consideration and try to 5 identify as best we can through the closing 6 procedures. 7 THE CHAIR: All right. Thank you for that clarification. 8 9 COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: Madame Chair? 10 THE CHAIR: Commissioner Bergman. 11 COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: Let me offer a 12 suggestion. Instead of changing this draft again, 13 might we not, in the next MOU, in the "Termination" 14 section, have some kind of language that says that that MOU supercedes and replaces this one that we 15 16 Then we don't have to change this one approve? 17 again; we just do it that way. Would that be 18 possible?

MS. WEAR: I don't see why not. But I definitely hear what you're saying about the 120 days. I'd like to -- you know, so that we can proceed with Village Academy, I'd like to be in a position to get a vote on this today.

And I'm just wondering, Mark, if you think that we could -- what do you -- what's your --

SANTA FE OFFICE 119 East Marcy, Suite 110 Santa Fe, NM 87501 (505) 989-4949 FAX (505) 843-9492

19

20

21

22

23

24



MR. REYNOLDS: I think that we can make 1 2 amendments to this, have it on the record that if the Commission so decides to approve it with the 3 4 amendments, and then delegate to the Chairperson the 5 authority to sign it. And then we can go back and 6 write the amendments and get them in front of 7 Carolyn. 8 One simple change that we could make is, 9 in that termination language, just simply saying, 10 "This MOU may be terminated by agreement of the 11 parties, " comma, "or with 120-day notice by either 12 party." And that would take care of that problem. 13 THE CHAIR: That would fix it. 14 COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: Yeah. 15 THE CHAIR: Okay. Is there further 16 discussion of -- you're recommending that as part of 17 the motion? 18 MR. REYNOLDS: Exactly, yes. 19 THE CHAIR: Whoever chooses to make the 20 motion. MR. REYNOLDS: And, Madame Chair, if I 21 22 may -- and the reason I think we might want to -- it 23 may not be a big deal to make that change. I have a 24 suggestion for a couple of other changes.



I don't know how the CSD will feel about

But if you read in Section 2, "Party A 1 them. Responsibilities, " the second paragraph says, "PEC 2 3 retains authority to approve these processes and 4 protocols and must do so at a subsequent meeting, 5 such process and protocols being developed by CSD." From a legal standpoint, I'm fine with 6 7 mandating ourselves to deal with it at the next 8 meeting, because this law is in place, and we need to get it done. But that could be read to mandate 10 that we must approve, as opposed to disapproving or 11 modifying. I don't think that's the intention of 12 the Commission. 13 That wasn't the intention of MS. WEAR: the drafter, either. I'm not sure that I agree that 14 15 that's what it says, but --16 MR. REYNOLDS: So the suggestion I would 17 have -- I don't know, Abby, if you or the CSD have a problem with it -- would be to write to say, "PEC 18 19 retains authority to approve, disapprove, or modify

MS. WEAR: That was the intention, so I have no problem with spelling that out.

these processes, and must do so at the next

MR. REYNOLDS: Other than that,

Madame Chair, those are the only suggestions I have



meeting."

20

21

24



for it. 1 2 THE CHAIR: Thank you for those. Let me make sure I got the one on -- on Section 6 -- I'm 3 4 sorry -- 7. Your suggestion was, "This MOU may be 5 terminated by either party, or with 120 days' -calendar days' notice"? 6 7 MR. REYNOLDS: No. Sorry, Madame Chair, I 8 probably wasn't very clear. My suggestion would be, 9 "This MOU may be terminated by agreement of the 10 parties... . " And then just so it all reads right, 11 it doesn't sound like you need 120 days' notice if you have agreement of the parties, it will read, 12 13 "This MOU may be terminated by agreement of the 14 parties or with 120 days' notice by either party." THE CHAIR: Or 120 calendar days' notice. 15 16 Okay. 17 COMMISSIONER GANT: By either party. 18 MR. REYNOLDS: You've got to take that "by 19 either party" and move it till after "120 days' 20 notice." THE CHAIR: Okay. Does everyone have that 21 22 down and -- one more time? Let me read what I have, Mr. Reynolds, 23 24 and, Abby, if you would check me, please. "This MOU



may be terminated by agreement of the parties or

```
120 calendar days' notice."
1
 2
               MR. REYNOLDS: Say, "or, " comma, "with
 3
     120 days' notice, comma, by either party.
 4
               THE CHAIR:
                           Oh. You want "by either
 5
     party" after.
 6
               MR. REYNOLDS: Exactly. Otherwise, it
 7
     sounds like you need 120 days' notice even with the
     agreement of the parties, which doesn't make any
 8
 9
     sense.
10
               THE CHAIR:
                          Okay. You read it, please.
11
               MR. REYNOLDS: My suggestion is, "This MOU
12
     may be terminated by agreement of the parties or, "
13
     comma, "with 120 days' notice by either party,"
14
    period.
                           All right. And then --
15
               THE CHAIR:
               COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: Let's redo the
16
17
     other one, yeah.
18
               THE CHAIR:
                           In Section 2, paragraph 2,
19
     "PEC retains authority to approve, disapprove, or
20
     modify these procedures and protocols..., " and so
21
     forth.
             Okay?
22
               MR. REYNOLDS: Yes.
23
               THE CHAIR: All right. Further
24
     discussion. Commissioner Gant?
25
               COMMISSIONER GANT:
                                   Just -- Madame Chair,
```



1	just a point of interest for your calendars. And I
2	won't be able to come up for this one. But on
3	Friday, the 22nd, is when this one school will have
4	its meeting or hearing meetings. So you might
5	want to put that on your calendars. Some of you may
6	want to come and speak to it or not.
7	THE CHAIR: Before the Secretary, you're
8	saying?
9	COMMISSIONER GANT: It's on Friday, the
10	22nd.
11	MS. WEAR: March 22nd.
12	COMMISSIONER GANT: You're right. Old
13	age. Friday, March 22, at 9:00, in Mabry Hall.
14	THE CHAIR: March?
15	COMMISSIONER GANT: March 22, at 9:00 to
16	12, in Mabry Hall.
17	THE CHAIR: Okay. All right. Anything
18	further? The Chair will entertain a motion.
19	COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: Madame Chair?
20	THE CHAIR: Commissioner Bergman.
21	COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: I move that the
22	Public Education Commission approve the Memo of
23	Understanding between PED, slash, CSD and PEC, with
24	the notations that we have changed as amendments.
25	Anything else?



1	MR. REYNOLDS: That are on the record.
2	COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: That are on the
3	record.
4	MS. WEAR: Do they need to empower the
5	Chair to sign it?
6	MR. REYNOLDS: I think it's probably a
7	good idea to delegate the authority to the Chair to
8	sign it.
9	COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: And delegating the
10	authority to the Chair to sign it, period.
11	THE CHAIR: Do we have
12	COMMISSIONER GANT: Second.
13	THE CHAIR: Commissioner Gant, second.
14	Commissioner Bergman made the motion, Commissioner
15	Gant seconded, to approve the MOU as amended with
16	Chairperson having authority to sign the MOU.
17	Further discussion? Commissioner Bergman,
18	shall we have a roll-call vote, please?
19	COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: Yes, ma'am.
20	Commissioner Carr.
21	COMMISSIONER CARR: Yes.
22	COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: Commissioner
23	Conyers.
24	COMMISSIONER CONYERS: Yes.
25	COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: Commissioner



1	Peralta.
2	COMMISSIONER PERALTA: Yes.
3	COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: Commissioner Pogna.
4	COMMISSIONER POGNA: Yes.
5	COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: Commissioner
6	Toulouse.
7	COMMISSIONER TOULOUSE: Yes.
8	COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: Commissioner Gant.
9	COMMISSIONER GANT: Yes.
10	COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: Commissioner
11	Shearman.
12	THE CHAIR: Yes.
13	COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: Commissioner
14	Bergman votes "yes."
15	Madame Chair, by an 8-to-0, vote that
16	motion is approved.
17	THE CHAIR: The motion to approve the MOU,
18	as amended, with the Chair of the Commission
19	authorized to sign, passes unanimously.
20	Let's move on to Item No. 14, PEC
21	Business. Topic (a) is Committee Reports. The only
22	committee report I have is Legislative Committee.
23	And Commissioner Carr will bring that to us.
24	COMMISSIONER CARR: I met with the
25	Director of the LESC vesterday.



1 COMMISSIONER GANT: Pull your mic closer.

COMMISSIONER CARR: Oh, okay. I met with the Director of the LESC yesterday, Frances Maestas. She gave me the update on the proposed legislation that the LESC is moving forward for us. Mary Jane Garcia is going to introduce that bill to the floor in a few days, and we'll have a number then. And we'll try to get it out to everybody with the exact

So for new members, I don't know if we have -- I don't have a copy of -- of what we gave the legislature, but we'll have the exact wording from the legislature pretty soon. Have -- okay. So we'll see how that goes. And if you want to lobby for that, you can get the bill number and talk to your legislators and -- and support it.

The other topic -- oh, well. And then I will defer to Commissioner Gant in just a second to tell us about the -- the bill for moratorium in just a second.

But I have the -- something that I presented several times is a Constitutional amendment that I would like for us to -- it's a resolution to support a Constitutional amendment.

And I've been, you know, told there's various issues



2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

wording.

and things that we'll work on the -- I don't know who's going to be with me on the legislative committee right at this moment -- but that we want to get -- we want to work with the LESC, make sure we get this wording correctly.

But the intentions are quite clear. And I'll just -- I'll read -- everybody should have a copy of the page that says, "Constitutional Amendment."

"Be it resolved that the New Mexico State
Legislature" -- and, of course, for our purposes, we
can -- for voting, we could state "PEC", but -- the

"State Legislature supports an amendment to our

State Constitution giving oversight, regulatory
authority to New Mexico Public Education Commission
in regards to budget, licensing and policy;

"Be it further resolved that the Secretary of Education be appointed..." -- and this is a little change based on some members of the LESC's recommendation. "Be it further resolved the Secretary of Education be appointed by the Public Education Commission and have a New Mexico Education Administration Certification."

That requires a minimum of six years of teaching in the classroom. And most administrators



1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

have more than that.

"And be it further resolved that if a vacancy occurs on the Public Education Commission, the Public Education Commission shall appoint a resident from the district in which that vacancy occurs to the position, until the next regular election."

Now, some of the issues that were brought up to me of concern is that, right now, the Public Education Department falls under -- is a cabinet under the Governor. Before the 2003 amendment, it was a separate entity that was overseen by the State Board of Education, and the Superintendent of Education, who was appointed by the State Board at that time.

And the difference also is that there were ten elected members and five members that were appointed by the Governor. Members of the LESC -- concern about not going back to five appointments from the Governor.

And so we have to make sure that -- you know, that there are certain things stricken. They will -- it is a huge change. In 2003, it was a huge change. And this is something that won't -- that will require a vote by the people. And my





intention, that it not -- you know, it wouldn't even 1 2 take effect -- or wouldn't be voted on until the 2014 election, which would take us into the next 3 4 administration, whoever that is. And then the State 5 Legislature would have to enact statute to conform to the State Constitution, which would be in great 6 7 detail.

I've been working on this for well over a And -- and giving it more -- you know, the more and more thought I give it, I have told the LESC members that I'm not -- you know, not married to the exact wording. And we can be open -- you know, this is still going to be living and breathing for a little while until we all -- you know, we might want to make some changes.

One of the things that I thought about was most people don't even know what the Public Education Commission is. But if you say, "Formerly the State School Board, " then they go, "Oh." everybody understands that school board, local school boards, you know, have control over their local districts, and they -- they elect -- they don't elect -- they select a superintendent for the local.

So if we were to operate like that, one of



8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

the thoughts that I had was maybe change the wording as well. But it's a matter of semantics. But it's also important that people understand what we do.

So even changing the wording to -- back to State School Board and Superintendent of Education, I think, adds a great deal of clarity to the general public. That's what they know, for the most part, and they understand that. And so be open to looking at changing that.

But it wouldn't change the intention, the intention being that the Public Education Department be -- have the oversight of a State Board, and that the -- or the Superintendent be selected and have minimum professional requirements met.

And having said that, also, there are six reasons against the current law that are listed in the documents that I've given you. And, with that, I would like to ask for a vote of support for this resolution.

THE CHAIR: Commissioner Carr, is that a motion?

COMMISSIONER CARR: Yes. Do you want me to make that in the form of a motion?

MR. REYNOLDS: Madame Chair and

Commissioner Carr, I'm sorry to interrupt. But we



1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

have an Open Meetings Act problem here. So I apologize for this. But a body can't vote on an item unless it's listed with reasonable specificity on the agenda. And I don't see this on the agenda listed with any type of specificity.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

SANTA FE OFFICE

Santa Fe, NM 87501

FAX (505) 843-9492

(505) 989-4949

So, under the Open Meetings Act, you can decide it, but it would have to be put on the agenda for an actual vote. So I apologize, Commissioner Carr, but I have to give that advice to the Commission.

COMMISSIONER CARR: I feel like that we're not voting on, say, we're closing a school or accepting a school or something. We're voting on a resolution that we're supporting. So you guys -- I mean, yeah, I certainly don't want to put us in a position where it looks like we're violating the Open Meetings Act. And I know we are going to meet again in March. And so if that's the consensus of the Commission, then -- then so be it.

THE CHAIR: Commissioner Gant?

COMMISSIONER GANT: Madame Chair, please correct me if I'm wrong. You can talk about this as Commissioners. You can go talk to your legislators, as an individual. You don't have to tell them that you're on the Commission. You don't have to tell





them what you -- you could just tell them you're a taxpayer from the State of New Mexico, and you have concerns that the Public Education Commission -- the Constitution needs to be changed to better suit what the Public Education Commission is and give it more power to do its job.

Forget that you're a Commissioner. I believe you can do that. Am I not correct?

MR. REYNOLDS: I think that's generally correct. And I would say -- you don't even have to have -- you wouldn't have to hide the fact that you're a Commissioner, either; only that, until the Commission takes a vote on it, you shouldn't represent that you're representing the voice of the Commission on this, that you are representing your personal view.

THE CHAIR: Okay. I think that was an oversight on our part when we put this agenda together, because we did intend -- we knew this was coming up and that you were going to ask for a vote. And we should have been more specific.

So, Beverly, if you will help us when we put the next agenda together to be sure we get this correctly listed on the agenda so that it can be voted on? Commissioner Bergman?





COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: Thank you. I just 1 2 have a concern. And my concern is we are trying to 3 do some other things. And I'm afraid we're going to 4 get too many hands in the pie and too many things --5 one is liable to affect the other. And that, I do 6 have a concern about that. Thank you. 7 COMMISSIONER GANT: Madame Chair. 8 THE CHAIR: Commissioner Gant. 9 COMMISSIONER GANT: Madame Chair, I 10 understand Commissioner Bergman's reluctance. 11 understand it. But, in reality, after these number 12 of years that I've walked these halls up here, and 13 seen what does happen and get through, I rather 14 doubt -- maybe I'm wrong. Maybe there's enough 15 power in the LESC to make it happen -- we may not 16 even see this as a top bill or anything like that 17 until next year. COMMISSIONER TOULOUSE: Madame Chair, may 18 19 I ask a question? 20 THE CHAIR: Wait, wait, wait. 21 Commissioner Gant, have you finished? 22 COMMISSIONER GANT: And so I think we can 23 talk about this in March, vote on it in March, and 24 still go through the year pushing on our 25 legislators, because the legislators are not going



to change this year. They're in now for two years.

So we can start really pushing on it. And I

understand Commissioner Bergman's concern about it

at this point. Thank you.

THE CHAIR: Commissioner Toulouse.

COMMISSIONER TOULOUSE: Madame Chair, my concern is, do we have a sponsor lined up -- or does the LESC have a sponsor lined up for this? Because if we wait, and it becomes our initiative, March 8 is too late to do anything this year anyway, because it's past the midpoint. So unless we have somebody -- and it would be a memorial. Do we have somebody who's willing to put in a memorial or a dummy one that can pick up after we vote.

And, otherwise, unless we can really tie this very brief comment in here with the budget and all, with getting somebody to agree that this really is a budget bill, we won't be able to do this until year after next.

COMMISSIONER CARR: Madame Chair, yes, we don't have to have a memorial. But that would be -- that would be nice. And, with something like this, you have to start talking about it, you know, unless you're somebody like Governor Richardson, who can work miracles beyond miracles about getting certain



1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

things passed, whether you like it or not. This is 1 2 something that has to sink in. It takes time. 3 And -- and people need to be made aware of it over 4 time. 5 And I was -- in my conversations I've had with the members of the LESC is that they -- they 6 7 pass a lot -- I mean, a lot of proposed amendments 8 pass during the 30-day session. And that's where I 9 expect it to end up. However, you know, things 10 I mean, it could. change and everything. But --11 and it wouldn't matter they're -- because it's not 12 going to go up -- it's not going to be -- it 13 wouldn't be on the ballot until 2014 after the 14 30-day session next year anyway. So -- and there has to be some wordings --15 16 you know, we have to get the wording, you know. 17 That continues to change, you know. With once it, 18 you know, goes through these committees, the bills 19 get changed a little bit here and there, too, to 20 make sure that they're worded correctly.

long-term process.

And the other thing that I want to just

And the other thing that I want to just point out is that, in your packet that was added, there's -- there's statutes, and then there's



21

22

23

24

25



But that's fine. Yeah, I recognize the

background on the amendments going back to the '50s, 1 2 you know, to give you background on it, because if 3 you go back twenty years or so, the system was 4 statewide-elected at that time, too. So there's 5 been a lot of changes over the years. 6 And those amendments are pretty simple, 7 you know. That's -- that's the nature of the 8 Constitution is that -- and then the statutes may be 20 or 30 pages based on one paragraph in the 10 Constitution. And that's -- and that's fine. 11 So I think that's -- that's all, 12 Madame Chair. 13 THE CHAIR: Okay. Thank you. All right. 14 Before we get away from the Committee Report item, I think this might be a good place to announce 15 16 committee and liaison assignments. It's not an 17 action item; it's just an information item. But we 18 need to get those set. Everyone sent in their 19 requests on what committees and so forth they'd like 20 to serve on, and I tried to honor those as much as I Let me -- Beverly, I will give you this 21 could. 22 after I get it announced, and you can perhaps send

SANTA FE OFFICE 119 East Marcy, Suite 110 Santa Fe, NM 87501 (505) 989-4949 FAX (505) 843-9492

it out.

23

24

25



And I pretty much kept the chairmanship of those

First of all, our committee assignments:

1	committees as they were for continuity of effort and
2	added some people as I could.
3	Charter School Committee will have Vince
4	Bergman as Chair. Members are Millie Pogna, Carolyn
5	Shearman, and Gene Gant.
6	CTE is Gene Gant, Chair, and James
7	Conyers. Jim, is that satisfactory with you, I
8	hope?
9	COMMISSIONER CONYERS: Yes.
10	THE CHAIR: Strategic Planning. Gilbert
11	Peralta remains Chair. Carmie Toulouse and James
12	Conyers. I thought that was a good place to start
13	you all out.
14	Legislative Committee. Jeff Carr will be
15	serving as Chair. Vince Bergman, Gene Gant, Carolyn
16	Shearman. And, I will ask Carmie Toulouse to lend
17	her expertise to that committee.
18	Liaison Assignments, Legislative Education
19	Study Committee. Carolyn Shearman, Gene Gant, Vince
20	Bergman, Jeff Carr, Millie Pogna.
21	New Mexico Indian Education Advisory
22	Counsel. And Millie has given us the information
23	that that committee that council has only met
24	once.



COMMISSIONER POGNA:

Pardon?

PROFESSIONAL COURT REPORTING SERVICE

1	THE CHAIR: Did you not say that that
2	Commission, the Indian Education Advisory Council,
3	has met only once?
4	COMMISSIONER POGNA: I only received one
5	notice last year.
6	THE CHAIR: Right. We may want to spend
7	this year and evaluate our participation in that
8	council and what if you think it's worth it. I
9	will ask you and Gilbert Peralta to serve on the
L 0	Indian Education Council.
L1	New Mexico Coalition of School
L 2	Administrators. Jeff Carr, Vince Bergman, Carmie
L 3	Toulouse, Gilbert Peralta.
L 4	New Mexico School Capital Outlay Council.
L 5	Gene Gant and Vince Bergman.
L 6	New Mexico School Insurance Authority.
L 7	Millie Pogna, Carmie Toulouse, Gilbert Peralta.
L 8	New Mexico School Boards Association.
L 9	Gilbert Peralta, James Conyers, Carolyn Shearman.
20	New Mexico State Library Commission. Gene
21	Gant, Millie Pogna.
22	And, in discussion with Commissioner Gant,
23	he tells me that the New Mexico Commission on
24	Technology in Education, that is not needed as a
2.5	separate entity, that it's covered in the CTE



```
Committee assignments.
1
 2
               So I hope those are satisfactory with
 3
     everyone. If they're not, please let me know.
 4
               COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: You're going to
 5
     give this list to --
 6
               THE CHAIR:
                           Beverly.
 7
               COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: She talks faster
 8
     than I write. I got behind, and I'm lost.
 9
               THE CHAIR:
                           I'm sorry. Yes, I'll give it
10
     to Beverly, and she can e-mail it out to everyone.
11
               COMMISSIONER POGNA: On the NMPSIA, you
    had four people?
12
13
                           Three. No. You, Carmie, and
               THE CHAIR:
14
     Gilbert.
               MS. POGNA: (Unintelligible) just one
15
16
     delegate.
               THE CHAIR: Well, I'm just trying to have
17
18
     everything covered more clearly. And my reasoning
19
     there, Commissioner, was just to make sure that if
20
     one person cannot attend, perhaps someone else can.
21
     Okay. Is that -- so I'll get this to Beverly for
22
     distribution.
23
               Anything else on Committee Reports?
                                                     All
     right.
24
```



Let's move on, then, to PEC Calendar.

1 Ms. Friedman.

MS. FRIEDMAN: Madame Chair and Commissioners, in your notebook, there is a tentative calendar of PEC meetings. And you will notice that these are basically the same ones that we looked at in December.

There are a couple of changes. And many of those changes are basically revolving around the Charter School Division and their need for approvals at different times, especially -- well, basically, throughout the entire year. And so if you would like to look at those dates, the next date that we -- that we have suggested is the March 8th meeting. And at that one, my understanding is that we would be going through some of the contracts for the charter schools.

The next one is April 26. And then

June 7. And then the week of meetings throughout

the state for possible new charter schools is set

for August 20 -- excuse me -- August 19 through the

23rd.

The approval meeting or denial meetings in September, on the 26th and the 27th of September.

On November 1st would be your meeting, and it would be around the same time as the Coalition of





Charter Schools Association meeting.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

And then the renewal meeting on

December 12 and 13. And those are the meetings that

are suggested.

THE CHAIR: Commissioners, do you have questions, concerns, Commissioner Bergman.

COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: Madame Chair, we do this every year. The tour in August, what -- set in that week, I just want to point out that school will undoubtedly have started that week, and that always makes it harder for Commissioner Carr and Commissioner Peralta to be with us on the tour because they're teachers, or principals.

I always know about the time lines that you guys have. And the CSD have really rigid time lines. So let's -- here, again, is there any way to move it up a week? Or is that -- are we just boxed in with all the stuff we've got to do?

THE CHAIR: Before you -- I know you're going to look that up. Let me just say that the 12th, I have a meeting that I have to attend. And so -- you know, I know we make -- everybody's time comes into play here. I could not be there on the 12th, which means I probably could not be there on the morning of the 13th, either.



So let's hear, Kelly, what your time line 1 2 looks like.

Madame Chair, 3 MS. CALLAHAN:

to those community input hearings.

4 Commissioners, the issue about the road trip that we 5 take for the new schools in the community input hearings, we just -- we schedule it that time -- I 6 7 don't think there's a reason not to be flexible. 8 The biggest thing that we have is the submission is July 1st. So the complete analysis and preliminary 10 recommendations and all of that information needs to be completed a few days before that -- that road 11 12 trip, so the Commissioners have an opportunity to

So, depending on the number of applications that we get, historically, we receive 50 percent of the applications from the Notice of Intent. And so that you're looking at seven, possibly eight applications that -- but we could also get 15. It just -- we don't know. That's a -that's a wild card for us.

review the application and the review analyses prior

And so I don't see why we couldn't adjust the time. We may not need a full week, you know, depending on, again, the number of applications that we receive and the places -- and, geographically,



13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

SANTA FE OFFICE

Santa Fe, NM 87501

(505) 989-4949 FAX (505) 843-9492



where they're located. So if a number of the

Notices of Intent are from Albuquerque, we may not

have to do the road trip that we had to do this last

year, going to every part of the state that's

available in the fifth largest state in the country.

And so the -- as far as that, we just kind of gave as much time as we could to insure that there's adequate time to do the complete analysis and turn it around so the Commissioners can have it prior to the -- the road trip. And so that -- that's the reason for that.

If we move it back, it actually is -- is a little better on the other side, because then, we have a little more time prior to the approval meeting to get the final recommendations and information to the Commission. So, Tony, I --

MR. GERLICZ: Madame Chair, Kelly, I don't disagree with any of what you're saying. Moving it up a week is doable, depending on the schedules of others.

COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: Yeah. My intent is not to get it to where Commissioner Shearman can't come. One, she's going to be presiding at these meetings. If she doesn't, Mr. Gant will, or I will.

Now, I don't want --





MR. GERLICZ: Now, I could possibly see,
Madame Chair, if you're not available on the 12th or
the 13th, that we start on the 14th.

COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: We've never split it up before. There's no law says we can't, other than involving you guys.

THE CHAIR: I don't intend to infer that my participation is more important than any others. I don't like to miss any of these hearings is really the point I want to make. But whatever works out for the majority of the Commission, that's what we'll do. Commissioner Gant, you had a comment.

COMMISSIONER GANT: Madame Chair, members, my only comment is that I believe we've discussed that in reference to the past, that we want the evaluations and what has been turned in on 1 July, which, this year, is Monday, that that's the end of the inputs from the charter application, and we're not running down the road chasing -- sending 81 pages of stuff to us to read before we get to see that, et cetera, et cetera, et cetera.

So I want you all to have as much time to review and finalize. And I think, for me, I need maybe at least three days, maybe four, to regurgitate everything that you send out. I mean,



1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

I'm not a speed-reader. So I just -- I'm just being frank. But I do want us to remember that we have talked -- that when the application hits CSD's desk, that's it.

So that's just my comment on this. If we go for the 12th, you're backing up to get it to the Commissioners on the 5th, which means you have to have it done on the 2nd of August, which gives you only a month. Now, if you end up -- you have -- three of the applications are all -- like we had five last year from one person -- you're going to have three applications from the same people, I understand -- so you're going to see those. Now, if the others fall out, I'm not sure.

So we may see more than 50 percent coming in this time. Two of them are -- or three of them are redos, so that you're up to six already. So --

THE CHAIR: They look pretty sure, yeah.

COMMISSIONER GANT: So you're up to six already. And that virtual, you know is going to come. So now you're at seven. You've hit the 50 percent. So there's going to be a couple more that you don't even know about.

So giving you only one month to do
75 percent of them is really going to put you in a





1 bind. And that's just my comment. 2 THE CHAIR: Comments from, questions, anyone else? 3 4 The thought that occurs to me is if we 5 could approve this as a tentative calendar, and then, as we get closer to time when those 6 7 applications actually do come in and we know how 8 many we're going to be dealing with, then perhaps would be the time to look at the dates for the road 10 show and make any changes that we might want to at 11 that time when we have a little bit more knowledge. 12 Just a suggestion. 13 Madame Chair, I think I've MR. GERLICZ: 14 lost a little sight as to the reason that we're investigating moving it up a week. And that's for 15 Commissioner Carr's and Commissioner Peralta's 16 17 availability. But if we move it up one week, 18 they're still out of pocket, are they not? 19 THE CHAIR: It depends on when school 20 starts. And I'm not sure anyone even knows that 21 yet. 22 MR. GERLICZ: But even before school 23 starts, these are administrators and teachers that



are involved in meetings.

THE CHAIR:

24

25



That's true.

COMMISSIONER PERALTA: Yeah,

Commissioner Shearman, that is -- it's really hard to tell at this point. We're in the process now of just getting our master scheduling going on here, you know, this coming month and what have you.

So it's hard to tell when. It seems like every year, though, we always start sooner and sooner. So we're looking at the first week in August or whatever. But, from my perspective, I kind of like the idea -- I know that in my first year as a Commissioner, I hit all five days. And then last year, I kind of focused it down because of my new assignment this year, that I pretty much focused on the days where my districts, you know, were -- or the schools were opening up -- or trying to open up in my respective districts. I made sure I attended those.

From my perspective, I kind of like the idea of -- throwing it out -- that maybe we could break it up so that if I did miss school on a Thursday or Friday, I was able to get back the following week on a Monday, Tuesday, just to kind of tie things up and then just continue on the latter part of the next week, so that at least I get a chance to show my face in the middle at some point



of that five-day tour.

THE CHAIR: All right. Thank you.

3 COMMISSIONER CARR: Madame Chair, I

4 concur. If we -- yeah, if we shot for the 14th,

5 | 15th, and 16th, and we had to go that next -- so if

6 I'm not missing a whole -- like, I know this is --

7 | that's going to be the first week of school, the way

8 | it is there now, and -- which is impossible -- I

9 mean, that's just, you know, impossible. That's

10 | just -- you know -- but if -- but if it was only a

11 | few days in that first week, that might be

12 | different.

1

And depending -- of course, depending on where we have to go, that makes a difference, too.

15 But I like starting -- I like breaking it up, 14th

16 | 15th, 16th, and then adding the 19th and 20th, if we

17 needed to.

THE CHAIR: Is that a possibility, say,

Thursday and Friday of one week, and then Wednesday,

20 Thursday, Friday, the next week? It seems like to

21 me in the past we've talked about doing it that way,

22 and it's -- the folks have always said, you know,

23 | that's -- that would make it more difficult and

24 certainly would push back all the rest of the dates.

But I -- I don't know. Could -- again,





could we move ahead with this tentative calendar, 1 and then, as we get closer in time and know a little 2 bit more what we're doing, maybe work on those dates 3 4 at that time, when we know, when we have more 5 information? All right. The Chair will entertain 6 such a motion, if anyone is ready to do that. 7 COMMISSIONER GANT: Madame Chair, may I, 8 please? 9 THE CHAIR: Commissioner Gant. 10 COMMISSIONER GANT: I agree that maybe we 11 ought to wait till we know exactly when -- or how 12 many applications are coming in, which is 1 July. 13 And my comment to Mark -- or question to Mark: 14 Could we not just say in the motion that the Commission gives to the ESC the authority -- or the 15 16 authority to set the calendar for that week or split 17 week -- they give us the authority to go ahead, based upon what we, as the ESC -- we don't have to 18 19 have a full meeting to vote on the calendar, is 20 where I'm going? 21 That is absolutely correct. MR. REYNOLDS: 22 For the purposes of the law and the Open Meetings 23 Act, that is going to apply here. What's important 24 is just that you give notice of that meeting, proper 25



notice of that meeting, once it is set.

So if

you're doing it tentatively or delegating the authority to somebody else to set it is fine. Just make sure that proper notice of the meeting, once it is set, is done.

> COMMISSIONER GANT: Okay.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

SANTA FE OFFICE

Santa Fe, NM 87501

FAX (505) 843-9492

(505) 989-4949

THE CHAIR: Then may I ask a procedural question? If the Executive Committee is given the authority today by the Commission to set that time, would it be a violation of the Open Meetings Act for us to come up with a couple of different scenarios and send them out to all Commissioners and ask them to respond, perhaps to Beverly, so that we can choose the one that is most popular among the Commissioners? If we say, "We'll do it all the week of the 19th, or we'll do it the 15th, 16th, and the 22nd and 23rd, two choices, which one would you prefer, can we do that by e-mail?

MR. REYNOLDS: I see nothing wrong with the administrator communicating with the members of the Commission to determine their best dates, their preferred dates for a meeting, then getting back to her, her studying it. And, once again, once they're set, proper notice of that is made; that's what's important.

> THE CHAIR: Okay, good. All Thank you.



1	right. Are we ready for a motion? Commissioner
2	Gant.
3	COMMISSIONER GANT: Madame Chair and
4	members, I move that we accept the calendar as given
5	to us, except for the week for the community
6	meetings, and that those dates will be finalized
7	later on in the early part of August and be provided
8	to meet the Open Meetings Act at that time.
9	THE CHAIR: Did you say it's going to be
L 0	done by the E.C.?
L1	COMMISSIONER GANT: And the dates I'll
L 2	add and the dates for the trip, the community
L 3	visits yeah of the community visits will be
L 4	set up by the E.C. with the authority given by the
L 5	rest of the Commission.
L 6	THE CHAIR: All right. Do we have a
L 7	second?
L 8	COMMISSIONER POGNA: Second.
L 9	THE CHAIR: Motion by Commissioner Gant,
20	second by Commissioner Pogna, to approve the
21	tentative Public Education Commission calendar for
22	2013, with the dates in August for the community
23	input hearings to be set by the Executive Committee
24	with input from the full Commission. Did I relay
2.5	that correctly?



1	COMMISSIONER GANT: Yes.
2	THE CHAIR: All right. Do we need a roll
3	call, or a voice vote will do?
4	MR. REYNOLDS: Voice vote is fine on this.
5	THE CHAIR: All right. All those in favor
6	of the motion please say, Aye.
7	(Commissioners so indicate.)
8	THE CHAIR: Any opposed? Motion carries.
9	Thank you very much.
10	Kelly, do you have a printed time line of
11	some kind to share with us today? We talked about a
12	time line the other day, and I
13	MS. CALLAHAN: Madame Chair,
14	Commissioners, what I have is the contract time line
15	for the renewal schools that has been updated and
16	changed, again, because of the fluidity process, of
17	the fact that we're breaking new ground with this
18	process. And Director Gerlicz is handing out
19	again, it stays in draft, because we've had to do
20	some changes just, again, because of procedures,
21	times, need to get groups together, and and it is
22	very, very compressed.
23	And so Director Gerlicz explained
24	yesterday to the Executive Committee that when we
25	met with the schools on Friday, there was concern



about being able to adequately notice a governing council meeting to go over the terms of the contract. And that is a very legitimate request, because they do need to get their governing councils involved, because the president is responsible as the signatory on the contract.

So what we've done is we've actually taken two time lines, because we did have schools who were in process already and prepared to go forward with their GCs. And we had a couple of other schools that needed to extend the time. And so we've done two separate time lines. There's an early option, and then there's an extended option.

So the three schools that have elected to do the time line, as it previously was published, are Horizon West, Media Arts, and North Valley Academy. And so they will be prepared, according to the time line, February 21st and 22nd to do the face-to-face negotiations with the Public Education Commission, Charter School Committee, and any other interested Commissioners.

And then Anthony Charter School and Cottonwood have asked for extensions in order to fulfill the obligations to their governing councils to be involved in the worksheet process, the



negotiation process, which then would put their negotiations at March 21, 22 -- and it's nice that February and March have exactly the same dates, so we didn't have to do much changes. But that would put, then, the final approval meeting, barring an impasse, for the PEC, to April 26.

And because we have extended the time line for the 30-day contract notice, and -- and everybody agreed to the May 31st, we're still within our time line. So we felt that this was -- this was a reasonable request. And, because we want to do this correctly, we want to make sure that the terms -- that everybody understands the terms of the contracts, that the schools, the governing councils, and the PEC worked to make sure that we are doing this very thoroughly and with fidelity.

So, anyway, this is the time line that -so there's two -- two sides: the early option on the
left -- far left corner -- left hand, and then the
extended option for the schools that are taking more
time with their governing councils.

Are there any questions for Tony or myself?

24 THE CHAIR: Okay. Thank you. And this is 25 just information only. So we don't need to vote on





that.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

I was remiss in not bringing up one thing when we were looking at the calendar, that March 8th meeting. I know you've all received information through e-mail about the Secretary's decision on the New Mexico Connections Virtual Academy. She has overturned our decision.

Particularly for new members, we have 30 days in which to respond, to have a meeting to respond to that. And I did ask Abby to clarify. Those are workdays, not calendar days. So our March 8 meeting will suffice to deal with that issue as well. So we'll still be in compliance with that March 8 meeting. Okay.

Beverly, we've covered the calendar?

MS. FRIEDMAN: Yes.

THE CHAIR: All right. Let's move on to PEC budgets. Beverly, I'll ask you again.

MR. FRIEDMAN: Madame Chair and Commissioners, I have discussed with each of you individually; I gave you a budget sheet that I have developed for you. And on that sheet, it has some information that I use in doing your budgets. And one of the first lists is the encumbrance. And that is the amount of money that has been placed in your



account, or encumbered by me for you for your in-state travel.

There are two categories for that: One is mileage, and one is per diem. And the mileage is what it says, the actual mileage. And per diem can be in two different areas. Per diem can be either the meeting day rate, which, for Commissioners, is \$95; or, it can be accommodations and meals.

And I asked Mr. Aguilar, when he came to speak to the 1099s, because I still am not clear myself as to which one you will get the 1099 for, I was told that if you claimed accommodations and meals, that you would not get the 1099. I am not sure what that status is specifically.

But those are your two options. If you don't claim meals and accommodation, it is a flat rate of \$95 for meeting-day rate.

And so those are the two areas. And you'll notice that there's a remaining balance. That remaining balance is based on the information on that chart. And the information on the chart should be through the December two-day meeting.

And those are my records. And, as a couple of you have mentioned to me, you still haven't been paid for the November or December





meetings. Those do take a while through our system, and then they go over to the Department of Finance and Administration, DFA, for approval. And then there is a check that is sent to you.

I spoke to one of our finance people after your comment this morning, Mr. Gant. And one of the ladies told me that she thought that what they could do is, with the checks that you receive, or with your notices, that they would have an itemized list for you.

The other option is the forms that I send you, the three copies, the two white and the pink copies; those are the breakdowns. And so if you want to make a copy of that, that tells you how that money is broken down, by mileage and either by perfect diem -- and your per diem, either meeting-day rate or accommodations and meals. And so I can provide you with some of that information, if you wish. I have records for all of you in my files.

But coming from Finance, it probably would be more succinct and more accurate. Sometimes I make errors in adding and things like that. It may not be to the penny.

But, anyhow, those are your expenses from



1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

1	July of this fiscal year, and they will be through
2	June 30 of this fiscal year. And I have to, with
3	the two new Commissioners, close out the other
4	Commissioners who left and add the two new
5	Commissioners. And then with the increase in
6	legislative travel and for some other travel, I did
7	have to ask for an increase to your budget.
8	And I Mr. Aguilar gave us that
9	increase. It was about \$5,000. And, so, if there
10	are any other increases, I do have to go to him to
11	ask for that. We did pay Mr. Vigil for his services
12	through the appeals hearings in December. And
13	you'll notice, I not on yours but I gave
14	Commissioner Shearman a listing for Bean &
15	Associates for transcription of meetings. And so
16	those are the main expenses for Commissioners at
17	this point.
18	THE CHAIR: Thank you. Any questions?
19	All right. Thank you very much, Beverly.
20	MR. FRIEDMAN: Uh-huh.
21	MR. REYNOLDS: Madame Chair?
22	THE CHAIR: Yes.
23	MR. REYNOLDS: If I may, I feel like
24	sometimes I'm causing more problems than I'm helping
25	to solve. But returning back to the PEC calendar,



1	there is there could still be an issue with this
2	30 days. And I understand it's been the practice of
3	the PEC and the PED that you have 30 working days in
4	which to act upon the Secretary's decision.
5	However, I do understand that this Commission or
6	at least some Commissioners are at least considering
7	the possibility of appealing that decision. And I
8	know we have to make a decision of whether or not we
9	can do that and whether or not, if we want to.
10	And I do know the statute under which you
11	appeal calls for 30 days. And then that 30 days is
12	read as calendar days, not working days.
13	So if this Commission was to decide that
14	they had standing to appeal and wanted to do that,
15	that would need to be filed within 30 calendar days,
16	not working days, in which case your March 8th
17	meeting might I think is outside of the time
18	frame.
19	COMMISSIONER GANT: The 28th
20	Madame Chair, the 28th of February is the 30th day.
21	THE CHAIR: You've counted calendar days,
22	and the 28th of February would be the 30th day.
23	COMMISSIONER GANT: Someone can
24	double-check that if they'd like. But that was my
25	stubby pencil, so



MR. REYNOLDS: What I can do, 1 2 Madame Chair, is I can go back and research the whole time-frame thing. And if The Executive 3 4 committee decides they need to call a special 5 meeting or something like that, they can. keep the March 8 meeting. But the Commission needs 6 7 to be aware, if you want to act upon it, you may 8 have to call a special meeting within the 30 days of when the Secretary's decision came down. 10 THE CHAIR: Okay. Thank you for that --11 for that heads-up. 12 Kelly and Tony, could I ask you about the 13 March 8th date? Is that set in stone? What happens 14 on March 8th? Why did we choose that date? MR. GERLICZ: Well, we chose that --15 Madame Chair and members of the Commission, we chose 16 17 that date long ago. The agenda is being formed for that date. The agenda already includes those five 18 19 schools that we renewed in December. The period of 20 negotiations for their contracts will be terminated 21 and need -- or concluded by that time and will need 22 to be approved by that March the 8th meeting. If the question is can we move it further 23 24 up, it would just be a matter of logistics and 25 contacting all of those schools to do that. I don't



think that that's an impossibility, unless I'm not
thinking right.

MS. CALLAHAN: Well, we need to look at that calendar.

THE CHAIR: My thought is if that

March 8th day is not absolutely set in stone, if we could then perhaps change it to February the 26th or the 27th -- I don't think we want to meet on actually the 30th day and box ourselves in. If we could move it back to say, the 26th, that way, whatever the Commission chooses to do will be within the correct time frame.

MR. GERLICZ: Madame Chair and members of the Commission, as I am looking at the time line, that March the 8th would be the meeting in which the PEC would need to approve the negotiations, which means that you would need to receive all the materials at least a week ahead of time. And we have put in this current time line of negotiations the week of February 25th to March the 1st for the final governing boards of the renewing schools' decisions.

So I can see how telescoping that would be a challenge. We have set dates for February 21st and 22nd, with the PEC and the schools, for that





negotiation face to face. If we were to move the March 8th meeting to the 26th, it would telescope all of those negotiations. It's not impossible, but it would be a challenge.

MR. REYNOLDS: Madame Chair, if I may, the special meeting may be the way to go. It can be called with whatever your Open Meetings Act resolution says. But I think it's three days' notice. If it's very difficult for members to attend, some can attend by teleconference. So there are some accommodations that we can make for a shorter notice type of special meeting, which may be the least intrusive way of going about dealing with that issue.

THE CHAIR: I'm sorry. I'm choking to death. You say three days, though. And it does not have to be an emergency meeting for three days' notice?

MR. REYNOLDS: I haven't looked at this Commission's Open Meetings Act resolution. And so I'm sure, within there, it will say what type of notice you provide for a special meeting. It's usually three days. It could be five days. But that's the notice that's usually called for for a special meeting.



THE CHAIR: If you would research that and give me some -- your firm thoughts on that, then we'll take it from there.

MR. REYNOLDS: Sure.

COMMISSIONER CARR: Madame Chair. So, yeah, that would -- if -- if we're just going to get together and do a fairly quick vote, and I could do it by teleconference and actually come in March 8, that would be good.

THE CHAIR: Okay. I think what I'm hearing is, "Let's leave the calendar the way we have it approved." If the EC wants to propose having a meeting earlier than that to consider the Secretary's decision, then everyone will be contacted, and we'll see what will be the best way to do that.

COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: Let me just ask our counsel a question. Can all -- could it be just a teleconference with all of us calling into one number, or is that out?

MR. REYNOLDS: The Rules of Procedure don't allow for that. And also the Open Meetings Act is kind of behind the times and frowns upon that, and they like to see in-person meetings. And the language of the Open Meetings Act is that if it



1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

1	is difficult or impossible for a member to attend,
2	then they can attend by teleconference, as long as
3	they can hear them, and everybody can hear what they
4	say. That's what the Open Meetings Act says.
5	THE CHAIR: Okay. Good try. Thank you,
6	though, Mr. Reynolds, for that information.
7	I think we are to Memberships. Again,
8	I'll turn it over to Beverly.
9	MS. FRIEDMAN: Madame Chair and
10	Commissioners, in your notebooks, there's
11	information on two of the organizations that you
12	currently well, I will you belong to, the
13	National Association of Charter School Authorizers,
14	NACSA, through the Charter School Division of the
15	Public Education Department. The Charter School
16	Division pays the dues. And their staff and the
17	Commission, by those dues, are members of NACSA.
18	And there is no there are no dues that come out
19	of the Public Education Commission account.
20	And NACSA, as you well know, is the
21	charter school authorizing group for the nation, and
22	is highly recommended by the CSD for your
23	participation.
24	The second group is NASBE, the National



Association of State Boards of Education.

25

And the

information on NASBE is in your notebook. The dues for this current year, which begin in January -- you are no longer members as of January 1; you paid the dues for last year. So the dues for this year are -- right now, they are \$16,000 -- I forget the last part of it -- \$16,703, for the year dues for NASBE.

And we can deduct \$5,000 from those dues, which are included by the National Organization for Professional Development, which means that they would pay for your travel and registration to a conference, \$5,000 worth, which is usually about two members going to a national conference throughout the year.

And that information about NASBE, I asked the Executive Director to write a description of the reasons that you should belong to NASBE. And it's in your notebook. And it's the same information that you saw for the December meeting. But your -- the question today is whether you want to continue the membership in NASBE. And, at this time, there is approximately \$2,000 to \$3,000 in your budget. And so there is not funding to fund the dues to NASBE.

But, if you wish to participate in that,



we can talk -- Commissioner Shearman and I can speak with Mr. Aguilar to see if there is funding that we could use for those dues, if you so vote.

THE CHAIR: Thank you, Beverly.

Commissioner Gant.

COMMISSIONER GANT: Madame Chair, members, I would recommend that -- that we -- that you and Ms. Friedman talk to Deputy Aguilar and see if there is going to be any money before we even start voting whether we want to pay for it or not. We could probably make the vote in March or the February, and still be covered for everything. But why make a vote and not have the money? So let's just make -- it's, like, let's have the money in place or not.

And then what I also suggest, at that meeting when we decide to join these organizations, we decide, and not wait till like we did in the fall this last year as to who's going where and when. We need to make that at the next meeting we have as to who's going to the NASBE conference and who's going to the NACSA conference.

THE CHAIR: Thank you. Any other comments, Tony?

MR. GERLICZ: Madame Chair and members of the Commission, forgive me if I'm crossing a





boundary here. But I had a thought just about that, 1 2 in looking at the expense of joining NASBE, which is quite a significant expense, and just wondering if 3 4 an argument could not be made that that's -- that's 5 quite a chunk of money. And if we took -- instead of that, applied that money to have members of the 6 Commission attend a National Charter Schools 7 conference or the national NACSA conference -- and 8 those are the two charter school organizations that 10 I think are the most vibrant ones in our country --11 that that -- that possibly would be money very well 12 spent for the Commission. 13 And, again, I have no idea how strongly 14 the Commission feels about NASBE. 15 THE CHAIR: Thank you. 16 COMMISSIONER POGNA: Madame Chair? 17 THE CHAIR: Bergman, Pogna. 18 COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: I just -- yeah, 19 I -- each year, I've gotten -- had a few more 20 questions about what we're actually getting from NASBE for that money. We're getting a lot of 21 22 But I -- and Andrew went every year to the e-mails. 23 conference. But I just wonder -- I'm just throwing 24 I'm not -- are we really getting what we're it out. 25 paying for in that thing? Just everybody think



about that for a while. 1 2 THE CHAIR: Commissioner Pogna? 3 COMMISSIONER POGNA: Madame Chair, I don't 4 think we can afford it. I do not think it's of 5 great value to us. It -- for about 25 years, I attended the NASBE conference. But we were members 6 7 of NASBE. And -- but now, we don't have the 8 association or the -- it is -- they are a different 9 value to us. 10 And this is precisely what I was going to 11 suggest. I would rather go to a charter school 12 conference; it would be of more value to me in what 13 I don't think that NASBE is of value to us we do. 14 at this time. 15 THE CHAIR: Okay. Thank you. 16 COMMISSIONER CARR: Madame Chair? 17 THE CHAIR: Commissioner Carr. 18 COMMISSIONER CARR: I -- I know -- yeah, 19 Andrew was the most probably outspoken proponent of 20 staying in this organization. I think, based on where we want to move 21 22 to, I would like to maintain that connection.



also agree with Commissioner Pogna. I mean, at this

present time, based on the authority that we have to

act on right now, that, you know, maybe you're

23

24

correct. But I would add that there is training for 1 2 new members that they pay for in July that's worth more than the dues that we're going to pay out, 3 4 if -- if we have people that -- and, matter of fact, 5 I didn't get to go the first time. I was interested 6 in going to it. And it's usually in July. 7 And they -- well, they pay for everything 8 but the travel. But I don't -- I'm not sure how that works. But they do pay for the registration. 10 I think -- I understand -- I think it's an important connection that we maintain. I -- I think most of 11 12 us want to move back to where we -- this board once 13 And being a member of that organization 14 would -- would be a great deal of benefit. I -- dropping out of it temporarily, I 15 16 understand, based on money, I know we could -- I'm 17 sure we can always go back. 18 THE CHAIR: I'm sure. 19 COMMISSIONER CARR: I'm sure they're not 20 going to turn us down. But, so -- I -- and I don't 21 know how the new members feel about going to new 22 training in July. 23 But -- but I'm willing -- I'm -- I hate --I hate to give it up. But I understand why, maybe, 24



it's not money well spent at the moment.

THE CHAIR: Commissioner Gant. 1 2 COMMISSIONER GANT: Madame Chair, members, 3 I do agree with maybe we need to temporarily step 4 away from NASBE and pick up on what Mr. Gerlicz 5 said, try to spend the money better at some school board -- not "school board" -- charter school 6 7 conferences. And you can get a lot of -- I had the 8 opportunity way back early on to go to a charter 9 school conference back in Savannah -- I think that's 10 where it was. But it was a very good conference. 11 So I would recommend we go that direction. 12 I think I would agree with THE CHAIR: 13 I was able to go to the that recommendation. 14 National Charter School conference this year in 15 And it was outstanding; it really was. Memphis. 16 So if this meets with your approval, then 17 Beverly and I will meet with Deputy Secretary 18 Aguilar, see what kind of money we can come up with, 19 and, if he would approve it, to be used for 20 Commissioner travel to this national conference. 21 I would also ask that all the 22 Commissioners refer to your -- your Rules of 23 Procedure, little handbook; should have one at every 24 notebook. Travel information is there. And if you 25 would acquaint yourself with those. And then if you



1	would like to travel in the next year, let me know,
2	and we'll talk about that when we know a little bit
3	more about the money situation.
4	Okay? Is everyone comfortable with I
5	can't believe we don't have to pay dues for NACSA.
6	We always have before, it seems like to me. Have we
7	not? I thought we had. Maybe I was just confused.
8	But I'm thrilled that we are members; we do get a
9	lot of benefit from them. So
10	MS. FRIEDMAN: Commissioner Shearman and
11	Commissioners, we do pay dues. But it's paid
12	through the Charter School Division.
13	THE CHAIR: I thought we paid dues
14	separately. So I'm glad for that clarification.
15	Any other comments or issues with Item 14
16	on the agenda? Commissioner Bergman?
17	COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: Mr. Gerlicz, if one
18	of those conferences happens to be in Hawaii, please
19	call me immediately.
20	MR. GERLICZ: The next one is in
21	Anchorage, Alaska.
22	THE CHAIR: I'll go to that one. Okay.
23	We're to Item 15, "PEC Comments." Let me
24	just go around the room and see if anyone has
25	anything left to bring to us. Welcome, again,



Commissioner Toulouse. Do you have anything to share?

think I've probably talked enough today. And I would say, I have been to new-member training of things before. And I've done so many, it wouldn't be helpful to me. What would be more helpful is some face time for me with these folks here one day, after the session, where I can park. If I can simply come up and talk to staff here, it would do a lot better training for me than sending me to some conference, having spent a lot of time doing that. But, otherwise, I'm very happy to be here.

I hope I didn't talk too much. But I -- I tend to feel -- I've got two years, because this was an unfinished term. I've got to have my input now. Thank you very much.

MS. WEAR: And I'd just like to let you know that there will be some training that Mark and Bev and I will be giving all of you at the March 8 meeting, specific to --

COMMISSIONER TOULOUSE: Madame Chair, there are just some details. They're overall things, not the smaller things that I would like to get a handle on, so I don't sound too stupid coming





up. I know, as a new person, I can be stupid for a 1 2 But after that, you have to show you have a while. 3 pretty high learning curve. So that's the time I'm 4 asking for. So thank you. 5 THE CHAIR: As one who went through this 6 not too long ago, it is a steep learning curve, and I appreciate your willingness to take it on. 7 8 Commissioner Carr? 9 COMMISSIONER CARR: No, ma'am. 10 THE CHAIR: Commissioner Gant. 11 COMMISSIONER GANT: Madame Chair, members, a couple of comments. One is for information. 12 13 Senator Kernan is -- has a bill in the hopper; I 14 think it's 336. 15 THE CHAIR: Three thirty-eight. 16 COMMISSIONER GANT: Three thirty-eight --17 338 -- which is calling for a moratorium on virtual schools until things can get worked out. 18 The 19 moratorium would last till 2014. 20 The other bill that I find interesting -and there are a lot of ed bills that are 21 22 interesting, but this one impacts the school 23 districts, and I want to know if it impacts the 24 charter schools. It's a bill by Representative



It's House Bill 91.

Baldonado.

And, basically, what he wants to do is limit the -- the contract a school board can place upon a superintendent for one year and tells them what the salaries are going to be. It tells them how many days they can have for leave. It goes on and on and on and on.

Well, we need to watch that, because, in my view in dealing with superintendents now for 25 years, you're not going to get a superintendent to come to the state of New Mexico for a one-year contract. It's not -- any of them that's got their salt -- is worth their salt -- they're not going to come to New Mexico for a one-year contract.

But, in reading this again -- old-age sight here -- I see nothing -- we do have directors of charter schools. It's like a superintendent.

And they -- we all talk about the State-chartered charter schools, but, actually being a district, if you will. So, to me, it's the same thing. Are they going to carry it to the charter schools? Are we going to have a double standard here?

They talked about the salary for a superintendent will be no more than what the Governor gets paid. Well, I'm quite sure I know one charter school that's paying more than what the





1	Governor is getting paid, okay?
2	So I just find this was real interesting.
3	And I would like, if you would, Mr. Gerlicz, check
4	out is this going to impact charters also? I
5	find it interesting.
6	MR. GERLICZ: Commissioner Gant, I would
7	be happy to check it out. It has not come across my
8	desk for a bill analysis yet, which is interesting.
9	My immediate reaction is here's one of the
10	wonderful, wonderful advantages that charters have.
11	We have that independence not to we can sign
12	waivers that the governing councils will enter into
13	an agreement with their directors of schools or
14	principals of schools as they see fit, which is a
15	huge advantage organizationally. So I can't see it
16	affecting charters. But I will definitely be very
17	interested in reading the bill.
18	COMMISSIONER GANT: That's it.
19	THE CHAIR: Okay. Thank you.
20	Commissioner Bergman?
21	COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: (Indicates.)
22	THE CHAIR: Commissioner Pogna?
23	COMMISSIONER POGNA: (Indicates.)
24	THE CHAIR: Commissioner Peralta?
25	COMMISSIONER PERALTA: No, thank you.



1	THE CHAIR: Commissioner Conyers, again,
2	welcome. We appreciate you and look forward to
3	working with you.
4	COMMISSIONER CONYERS: Thank you. I've
5	enjoyed being here and being part of this. And I
6	as you say, I think there is a tall learning curve
7	here. Thank you.
8	THE CHAIR: Thank you very much. If there
9	is nothing else to come before this group, I would
10	entertain a motion to adjourn.
11	MR. REYNOLDS: Madame Chair, you need to
12	see if anybody's here for the Open Forum.
13	THE CHAIR: Oh, that's right. We skipped
14	Open no, we didn't. We had Open Forum, and no
15	one has signed up. Are we done? Scared me to
16	death.
17	COMMISSIONER POGNA: I move to adjourn.
18	THE CHAIR: I have a motion to adjourn.
19	COMMISSIONER CARR: Second.
20	THE CHAIR: Thank you all very much.
21	(Proceedings concluded at 2:00 p.m.)
22	
23	
24	



1	BEFORE THE PUBLIC EDUCATION COMMISSION
2	STATE OF NEW MEXICO
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE
8	I, Cynthia C. Chapman, RMR, CCR #219, Certified
9	Court Reporter in the State of New Mexico, do hereby
10	certify that the foregoing pages constitute a true
11	transcript of proceedings had before the said NEW
12	MEXICO PUBLIC EDUCATION COMMISSION, held in the State
13	of New Mexico, County of Santa Fe, in the matter
14	therein stated.
15	In testimony whereof, I have hereunto set my
16	hand on February 11, 2013.
17	
18	
19	Cynthia C. Chapman, RMR-CRR, NM CCR #219
20	BEAN & ASSOCIATES, INC. 201 Third Street, NW, Suite 1630
21	Albuquerque, New Mexico 87102
22	
23	
24	



Job No.: 6394K